

OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR

Student Services

3200 Maggie Benston

Centre

8888 University Drive,

Burnaby, BC Canada V5A 1S6 TEL 778.782.3108 FAX 778.782.5732

Sfu.ca/students

MEMORANDUM -

ATTENTION

Senate

DATE

February 8, 2018

FROM

Rummana Khan Hemani

Registrar & Executive Director,

Student Enrollment

RE:

Annual Report on Student Discipline

As per Policy S.10, Code of Academic Integrity and Good Conduct, please find enclosed the Annual Report on Student Discipline matters from September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2017.

Academic Discipline Annual report Annual Student Conduct Report University Board on Student Discipline Senate Committee on Disciplinary Appeals

Rummana Khan Hemani

Registrar & Executive Director,

RKDemano

Student Enrollment



SENATE AND ACADEMIC SERVICES

Student Enrollment, Student

TEL 778.782.5350 FAX 778.782.4572 hlr@sfu.ca

Services

3104 Maggie Benston Centre

MEMORANDUM

ATTENTION

Senate

DATE Ja

January 25, 2018

FROM

Heather Roberts, Associate Registrar

Senate and Academic Services

(acting)

RE:

ACADEMIC DISCIPLINE REPORT 2016-2017

The Code of Academic Integrity and Good Conduct requires reporting of academic dishonesty incidents to the Registrar's office. This report covers terms from September 2016 to August 2017.

There are 44 active Academic Integrity Advisors representing all programs, departments and faculties coordinated by the Academic Integrity Coordinator in the Registrar's office. The Academic Integrity Advisory Committee reports to the Registrar and 2016-2017 members included: Rebecca Goyan, Donna McGee-Thompson, Kris Nordgren, Rummana Khan-Hemani, Jo Hinchliffe, Rob Gordon, Michael Lockett, and Toby Donaldson.

The Academic Integrity Coordinator in the Registrar's office collects and compiles data regarding academic dishonesty cases from units across all three campuses. Between September 2016 and August 2017, 251 incident report forms were filed in the Registrar's office. 41% were related to international students, which was the same as last year. This is a concern as international students made up 18% of the university student body during this time period.

Table 1 lists the most common types of incidents that occur and Table 2 details the breakdown of penalties assigned. Table 3 provides a breakdown of incident reports by Faculty.

TABLE 1

Type of Incident:	September 2014 to August 2015	September 2015 to August 2016	September 2016 to August 2017
Plagiarism			
Examples:			
 Student submitted a programming assignment that contained code that was copied from an online source. Student pasted in sentences from a website and claimed that the passages were from book reviews. No references for posted images. Student submitted a paper that 	118	169	137
was at least 85% plagiarized.			
Cheating on exams or assignments			
Examples:	110	1.52	
 Student had a cheat sheet hidden in a water bottle during an exam. Student changed the answers on an exam after it was handed back and then informed instructor that there was a marking mistake. Student received "editing" help on an assignment through a paid service. 	112	153	112
Examples:			
 Student submitted falsified medical documents to defer exams Student lied about attending exam and had another student write the exam on their behalf 	2	5	2
TOTAL	232	327	251

TABLE 2

Penalties *Note: Students can receive more than one penalty	September 2014 to August 2015	September 2015 to August 2016	September 2016 to August 2017
Give the student a warning	24	28	15
Assign a grade penalty less harsh than 'F' for the work	54	60	42
Impose a failing mark for the work	115	195	166
Assign a grade less harsh than 'FD' for the course	4	5	12
Assign a grade of "FD"	6	10	6
Re-do the work or do supplementary work	28	32	24
Issue a formal reprimand	3	12	5

TABLE 3

Faculty	Incident Reports September 2014 to August 2015	Incident Reports September 2015 to August 2016	Incident Reports September 2016 to August 2017
BUS	17	19	17
EDUC	2	0	2
ENV	0	1	2
FAS	66	113	57
FASS	112	139	115
FCAT	3	5	6
HSCI	8	18	9
SCI	23	32	43



STUDENT SERVICES Academic Integrity & Good Conduct Office

MBC

TEL 778.782.9456

aigco@sfu.ca

8888 University Drive,

FAX 778.782.5732

www.sfu.ca/students/studentconduct

Burnaby, BC Canada V5A 1S6

MEMORANDUM

ATTENTION Senate

DATE November 14, 2017

FROM

Heather Roberts, Student Conduct Coordinator

PAGES 2

RE:

Annual Report on Student Conduct:

September 1, 2016 through to August 31, 2017

According to the policy \$10.02 - Principles and Procedures for Student Discipline, "The Registrar and the Associate Vice-President Students or designate must maintain a statistical summary of cases handled through their offices each year, and these data must be included in the Annual Report to Senate on Student Discipline Matters." The Academic Integrity and Good Conduct Office (AIGCO) serves as the designate for the Associate Vice President Students in the response to reports of student misconduct. This report does not include repeated incidents of Academic Dishonesty by the same student (these are included in the accompanying report on Academic Discipline). This report covers the period of September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2017.

The following table and figures provide an overview of the 32 unique incidents deemed to be student misconduct by the AIGCO in this reporting period. The total number of incidents has increased compared to last year (25% increase), potentially due to the position of Student Conduct Coordinator being vacant for two months during the previous reporting period (one day/week coverage during those two months).

Table 1 provides a four-year history of the cases dealt with under Policy S10, categorized by type of incident.

Table 1 - Misconduct Cases by Type from 2013 to 2017

	2013/2014	2014/2015	2015/2016	2016/17
Disruptive or Dangerous Behaviour	12	13	10	13
Damage, Destruction or Theft	18	4	2	2
Fraud and Misuse	3	3	4	9
Violation of University Policies	15	2	4	4
Firearms or Other Weapons	1	2	1	0
Illegal Conduct	1	4	0	. 0
Unauthorized Entry or Presence	0	2	4	4
Misuse of Disciplinary Procedures	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	50	30	25	32

Of the 32 cases of student misconduct during this reporting period, 24 (75%) incidents involved domestic students, while 8 (25%) cases involved international students.

Table 2 provides a comparison of incident rates by academic level. According to the 2016-2017 reporting period data, 1st year students accounted for 50% of the cases of misconduct. 19% of cases were students in the final year of their undergraduate degree program. By comparison, no misconduct cases involved graduate students.

Table 2 - Misconduct Cases by Academic Level

Academic Level	# of Reported Incidents	
1 st Year		
Term 1	8	
Term 2	8	
2 nd Year		
Term 1	4	
Term 2	5	
3 rd Year		
Term 1	0	
Term 2	1	
4 th Year		
Term 1	1	
Term 2	5	
Graduate	0	
Non-Credit	0	
TOTAL	32	

Table 3 provides a summary of the outcomes of the cases of misconduct. Letters of apology and informal resolutions were the most common outcomes. In some cases, more than one outcome was applied.

Table 3 - Types of Outcomes Applied

Informal Resolution	15
Letter of Apology	11
Formal Reprimand	4
Referred for Professional Assistance	2
Recovery of Costs	1
Referred to UBSD	1

Respectfully Submitted,

Heather Roberts, M.Ed. CCC Student Conduct Coordinator

Academic Integrity & Good Conduct Office

University Board on Student Discipline

Reporting Period: September 1, 2016 - August 31, 2017

UBSD Membership

Faculty: V. Gordon Rose (Coordinator), Psychology (January 2009 - December 2018)

Tony Williams, Biological Sciences (September 2016 – July 2019)

David Murphy, Communication (May 2014 - April 2020)

Students: Jayme Lewthwaite, Graduate, Science (March 2016 - February 2018)

Barbara Szymczyk, Undergraduate, Business (September 2015 - December 2017) Sara Doulfikar, Undergraduate, French Cohort Program (April 2016 - January 2017)

Adebola Ige, Graduate, Computing Science (August 2016 – July 2018)

Staff: Shelley Gair, Graduate Studies (November 2014 - October 2020)

Harriet Chicoine, Engineering Science (January 2010 - October 2018) Tracy Bruneau, Computing Science (August 2004 - August 2019)

Eleven cases concerning academic dishonesty were submitted to the University Board on Student Discipline in the period covered by the report.

A summary of the cases is attached for information.

V. Gordon Rose

Coordinator, University Board on Student Discipline

File#	Nature	of Offence
$\frac{1100 \text{ m}}{1100 \text{ m}}$	INALUIC	or Onelice

Outcome

16.4	A - Jamie Distance 1 CELLE !	Th. D
16-4	Academic Dishonesty under SFU Policy	The President accepted the unanimous recommendation of the
	S 10.01, section 4.1.2(a)(ii) - Copying	UBSD that the FD be confirmed and that the student receive a
	all or part of an essay or other assignment	one-semester suspension from Simon Fraser University.
	from an author or other person,	
	including a tutor or student mentor, and	
	presenting the material as the student's	
	original work; and Academic Dishonesty	
	under SFU Policy S 10.01, section	
	4.1.2(e)(i) – Using, or attempting to use,	
16-5	another student's answers.	
16-5	Academic Dishonesty under SFU Policy	The President accepted the recommendation of the
	S 10.01, 4.1.2(i) – Engaging in	UBSD that the FD be entered for the Summer of 2014 and that the
	misrepresentation, including falsifying	student receive a three-semester suspension from Simon Fraser
	documents, to gain a benefit or advantage	University.
	in a course including the submission of a	
I i	forged or altered medical certificate or death certificate: and Academic	
	,	
	Dishonesty under SFU Policy S 10.01,	
	4.1.2(h) – Submitting false records or	
	information, in writing or orally,	
	including the falsification of laboratory results or research findings.	
16-6	Academic Dishonesty under SFU Policy	The LIBSD Tribunal denied the students annual and unheld the
10-0	S 10.01, section 4.1.2(a)(iii) – Failing to	The UBSD Tribunal denied the student's appeal and upheld the finding of the instructor that the Applicant has committed academic
	acknowledge the phrases, sentences or	dishonesty. Pursuant to paragraph 3.3 of Policy \$10.03, the penalty
	ideas of the author of published	remains unaltered.
	and unpublished material that is	Testains diffactive.
	incorporated into an essay or other	
	assignment.	
17-1	Academic Dishonesty under SFU Policy	The UBSD Tribunal upheld the student's appeals and overturned the
17-2	S 10.01, section 4.1.2(c)(iii) – Using	finding of academic dishonesty and directed that their midterm exams
17-3	course notes or other aids not approved	be graded and their final grades be altered to reflect those marks and
17-4	by an Instructor during an	that any record of academic dishonesty based on this incident be
17-5	examination.	deleted.
17-6	Academic Dishonesty under SFU Policy	The UBSD Tribunal upheld the student's appeals and overturned the
17-7	S 10.01, section 4.1.2(e) - Using, or	finding of academic dishonesty and directed that their midterm exams
	attempting to use, another student's	be graded and their final grades be altered to reflect those marks and
	answers; Providing answers to other	that any record of academic dishonesty based on this incident be
	students; [or] Failing to take reasonable	deleted.
	measures to protect answers from use by	
	other students.	
17-8	Academic Dishonesty under SFU Policy	The UBSD Tribunal upheld the student's appeal and overturned the
	S 10.01, section 4.1.2(e) - Using, or	finding of academic dishonesty and directed that the midterm mark
	attempting to use, another student's	be amended and the final grade be altered to reflect that mark and
	answers; Providing answers to other	that any record of academic dishonesty based on this incident be
	students; [or] Failing to take reasonable	deleted.
	measures to protect answers from use by	
	other students.	

Senate Committee on Disciplinary Appeals

Reporting Period September 2016 – August 2017

The Senate Committee on Disciplinary Appeals (SCODA) heard two appeals during the period covered by this report.

SCODA Appeal No. 2017-01 (academic misconduct and plagiarism)

This case involved an honours student who was given an "F" grade on the honour's project proposal for a series of mis-specified citations and one case of alleged plagiarism. The student appealed directly to the SCODA, and waived the right to have the case heard at UBSD.

The student appealed based on Policy S10.04, 2.1 (ii), "that a factual error occurred of sufficient magnitude that it may reasonably be said to have altered the outcome of the case against the student"; (iii), "that the penalty imposed on the student is excessive in all the circumstances of the case"; and (iv) "that new, material evidence is available which, despite the exercise of due diligence by the party wishing to appeal, could not have been made available at the time of the hearing giving rise to the appeal."

SCODA concluded that:

- 1. The student clearly engaged in "unscholarly" work. More care should have been given to the citations in the proposal. SCODA did not find that this unscholarly work crossed the line of academic misconduct. The best interpretation of the mis-specified citations was a lack of scholarship, and not an intent to cheat. Therefore, "a factual error" had occurred with respect to misconduct or plagiarism.
- 2. The mark of 0/40 (the highest penalty available) was excessive given the circumstances of the case.

According to Section 10.04, 4.3 (ii) the SCODA overturned the penalty of 0/40 (F) on the proposal and recommended that the student be required to complete the proposal using proper citations, and that the proposal be re-graded by another faculty member in the department.

SCODA Appeal No. 2017-02 (academic misconduct and academic dishonesty)

This case involved a graduate student. The University Board on Student Discipline (UBSD) found that the student's transcript had been altered to show an "A+" grade in a course where no grade had been yet entered for the course. The UBSD found that the student had not finished the course. Further, the UBSD found that the transcript was submitted by the student in support of a course completion form, graduate progress report, and an application for a Graduate Fellowship.

Senate Committee on Disciplinary Appeals - SCODA 2016-17 Report

The student appealed the President's decision to accept the UBSD's recommendation of an "FD" grade for the course, and a three-term suspension from SFU.

The student appealed based on Policy S10.04, 2.1 (ii), "that a factual error occurred of sufficient magnitude that it may reasonably be said to have altered the outcome of the case against the student"; and (iii), "that the penalty imposed on the student is excessive in all the circumstances of the case".

With respect to the suspension the SCODA rejected both arguments presented by the student. The first was a request to revisit the facts of the case, and therefore was considered not relevant to SCODA, which is a standing committee for appeals, and not a fact finding body. The second was a claim that the punishment was unfair. Based on the seriousness of the dishonesty and misconduct, and the actions of UBSD in consulting past decisions and the relevant policy, the SCODA upheld the three term suspension.

In terms of the "FD" grade, the student appealed under the argument that the actions related to the altered transcript took place outside of the course. Furthermore, the student submitted that the altered transcript provided no benefit or advantage for the course, and therefore, the assignment of the grade was in violation of policy.

The SCODA rejected the claim that there was no benefit for the course, given that the altered transcript aided the student in the course completion form, making normal progress, and applying for the graduate fellowship. The SCODA also found that the UBSD acted within the penalty provisions for misconduct (per S10.03 at s. 4.2, and Appendices 1, 2 and 3) in assigning the "FD" grade for the course.

Therefore, the SCODA rejected the student's appeal of the President's decision.

SCODA Membership as of August 2017:

Chair:

Doug Allen, Department of Economics

Vice-Chair: Jillian McIntosh, Department of Philosophy

Faculty (Regular Member):

Abraham Punnen, Department of Mathematics

Daniel Laitsch, Faculty of Education

Faculty (Alternate Member):

Christian Venhuizen, Beedie School of Business

Senate Committee on Disciplinary Appeals – SCODA 2016-17 Report

Students (Regular Member):

Iman Baharmand, Student Representative Christine Wang, Student Representative Kau'l Kelipio, Student Representative

Students (Alternate Member):

Alam Khehra, Student Representative Erik Sagmoen, Student Representative

Secretary:

Concetta Di Francesco, Senate and Academic Services

Doug Allen, Chair (2016-2017)

Feb 8/18