

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY HELD
MONDAY, OCTOBER 6, 1975, 3172 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

Present: Jewett, P., Chairman

H. M. Evans, Secretary

H. D. Nagel

R. Norsworthy, Recording Secretary

Allen, D. I.

Aronoff, S.

Baird, D. A.

Barlow, J. S.

Birch, D. R.

Blaney, J. P.

Brown, R. C.

Calvert, T. W.

Carlson, R. L.

Catalano, J. A.

Curzon, A. E.

Davison, A. J.

Debo, R. K.

Doherty, P. M.

Dorsel, M. M.

Ellis, J. F.

Emery, B. E.

Fattah, E. A.

Finlayson, T.

Harrison, S.

Holmes, R. A.

Hutchinson, J. F.

Ironside, R. A.

Jones, C.H.W.

Kazepides, A.

Knight, D. E.

Latham, L.

Mackauer, J.P.M.

Martel, A. G.

McCoy, C. G.

McCoy, S. G.

McWhinney, E.

Morin, S.

Overholt, M. J.

Rieckhoff, K. E.

Schiffer, R.

Shillow, M.

Smith, W.A.S.

Sterling, T. D.

Thomas, S.

Versfelt, J. A.

Walkley, J.

Wallbaum, D. C.

Wheatley, J.

Williams, W. E.

Wilson, B. G.

Absent:

F. Cunningham

J. Diamond

D. A. Erickson

L. Hindle

B. D. Pate

In attendance:

E. W. Alderson

N. Baird

I. Garland

REPORT ON THE PROGRESS OF THE WORK

THE PROGRESS OF THE WORK DURING THE YEAR 1954

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The work of the Committee	2
3. The work of the sub-committees	3
4. The work of the working parties	4
5. The work of the experts	5
6. The work of the secretariat	6
7. The work of the members of the Council	7
8. The work of the members of the Commission	8
9. The work of the members of the Executive Board	9
10. The work of the members of the Board of Directors	10
11. The work of the members of the Board of Governors	11
12. The work of the members of the Board of Trustees	12
13. The work of the members of the Board of Management	13
14. The work of the members of the Board of Supervisors	14
15. The work of the members of the Board of Inspectors	15
16. The work of the members of the Board of Auditors	16
17. The work of the members of the Board of Appraisers	17
18. The work of the members of the Board of Valuers	18
19. The work of the members of the Board of Assessors	19
20. The work of the members of the Board of Surveyors	20
21. The work of the members of the Board of Engineers	21
22. The work of the members of the Board of Architects	22
23. The work of the members of the Board of Planners	23
24. The work of the members of the Board of Urban Designers	24
25. The work of the members of the Board of Landscape Architects	25
26. The work of the members of the Board of Interior Designers	26
27. The work of the members of the Board of Fashion Designers	27
28. The work of the members of the Board of Textile Designers	28
29. The work of the members of the Board of Jewellery Designers	29
30. The work of the members of the Board of Furniture Designers	30
31. The work of the members of the Board of Industrial Designers	31
32. The work of the members of the Board of Graphic Designers	32
33. The work of the members of the Board of Advertising Designers	33
34. The work of the members of the Board of Book Designers	34
35. The work of the members of the Board of Packaging Designers	35
36. The work of the members of the Board of Exhibition Designers	36
37. The work of the members of the Board of Stage Designers	37
38. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Designers	38
39. The work of the members of the Board of Film Designers	39
40. The work of the members of the Board of Television Designers	40
41. The work of the members of the Board of Radio Designers	41
42. The work of the members of the Board of Music Designers	42
43. The work of the members of the Board of Dance Designers	43
44. The work of the members of the Board of Circus Designers	44
45. The work of the members of the Board of Puppet Designers	45
46. The work of the members of the Board of Marionette Designers	46
47. The work of the members of the Board of Puppetry Designers	47
48. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Management	48
49. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Administration	49
50. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Finance	50
51. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Marketing	51
52. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Criticism	52
53. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre History	53
54. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Theory	54
55. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Practice	55
56. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Education	56
57. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Research	57
58. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Development	58
59. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Innovation	59
60. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Experimentation	60
61. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Collaboration	61
62. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Internationalism	62
63. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Exchange	63
64. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Dialogue	64
65. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Understanding	65
66. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Respect	66
67. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Appreciation	67
68. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Tolerance	68
69. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Openness	69
70. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Inclusiveness	70
71. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Diversity	71
72. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Richness	72
73. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Complexity	73
74. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Depth	74
75. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Breadth	75
76. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Height	76
77. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Length	77
78. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Width	78
79. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Thickness	79
80. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Weight	80
81. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Substance	81
82. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Spirit	82
83. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Soul	83
84. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Heart	84
85. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Mind	85
86. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Body	86
87. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Blood	87
88. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Energy	88
89. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Power	89
90. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Influence	90
91. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Impact	91
92. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Legacy	92
93. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Heritage	93
94. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Tradition	94
95. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Custom	95
96. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Habit	96
97. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Practice	97
98. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Discipline	98
99. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Order	99
100. The work of the members of the Board of Theatre Cultural Harmony	100

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as distributed.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Open Session of the meeting of Senate held on September 8, 1975 were approved with the exception of a typographical error on page 15 which was pointed out by A. E. Curzon.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the minutes.

4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

i) Paper S.75-128 - Report and Recommendations from the Senate Committee on Committees - SCAP, SCUB

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate approve the title, proposed membership, terms of office, and terms of reference, as set forth in the document, 'Senate Committee on Academic Planning,' Paper S.75-128, Attachment I, page 2."

The Chairman drew attention to the newly recommended term of reference which had been incorporated as Item 4, charging the Committee to provide periodic reports to Senate in connection with the Universities Council and the Council committees.

I. Allen criticized the committee structure from the points of view of size and constituency representation, and the Chairman responded to the effect that the recommendations of SCOC were deliberate attempts to provide assistance in the matter of budget with input from an elected group in addition to that from senior administrators; better representation of the community; and opportunity for sub-committee breakdown in connection with certain aspects of academic planning.

J. Hutchinson expressed his appreciation of the documentation which had been supplied in connection with the operations of the Universities Council, and considered it essential that the decisions of that body be made available for Senate perusal. P. Jewett undertook to look into the possibility of circulating the minutes of meetings of the Council.

An amendment was moved by D. Birch, seconded by I. Allen,

"That the designations 'Lay members' and 'Student' be deleted."

D. Birch said his preference would be a smaller committee but he would hope that each constituency would be represented. J. Wheatley proposed an amendment to the amendment to limit the membership to five Senators elected by and from Senate, which was not accepted by the chair and consideration was directed to the Birch amendment.

S.M. 6/10/75

A number of Senators spoke in opposition to the amendment.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Question was called on the motion, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate approve the title, proposed membership, terms of office, and terms of reference, as set forth in the document, 'Senate Committee on University Budget,' Paper S.75-128, Attachment I, page 3."

Amendment was moved by J. Walkley, seconded by M. Shillow,

"That the words 'to the Senate Committee on Academic Planning' be deleted from the conditions of membership."

J. Walkley commented that Senate may be advised that in the formation of the Senate Committee on Budget it may be sensible to have persons from the Academic Planning Committee but the Committee is one with a frame of interests wider than academic planning.

Considerable debate ensued. R. Schiffer noted that the principal constraint in academic planning is monetary and it is useful to provide duplicate membership of the two Committees. B. Wilson supported the amendment on the basis of ineffective operation created by workload. K. Rieckhoff's point was that the expertise of membership in both Committees is related. T. Calvert suggested SCUB would provide an opportunity for a Committee of Senators to exercise considerable influence on the administration of the University. I. Allen commented that the Committee would be useless with a separate membership. J. Hutchinson suggested it would be more in keeping with the spirit of the new Universities Act that the Committee be broader in membership because of the specialized duties entailed. S. Aronoff and J. Catalano favored a close linkage between the two Committees. S. Morin and W. Williams opposed the amendment.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

21 in favor

23 opposed

A question was raised regarding the undesignated positions on the Senate Committee on Academic Planning being assumed to be faculty Senator positions by adopting the principle applicable to Lay and Student Senators. The Chairman indicated that there were not such restrictions on those positions.

Question was then called on the main motion, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

i) Senate Nominating Committee

a) Paper S.75-129 - Senate Elections

At the request of the Chairman, the Secretary reported on the submissions from Senators which had been received prior to the deadline for receipt of nominations additional to those provided by the Senate Nominating Committee, which included:

J. A. Catalano, nominated by D. Wallbaum to the Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules

J. M. Kitchen, nominated by R. Schiffer to the Senate Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries

K. K. Nair, nominated by J.P.M. Mackauer to the Senate Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries

M. Shillow withdrew his nomination to the Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board.

Moved by T. Sterling, seconded by S. Smith,

"That the individuals nominated as Chairman of the Senate Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries (SCSAB) and Student Senator alternate to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies (SCUS) be declared elected."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Following balloting in the various Committee elections, the results were announced as follows:

Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules (SCAR)

One Student Senator, for no specified term of office.

Individual receiving the higher number of votes and thereby declared elected: M. SHILLOW

Senate Committee on Honorary Degrees (SCHD)

One Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies Senator, for no specified term of office.

Individual receiving the higher number of votes and thereby declared elected: E. A. FATTAH

One Student Senator, for no specified term of office.

Individual receiving the higher number of votes and thereby declared elected: C. G. McCOY

Senate Library Committee (SLC)

Two Senators at large to replace A. E. Curzon and D. A. Erickson for two year terms of office, from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1977.

Individuals receiving the higher number of votes and thereby declared elected: D. A. ERICKSON
S. THOMAS

One alternate Student Senator, for a two year term of office (staggered) from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1976.

Individual receiving the higher number of votes and thereby declared elected: S. G. McCOY

Senate Nominating Committee (SNC)

Four Senators at large and one Student Senator and one Student Senator Alternate, to replace F. Cunningham, P. M. Doherty, J. F. Hutchinson, W.A.S. Smith, and T. D. Sterling for one year terms of office, from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1976.

Individuals receiving the highest number of votes and thereby declared elected: F. CUNNINGHAM
J. F. HUTCHINSON
R. SCHIFFER (student)
W.A.S. SMITH
T. D. STERLING
D. C. WALLBAUM (student alternate)

Senate Committee on Continuing Studies (SCCS)

One Lay member Senator, for a two year term of office, from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1977.

Individual receiving the higher number of votes and thereby declared elected: L. LATHAM

One Student Senator and one Student Senator Alternate, for a two year term of office (staggered), from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1976.

Individual receiving the higher number of votes and thereby declared the regular

S.M. 6/10/75

member:

B. E. EMERY

Individual receiving the balance of the votes and thereby declared the alternate

member:

S. HARRISON

Senate Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries (SCSAB)

One Senator at large to replace J. M. Kitchen, as Chairman, for a three year term of office from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1978.

Elected by acclamation:

C.H.W. JONES

Four Faculty members, one from each Faculty, to replace R.A.D. Beck, J. D. Wyatt, E. W. Banister, and J. C. Irwin, for two year terms of office from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1977.

Individuals receiving the highest number of votes in each Faculty and thereby declared elected:

Arts

J. M. KITCHEN

Education

E. WARRELL

Interdisciplinary Studies

I. GARLAND

Science

N. R. REILLY

Two Lay member Senators - one for a two year term of office from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1977, and the other for a two year term of office (staggered) from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1976.

Individual receiving the highest number of votes and thereby declared elected to September 30, 1977:

D. E. KNIGHT

Individual receiving the next highest number of votes and thereby declared elected to September 30, 1976:

L. HINDLE

Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board (SUAB)

Two Student Senators and one Student Senator Alternate, to replace R. Schiffer, D. C. Wallbaum, and R. A. Ironside, for one year terms of office, from October 1, 1975 to September 30, 1976.

Individuals receiving the highest number of votes and thereby declared elected as regular members:

R. SCHIFFER

D. C. WALLBAUM

Individual receiving the next highest number of votes and thereby declared the alternate member:

C. G. McCOY

S.M. 6/10/75

Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies (SCUS)

One Senator Lay member for no specified term of office.

Individual receiving the highest number of
votes and thereby declared elected: L. HINDLE

One Student Senator alternate for no specified term of office.

Elected by acclamation: R. SCHIFFER

The Chairman stated that the newly elected Senate Nominating Committee would be asked to bring forward nominations for the Senate Committee on Academic Planning and the Senate Committee on University Budget elections to be conducted at the November meeting of Senate.

The Secretary reported that R. Schiffer intended to submit a Notice of Motion, and provided it was submitted in writing and considered by the Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules at its next meeting, the item would be placed on the agenda for the following meeting of Senate. R. Schiffer stated that in reviewing the work of the Senate Committee on Committees it had been established that a number of Senate Committees do not have fixed expiry dates, and it was his opinion in the case of the Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules where the terms of reference now include periodic review of structure and terms of reference of the various standing committees of Senate it was appropriate to specify the duration of terms of office. He offered Notice of Motion, "That the four elected positions on the Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules be stated as being for two years."

ii) Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies

a) Paper S.75-130 - Special Topics Courses - Summer 75-2 - For Information

The paper on Special Topics Courses offered during the Summer semester 1975 was distributed for information.

b) Paper S.75-131 - New Course Proposals - Biosciences

Moved by D. Birch, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate approve, and recommend approval to
The Board of Governors, the new course proposals
for

BISC 403-3 - Microbial Ecology
BISC 471-3 - Special Topics in Biology
BISC 472-3 - Special Topics in Biology
BISC 473-3 - Special Topics in Biology

as set forth in S.75-131."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by D. Birch, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate waive the normal two semester time lag requirement in order that BISC 403-3, 471-3, 472-3, and 473-3 may be first offered in the Spring semester 76-1."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

c) Paper S.75-132 - New Course Proposals - Mathematics

Moved by D. Birch, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate approve, and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, the new course proposals for

MATH 497-3 - Directed Studies

MATH 498-3 - Selected Topics

as set forth in S.75-132."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by D. Birch, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate waive the normal two semester time lag requirement in order that MATH 497-3 and MATH 498-3 may be first offered in the Spring semester 76-1."

Amendment was moved by R. Schiffer, seconded by B. Emery,

"That the word 'normal' be deleted."

R. Schiffer stated that there was no documentation or rationale for the two semester time lag or the procedure. D. Birch said that the procedure for time lag requirement was normal in application to 80% of the courses approved, and he suggested an alternate course of action in that the Registrar be instructed by Senate to bring to the next meeting of Senate the information R. Schiffer felt was required.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

It was established that the particular amendment applied only to the material set forth in Paper S.75-131.

Question was called on the motion as amended, and a vote taken.

MOTION AS AMENDED CARRIED

d) Paper S.75-133 - New Course Proposal - Physics

Moved by D. Birch, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.75-133, the new course proposal for PHYS 181-3 - Introduction to Physical Science in Archaeology, and the discontinuation of PHYS 281-3."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by D. Birch, seconded by J. Catalano,

"That Senate waive the two semester time lag requirement in order that PHYS 181-3 may be first offered in the Spring semester 76-1."

Question was called on the motion as made, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

e) Paper S.75-134 - New Course Proposals - G.S. 110-7, 140-3, 142-3 (Fine Arts)

D. Birch introduced the resource persons, E. W. Alderson, N. Baird, and I. Garland, who were then invited by the Chairman to join the assembly to respond to any questions raised.

Moved by D. Birch, seconded by J. Walkley,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.75-134, the new course proposals for:

G.S. 110-6 - The Arts in Context: The Renaissance

G.S. 140-3 - Basics of Theatre

G.S. 142-3 - An Introduction to Film and Video Techniques."

D. Birch pointed out that it had been requested by the proposers that the credit for G.S. 110 be reduced from 7 to 6 in his motion, and that a prerequisite of 15 semester hours credit be inserted, but there would be no change in the vector of 5 lecture and 2 tutorial hours. E. Alderson explained that the proposal was an attempt to offer a double course in a complex study of a given period of culture and the credit reduction was more convenient for both transferring students and those who wish to fill a normal 15 hour course load. The prerequisite had been inserted because of concern regarding the number of hours at risk in the lower level. He added that the course was lecture intensive with considerable audio visual presentation and that the outside work would be substantial, requiring the extra number of hours of class time to present the necessary material.

S.M. 6/10/75

J. Ellis pointed out that the SCUS motion for G.S. 110 specified 7 credit hours with no prerequisites, and D. Birch suggested it would have been better to state the SCUS motion as transmitted to Senate and then provide amendment. P. Jewett said that the comments would be noted for future reference, and debate continued on the motion as made.

It was established that the artistic talent of an individual would not be the criterion for grading of G.S. 110 and the exercise was designed as a general learning background with strictly academic viewpoints of philosophy and history with no requirement for an additional language.

Referring to G.S. 142, R. Brown asked that the statement "Film and Video Residents can teach this course in addition to other duties," be deleted from the course proposal form as teaching appointments would

"R. Ironside asked E. Alderson whether students interested in a course on a non-artistic basis would be on an equal competitive basis with those artistically inclined and the answer was there would be no preferential inclination on the basis of faculty origin and stated courses would be acceptable to non-arts people. R. Ironside also asked that statistics be kept for the experimental period, and E. Alderson said he would be happy to do so".

Several Senators suggested that the techniques of G.S. 142 would be better pursued in a vocational school or workshop on the basis of the calendar statement, and it was explained that the intent was to limit the course to students oriented to the arts and introduce techniques as they relate to the aesthetic dimension. K. Rieckhoff asked that it be recorded in the minutes that G.S. 142 may contain some technical aspects but is directed towards the artistic. T. Sterling suggested that the word "technique" was being misunderstood.

Amendment was moved by R. Brown, seconded by T. Sterling,

"That the title of G.S. 142 be amended to
'Introduction to the Film and Video Arts.'"

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

The Chairman enquired if it was the wish of the assembly to divide the question and consider the courses individually, and the suggestion was declined by a show of hands.

Question was called on the motion as amended, and a vote taken.

MOTION AS AMENDED CARRIED

Moved by D. Birch, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate waive the normal two semester time lag requirement in order that these courses may be first offered in the Spring semester, 1976."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES

There were no reports from Faculties.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

In response to a request by J. Walkley, the Chairman advised that she had nothing to report on developments of Notre Dame University. The three public universities have declared their willingness to assist in the provision of degree completion courses in the Interior and specifically in the Nelson area, but there had been no resolution to the situation with respect to the faculty, although the government, Universities Council and the Notre Dame Board and Faculty Association were continuing to discuss the matter.

K. Rieckhoff asked if opportunity could be given to Senate to comment or raise questions on material that is presented to it for information and the Chairman indicated she would comply with the request.

8. NOTICES OF MOTION

There were no notices of motion.

9. INFORMATION

It was noted that the next meeting of Senate is scheduled for Monday, November 3, 1975, at 7:30 p.m.

The assembly recessed at 9:50 p.m., prior to moving into Closed Session.

H. M. Evans
Secretary