MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY HELD MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1968, IN THE FACULTY LOUNGE -- 10:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION - Continuation of the meeting of December 2, 1968

Present:

Strand, K.T. Baird, D.A. Baker, R.J. Boland, L.A. Dampier, J.L. D'Aoust, B. Funt, B.L. Gibson, E.M. Haering, R. R. Harper, R.J.C. Lett, Mrs. Sherwood Korbin, D.G. MacKinnon, A.R. Okuda, K. Rieckhoff, K.E. Stratton, S. Sullivan, D. Tuck, D.G. Vidaver, W. Walkley, J. Wong, S. Williams, W.E.

Chairman

Evans, H.M. Meyers, D.A. Roberts, D. Smedley, J. Secretary

Recording Secretary

Absent:

Branca, A.E.
Burstein, K.R.
Collins, M.
Ellis, A.J.
Hean, A.F.C.
Hutchinson, J.F.
Koerner, Mrs. Otto
McLean, C.H.
Sperling, G.B.
Shrum, G.M.
Smith, Mrs. L.

Calerdan Tarkey, Cappeals

At 10:30 P.M., the Chairman discontinued the Senate Meeting dealing with proposed Calendar changes and reconvened for consideration of items postponed at the meeting of December 2, 1968. Attention was drawn to the items on the Agenda from the postponed meeting, and the items under consideration at the time of postponement.

Moved by E. Gibson, seconded by S. Wong,

"that Senate postpone further the continued debate on these motions until the first Monday after the start of lectures in the Spring Semester".

Debate was undertaken and E. Gibson indicated he considered the motion under consideration at the time of postponement on December 2 was of considerable interest and importance to the University-at-large and postponement, therefore, was desirable. Reference was made to the structure of the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Standings and was also made by other members to the Appeals Committee and to the one-man committee charged with consideration of Admissions and Standings Policies. D. Sullivan indicated that he saw no reason why the work of the Appeals Committee should not go forward as rapidly as possible and insofar as the terms of reference for that Committee were concerned, he had stated on a number of occasions as the original mover of the motion which established the Committee, that the Appeal Committee would operate on the basis of those policies which now exist, as established by Senate and by the Senate Undergraduate Committee, which can be identified.

Further discussion ensued and it was agreed that the naming of the one-man committee be undertaken by Senate as quickly as possible. D. Sullivan indicated that he anticipated Senate from time to time would provide guidance or policies for both the one-man committee and for the other Committees as developments took place.

Debate continued with individuals speaking both for and against the motion. D. Tuck and K. Rieckhoff gave Notice of Motion as follows,

- "1. That Paper S-181, and page one of Paper S-179, be referred without comment to the "Member of Faculty to be named", etc. (page 13 of Senate minutes, November 20).
 - 2. That the proposals made on page 3 of Paper S-179 on openness of files be tabled because justifying documents and specific motions have not been presented.
 - 3. That paper S-180 be tabled.
- 4. That the postions of paper S-179 and paper S-182 be tabled, on the grounds of redundancy in terms of previous Senate decisions."

Debate continued with further comment both for and against the motion for continued postponement.

Moved by R. Baker, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"that the previous question now be put".

MOTION FOR PREVIOUS
QUESTION CARRIED
19 in favour
2 opposed

- 3 -

5m 9/12/68

admission & lackings

Vote was then taken on the main motion to postpone further the continued debate.

MOTION DEFEATED

10 in favour12 opposed

Attention was then turned to the motion on the floor at the time of adjournment of the December 2nd meeting, moved by L. Boland, seconded by E. Gibson,

"that Senate adopt the motion as outlined in paper S-179, pages 1 and 2, items 1, 2, and 3, pertaining to the Senate Undergraduate Admissions and Standings Committee."

Amendment to the motion was moved by L. Boland, seconded by E. Gibson,

"that paper S-179, referring to the Senate Undergraduate Admissions and Standings Committee, item 1, have deleted, 'with an elected Senator as Chairman; and to include as non-voting members; the Registrariand/or his representative. The Academic Deans to be invited to attend as non-voting members.' And to replace those words with the following -- 'anybody can be invited to attend at the discretion of the Committee'."

Section 1 would then read as follows:

"Senate Undergraduate Admissions and Standings Committee.

1. This Committee be reconstituted to include as voting members: 3 elected students, 3 elected faculty. Anybody can be invited to attend at the discretion of the Committee. At scheduled policy meetings of the Committee, one representative chosen by the Regional Colleges and one representative chosen by the Universities be invited to attend as observers with speaking privileges."

In view of the fact that the amendments had been proposed by the original mover and seconder they were included in the original motion without opposition.

Debate ensued with a number of individuals speaking for the motion and a number of individuals against the motion. Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"that the previous question on the main motion with its amendments now be put".

MOTION FOR THE PREVIOUS QUESTION CARRIED

16 in favour5 opposed1 abstained

Senators D. Korbin and S. Wong requested that their votes in opposition be recorded.

Vote was then taken on the main motion, with amendments.

MAIN MOTION DEFEATED

5 in favour 17 opposed

SM 9 112 168 admissions (Strangs

Votes in favour of the motion were requested recorded by E. Gibson, D. Korbin, S. Wong.

Further discussion followed and the Chairman indicated that he was prepared to recognize the Notice of Motion made by D. Sullivan. Debate ensued as to whether or not the striking of new Committees would be more appropriate than reference of materials to current Committees. The Chairman indicated that he proposed to accept the Sullivan motions. Formal challenge was raised by S. Wong, seconded by D. Korbin, and vote was undertaken.

> MOTION TO SUSTAIN THE CHAIR CARRIED

15 in favour 5 opposed 1 abstained

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"that Senate receive items 6(b), 6(c), 6(d), 6(e), without prejudice, and all other pertinent minutes or documents, to be transmitted to ,the Senate Committee to review Admissions Policy as soon as it has been constituted".

---Debate ensued and S. Wong, seconded by L. Boland, challenged the ruling of the chair. The vote on the ruling of the Chair was undertaken following further debate.

> RULING OF THE CHAIR UPHELD

15 in favour 5 opposed

1. Boland, E. Gibson, D. Korbin, S. Wong requested that their vote in opposition to the ruling of the Chair be recorded.

Further debate followed and vote was then taken on the original motion by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Rieckhoff.

MOTION CARRIED

16 in favour 6 opposed

The following wished their votes in opposition recorded: L. Boland, E. Gibson, D. Korbin, S. Wong.

D. Korbin returned to an item to which he had made reference earlier which had appeared in the Vancouver Sun concerning transfer credits in respect of Capilano College. The Secretary, H. Evans, responded indicating that he had had official discussions with one representative of Capilano College, that unofficial rupresentation had been made by a group of students representing Capilano College who had been interviewed by D. Meyers and later by H. Evans but that final decision in terms of a number of courses rested with determination by individual departments. He also pointed out that definitive statements concerning course content in a number of courses had

54911168 Camissian & Stating

not yet been provided to Simon Fraser University and that only a newspaper clipping in this respect was currently available. He noted that discussions have been held between a number of individuals at Capilano College and heads of departments and other members of departments within the University but that definitive statements were not yet established. L. Funt of the Faculty of Science commented on the fact that considerable help had been given Capilano College by negotiations made with that faculty.

Nomination of an Individual Charged with Developing Appropriate Admissions and Standings Policy

The Senate Nominating Committee indicated that consideration had been given a number of individuals for this position. Four individuals had been considered but three had declined. The name of J.F.. Ellis was therefore presented to Senate with the Committee urging his election.

Call was then made for nominations from the floor.

Moved by D. Korbin, seconded by S. Wong,

"that Senate postpone consideration of nominees to the next regular Senate meeting".

Debate ensued and vote was undertaken.

MOTION TO POSTPONE DEFEATED

4 in favour 14 opposed

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by

"that nominations now be closed".

MOTION CARRIED

Following debate a ballot vote was undertaken and J. Ellis was declared elected as the member of faculty charged with the responsibility for considering comprehensive and definitive policies in respect of Admissions and Standings.

It was noted that election of the Consultative Committee was required and that steps in this regard would be undertaken.

The continuation of the meeting of December 2, 1968, was adjourned at 12:20 P.M.

H. M. Evans, Secretary