

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
HELD MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1973, 3172 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

Present:

Strand, K. Chairman

Aronoff, S.
Baird, D. A.
Banister, E. W.
Beirne, B. P.
Birch, D. R.
Brown, R. C.
Copes, P.
Coté, P. T.
Daem, J. P.
D'Auria, J. M.
Dawson, A. J.
DeVoretz, D. J.
Doherty, P. M.
Eastwood, G. R.
Ellis, J. F.
Emmott, A. H.
Haynes, M.
Hollibaugh, A. L.
Jamieson, D. H.
Kissner, R. F.
Kitchen, J. M.
MacPherson, A.
Seager, J. W.
Smith, W.A.S.
Sterling, T. D.
Swangard, E. M.
Wagner, P. L.
Wheatley, J.
Wilson, B. G.

Evans, H. M.
Nagel, H. D.
Norsworthy, R.

Secretary

Recording Secretary

Absent:

Caple, K. P.
Eliot Hurst, M. E.
Munro, J. M.
Nair, K. K.
Reid, W. D.
Rieckhoff, K. E.
Sadleir, R.M.F.S.
Salter, J. H.
Sutherland, G. A.

In attendance:

Mugridge, I.

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as circulated.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Open Session of August 6, 1973 were approved as distributed.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the minutes.

4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

There was no report from the Chairman.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

1. Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies

1. Paper S.73-98 - Faculty of Arts - Modern Languages - New Course Proposals - French 303-3; Linguistics 410-3, 411-3, 412-3

Moved by S. Smith, seconded by A. Hollibaugh,

"That Senate approve, as set forth in Paper S.73-98, the new course proposals for the Department of Modern Languages:

French 303-3 - French for the Classroom
Linguistics 410-3 - Applied Linguistics
Linguistics 411-3 - Second Language Teaching
Linguistics 412-3 - The Teaching of English as a Second Language."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by S. Smith, seconded by D. Baird,

"That Senate waive the normal two semester time lag requirement in order that Linguistics 410-3 may be first offered in the Spring semester 74-1; and that French 303-3, Linguistics 411-3, and Linguistics 412-3 may be first offered in the Summer semester 74-2."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Note: Courses will be discontinued on introduction of the new courses as follows:

<u>New Course</u>	<u>Discontinued Course</u>
410-3 - Applied Linguistics	426-5 - Applied Linguistics
411-3 - Second Language Teaching	
412-3 - The Teaching of English as a Second Language	429-5 - The Teaching of English as a Second Language

(Editorial Note: Since approval of the courses as set forth in Paper S.73-98, a typographical error has been noted in the prerequisite section of the course proposal form for Linguistics 411. It should read that students with credit for Linguistics 426-5 (not 425-5) may not take this course for further credit. Also, on the Motion sheet of Paper S.73-98, referring to Linguistics 412-3, the correct title of this course is The Teaching of English as a Second Language.)

2. Paper S.73-99 - Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies - New Course Proposals - GS 400-5, GS 499-15

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by A. Hollibaugh,

"That Senate approve, as set forth in S.73-99, the new course proposals for General Studies 400-5 - Individual Study Project, and General Studies 499-15 - Individual Study Semester."

J. D'Auria expressed his concern regarding the availability of expertise in the particular Faculty for proposals outside the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies. He suggested that if a proposal involved a Science Department it would be appropriate also for approval of the Dean of Science to be included. J. Ellis, although commending the intent of the proposal, said that courses of the type proposed could only function on the basis of quality supervision and clearly defined procedures and that the latter were lacking.

Amendment was moved by S. Aronoff, seconded by A. MacPherson,

"That these courses will not normally be utilized in the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies if the work is obtainable through normal departments."

J. Ellis stated that one of the elements of experience in this kind of program is that it works with adequate supervision, but there must be both individual and overall supervision or it will not function. R. Brown pointed out that he intended to monitor the projects himself, with the responsibility of organizing the supervisory committee resting with the student. He added that the proposal was intended as a mechanism for cross Faculty work, and students other than those registered in the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies could take the courses but the decision as to whether the courses could be included in the work for the degree in another Faculty would rest with that Faculty and its regulations.

S.M. 10/9/73

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

15 in favor

12 opposed

Replying to a question on lead-in time, R. Brown responded that the intention was that students who wished to do GS 499 must have submitted a proposal a full semester prior to enrolment to allow sufficient time for evaluation and time for acquisition of support from three faculty members. Several Senators argued that four months lag seemed unreasonable as proposals could be evaluated while other courses are in progress and implementation could be completed at the beginning of the following semester.

E. Banister considered that the forms were not adequate, and R. Brown responded that they were examples of forms which had been used in the earlier CS/BSF approach and could be adjusted appropriately.

Amendment was moved by R. Kissner, seconded by A. Hollibaugh,

"That all students wishing to enrol in GS 499 must apply and submit an outline of the proposal at least two months prior to the start of classes in the semester in which the course is to be taken."

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Moved by J. Wheatley, seconded by E. Swangard,

"That the new course proposals, as set forth in S.73-99, be referred back to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies for further documentation."

J. Wheatley stated that details of the mechanisms to be utilized should be specified, including the date by which application must be made, the date by which acceptance or rejection can be anticipated, who determines whether the proposal is approved, and how this is done. T. Sterling did not consider it necessary to spell out all of these details as such operating procedures would normally be expected to rest with the Dean.

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER FAILED

13 in favor

13 opposed

In reply to a question by A. Dawson, R. Brown explained that GS 499 was a general equivalent to courses previously offered as CS 499 and BSF 499, each of which had been designed to meet the specific departmental requirements.

Amendment was moved by J. Ellis, seconded by J. P. Daem,

"That the Dean will personally take responsibility for providing students desiring to take GS 499-15 with a schedule concerning dates for submission of application, approval of application, and like matters."

R. Brown took exception to the motion which he felt called for an explicit statement on the normal responsibility of a Dean. The Chairman stated that his interpretation of the motion was that it merely indicated that items not covered explicitly would be subject to the responsibility of the Dean.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Question was called on the main motion as amended, and a vote taken.

MAIN MOTION AS AMENDED
CARRIED

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by J. Ellis,

"That Senate waive the normal two semester time lag requirement in order that these courses may be first offered in the Spring Semester 74-1."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

2. Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules

1. Paper S.73-100 - Motion to Amend the Rules of Procedure

Moved by A. MacPherson, seconded by A. Hollibaugh,

"That Rule I of Rules of Procedure of Senate, Status of these Rules of Procedure, sentence 2, be amended to read, 'Alterations of these Rules of Procedure shall require written notice at a regular meeting of Senate prior to the meeting at which a vote on the proposed alteration is taken, which vote shall require an affirmative vote by two-thirds of the voting members present to carry.'"

A. MacPherson drew attention to the support paper for the rationale of this motion. He pointed out that at the May meeting of Senate the Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules were instructed to bring forward recommendations for the Rules of Procedure for conducting Senate's business. The acceptance of working rules will require amendment or changing of the original rules established in 1966. He also mentioned that for the last 28 meetings of Senate on ten occasions this would have been impossible because an insufficient number of Senators were in attendance. This amendment will provide the flexibility required to enable the Committee to present recommendations for the Rules of Procedure.

In reply to a query by J. Wheatley, the Chairman noted that 26 votes in favor would allow the motion to pass.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

27 in favor
0 opposed

The Chairman noted that Motion 2 was redundant with the passage of Motion 1.

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES

There were no reports from Faculties.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Notice of Motion

There were no notices of motion.

2. Date of Next Meeting

It was noted that the next meeting of Senate is scheduled for Monday, October 1, 1973, at 7:30 p.m.

3. Other Items

There were no other items.

4. Confidential Matters

The Open Session of Senate recessed briefly at 8:26 p.m., prior to moving into Closed Session.

H. M. Evans
Secretary