

Election

MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
HELD MONDAY, JUNE 2, 1969, FACULTY LOUNGE, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

PRESENT: Strand, K.T. Chairman

Baird, D.A.
Barlow, J. (for the Dean of Science)
Brown, R.C.
Burstein, K.R.
Campbell, M.J.
Caple, K.P.
Carlson, R.L.
Claridge, R.W.
Cole, R.E.
D'Aoust, B.R.
Freiman, Mrs.L.
Hamilton, W.M.
Hutchinson, J.
Kenward, J.
Korbin, D.
Lebowitz, M.A.
McDougall, A.H.
Rieckhoff, K.E.
Sayre, J.
Srivastava, L.M.
Stratton, S.
Sullivan, D.H.
Tuck, D.G.
Turnbull, A.L.
Walkley, J.
Wassermann, Mrs.S.

Evans, H.M. Secretary
Kelsey, I.
Barboza, J. Recording Secretary

ABSENT: Collins, M.
Drache, Mrs.S.
Hean, A.F.C
MacKinnon, A.R.
McLean, C.H.
Perry, G.N.
Vidaver, W.

At the commencement of the meeting K.Strand, Chairman, indicated that the results of the election for Chancellor, the election of members to Senate by Convocation, and the election by Faculties jointly had been announced and that seating on Senate was in order.

Elections

He congratulated K.P.Caple on his election to the position of Chancellor.

He indicated that L.M.Srivastava had been elected by Faculties jointly, but that L.M.Srivastava had also been selected as the Acting Academic Vice-President to replace R.Haering.

He indicated that J.Barlow had replaced L.M.Srivastava as the Acting Dean of Science during the absence of B.L.Funt.

The results of elections were as follows:-

- CHANCELLOR - to May 31, 1972 : K.P.Caple
- SENATORS - to May 31, 1972
- Elected by Convocation : M.J.Campbell
R.W.Claridge
S.Drache
L.Freiman
W.M.Hamilton (re-elected)
A.H.McDougall
- Elected by Faculties Jointly : R.C.Brown
R.L.Carlson
L.M.Srivastava
A.L.Turnbull

It was noted that all of the above were in attendance, with the exception of Mrs.S.Drache.

Moved by K.Rieckhoff, seconded by J.Walkley,

"that the newly-elected members be seated on Senate."

MOTION CARRIED

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Moved by M.Lebowitz, seconded by J.Kenward,

"that Item 4B (Reports of Faculties) be placed ahead of Item 4A (Reports of Committees)."

MOTION CARRIED
11 in favour
7 opposed
4 abstained

Moved by M.Lebowitz, seconded by K.Burstein,

"that between Item 3 (Business arising from the Minutes) and Item 4 (Unfinished Business from the meeting of May 12, 1969) there be added 'Election of Senate Members to the Board of Governors'."

Elections
S.M. 2/6/69
Fallen & ...

Question was raised as to why this item had not been placed on the Agenda and the Chairman responded that information was not yet available concerning individuals who might be appointed or reappointed to the four Senate positions by Order of the Lieutenant Governor-in-Council, that because the results of the elections had but recently become available, the Nominating Committee had not been able to consider appropriate nominations, and that he considered it desirable that the new members of Senate and the former members of Senate become known to one another before election was undertaken. Further discussions followed.

AMENDMENT CARRIED
13 in favour
7 opposed
6 abstained

Moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that the Agenda as amended be approved."

MOTION CARRIED
21 in favour

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF OPEN SESSION OF MAY 12, 1969

M. Lebowitz requested that change be made in the Minutes of May 12, Page 5, at the top of the page, with insertion of a paragraph following the first paragraph as follows:

"In discussion of this amendment, K. Okuda argued that Senate members were overworked. M. Lebowitz replied that some Senate members had never had the opportunity to serve on any Senate Committees and further argued that Senate Committees should draw first upon senators."

Informal vote was undertaken with 7 voting in favour of inclusion, 10 opposed to inclusion and 9 abstaining, with the result that no change at that point to the Minutes of May 12 is to be made.

Change in the Minutes was requested on Page 3, following Line 1:

"Senator Wong asked permission to move motion on the paper but was ruled out of order by the Chair."

As there were no further changes, the Minutes were ruled approved as amended.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

M. Lebowitz made reference to the Minutes of May 12, Pages 2 and 3, Item b, and to the paper prepared by K. Strand (Appendix B) pertaining to placement of items on the Agenda. He stated that the paper contravened Rule 7 approved by Senate April 1966 and requested that the paper be held back for clarification.

K.Strand agreed.

ELECTION OF MEMBERS OF SENATE TO THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Moved by M.Lebowitz, seconded by J.Sayre,

"that Senate move immediately to the election of three senators to the Board of Governors."

The Chairman indicated that the rules of Senate pertaining to nominating would have to be suspended.

Amendment was moved by D.Sullivan, seconded by K.Rieckhoff,

"that the word 'immediately' be replaced with the words 'at the next regular meeting of Senate'."

Discussion followed. K.Burstein rose to a point of order stating that it was necessary that Senate suspend its rules before consideration of the amendment or the main motion could be undertaken. The Chair ruled that suspension was not necessary at this point. The ruling of the Chair was challenged, and vote was undertaken with 16 in favour of the Chair, 4 opposed and 4 abstained. The ruling of the Chair was upheld.

Further discussion followed and reference was made to Paper S.170a and 170b. The Secretary indicated that one seat on the Board was currently vacant, that of A.Branca, as he had ceased to be a member of Senate as at May 31, 1969. Vote on the amendment was undertaken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED
19 in favour
6 opposed

Amendment to the amendment was moved by D.Korbin, seconded by J.Kenward,

"that the word 'regular' be deleted."

AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT
FAILED
6 in favour
15 opposed
4 abstained

Vote was then undertaken on the main motion as amended, i.e.

"that Senate move at the next regular meeting of Senate to the election of three senators to the Board of Governors."

MOTION CARRIED
26 in favour

J.Walkley reminded Senate that at previous elections candidates undertook to state their positions in relation to a number of problems immediately prior to the electoral voting on membership from Senate to the Board and

*Board of Governors
Nominating Committee*

enquired as to whether or not this would be undertaken at the next regular meeting before votes were cast. The Chairman indicated that this would be done.

The Chairman further indicated that the Nominating Committee should inform nominees that they would be expected to give statements of this type.

Questions were raised concerning legal conditions which must be fulfilled for an individual to be eligible for membership on the Board of Governors, and attention was drawn to the Universities Act, Section 20. Questions pertained to the position of Teaching Associates, Graduate Associates, President's Research Grants and related items in terms of potential disqualification of individuals from sitting on the Board. The Secretary explained the position in respect of Teaching Assistants and it was indicated that further legal opinion would be obtained on other matters. The Chairman indicated that if additional legal questions were to be answered they should be put in writing and sent to him as quickly as possible.

D.Korbin enquired as to whether or not there were vacancies on the Nominating Committee and D.Tuck indicated that there were two vacancies which had arisen with the expiry of membership on Senate for K.Okuda and W.Williams.

D.Korbin gave notice of motion to be considered later in the meeting,

"that the rules of Senate be suspended to elect two persons to the Nominating Committee."

4. UNFINISHED BUSINESS FROM THE MEETING OF MAY 12, 1969

B) Reports of Faculties

i) Faculty of Arts

a) Courses of Education 404 towards the B.A. Degree - Paper S.225

Moved by D.Sullivan, seconded by K.Rieckhoff,

"that Paper S.225 be approved, i.e. that those courses which would normally fulfill the requirement for the B.A. degree and which are taken as part of Education 404 should be given credit towards the B.A. degree with the understanding that the motion applies to Education 404 if taken in 68-3 or after."

D.Sullivan emphasized that he wished to make it very clear that the motion, as outlined in Paper S.225, applied to Education 404 if taken in 68-3 or after and that it was not under any circumstances to be retroactive other than as indicated in the dates noted. He indicated that endorsement of the Faculty of Arts had been obtained following the procedures of the Faculty of Arts by circulation of a referendum paper. M.Lebowitz enquired as to whether there had been opportunity for discussion of the item at

an open meeting of the Faculty of Arts to which D.Sullivan responded in the negative.

R.Carlson requested S.Stratton, as Acting Dean of Education, to give a brief summary of Education 404 and this was done. J.Sayre enquired as to why the date of the Fall Semester 68-3 pertained and explanation was given, noting that the basic change in structure within the Education Faculty had been approved earlier by Senate for Education 404 effective from 68-3 onwards.

Moved by B.D'Aoust, seconded by M.Campbell,

"that the motion be tabled."

MOTION TO TABLE FAILED

3 in favour

17 opposed

2 abstained

Moved by J.Kenward, seconded by M.Campbell,

"that this item be referred back to the Faculty of Arts for documentation."

MOTION TO REFER FAILED

7 in favour

17 opposed

1 abstained

Moved by K.Burstein, seconded by K.Rieckhoff,

"that the previous question now be put."

MOTION CARRIED

21 in favour

4 opposed

1 abstained

Vote was then undertaken on the main motion.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

18 in favour

5 opposed

3 abstained

M.Campbell requested that his ^{Abstention}~~negative vote~~ on the main motion be recorded as he did not have sufficient data on the basis of which to form sound judgement.

b) Curriculum Changes - Paper S.226, 226a, 226b

Moved by D.Sullivan, seconded by J.Hutchinson,

Curriculum Change

"that the proposals of Paper S.226a and S.226b be approved."

The Chairman indicated that Item 226a would be considered separately from Item 226b.

M.Lebowitz enquired as to whether or not these items had ever come before the Faculty of Arts for open discussion on their merits. D.Sullivan responded that the items had been processed through the Faculty of Arts in its approved procedures by referendum vote as outlined in Paper S.226.

Moved by M.Lebowitz, seconded by M.Campbell,

"that this motion be referred back to the Faculty of Arts for discussion on its merits."

but following discussion the motion was withdrawn. D.Sullivan requested the Chairman to rule out of order discussions on Faculty of Arts procedures as the Faculty had the right to decide its procedures. M.Lebowitz submitted argument that Senate was the overruling body. The Chairman commented and M.Lebowitz indicated that he was attempting to establish ground rules in the Faculty which were appropriate before items would be brought before Senate. The Chairman suggested that a paper be prepared for submission to Senate on this topic and that if adopted the Faculty would then need to follow the procedure. He suggested that consideration need be given the difference between general versus specific items.

M.Lebowitz indicated that he was in favour of the change proposed but that he opposed the method of bringing these items forward through the Faculty of Arts, as there was no opportunity for discussion unless one generated a petition. He expressed concern that opportunity for better programmes might be lost through current methods.

K.Rieckhoff spoke in favour of the motion and indicated that he assumed the Faculty Curriculum Committee were indeed representative and that appropriate discussion had been held.

Moved by K.Rieckhoff, seconded by J.Walkley,

"that the previous question now be put."

MOTION FAILED
 11 in favour
 8 opposed
 6 abstained
 (two-thirds vote
 was required)

It was noted that division had been made between Item 226a and Item 226b, but that within each of these papers there were sub-

Carroll

divisions.

Moved by W.Hamilton, seconded by D.Sullivan,

"that the questions be divided in their sub-items."

MOTION CARRIED
14 in favour
5 opposed
1 abstained

Vote was then undertaken on Proposal 1 of Paper S.226a, 1a,

"General and Honors Program, First Four Levels -
Delete '3. No more than 15 (credits) may be from
Groups C and D (see Group Requirements)'."

MOTION CARRIED
19 in favour
1 opposed
3 abstained

Vote was then undertaken on 1b of Proposal 1 of Paper S.226a as follows:-

"General Program, Last Four Levels - Change to Read
'3. At least 15 (credits) must be in courses
outside the major subject area'."

Moved by L.Freiman, seconded by M.Campbell,

"that Part 1b be referred back to the Faculty of
Arts for supporting arguments to be presented at
the next meeting of Senate."

MOTION TO REFER FAILED
7 in favour
17 opposed
1 abstained

Moved by J.Walkley, seconded by K.Burstein,

"that the previous question now be put."

MOTION CARRIED
18 in favour
5 opposed

Vote was then undertaken on the main motion 1b of Paper S.226a.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED
18 in favour
7 opposed

Vote was then undertaken on Item 1c of Proposal 1 of Paper S.226a as follows:-

"Honors Program, Last Four Levels - Add '3. At least 15 (credits) must be in courses outside the major subject area'."

MOTION CARRIED
18 in favour
7 opposed

M. Lebowitz requested that his negative vote be recorded on Items 1a, 1b and 1c on the grounds that Senate voted with full knowledge that the Faculty of Arts had not discussed the items in a full meeting of the Faculty of Arts.

Consideration was then given to Proposal II of Paper S.226b.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that the courses outlined under Proposal II of Paper S.226b be approved."

Amendment to the motion was made by M. Campbell, seconded by J. Kenward, "that under the courses 494-3 and 496-3 in each case the terminating words 'and students' be deleted", but the amendment was ruled out of order by the Chair.

Vote was undertaken on Proposal II of Paper S.226b, covering courses 494-3 and 496-3.

MOTION CARRIED
20 in favour
3 abstained

iii) Faculty of Science

a) Courses of Education 404 towards the B.Sc. Degree - Paper S.227

Moved by L. Srivastava, seconded by D. Tuck,

"that Senate adopt the recommendation of Paper S.227, i.e. that those courses which would normally fulfill the requirement for the B.Sc. degree, and which are taken as part of Education 404, should be given credit towards the B.Sc. degree."

Attention was drawn to the clarifying statement submitted as an attachment to Paper S.227.

MOTION CARRIED
24 in favour

D. Korbin noted that the motion had been passed but enquired as to what the effective date would be, and a major discussion followed.

James P. ...
S.M.2/6/69

Curriculum Changes

Explanation was given by the Secretary concerning the re-organization which had been undertaken in the Faculty of Education pertaining to Education 404, to the decisions of Senate at its calendar meetings on December 9 and other meetings in this regard, and to the fact that Senate had approved the changes in Education 404 effective for those who entered the PDP program in 68-3 and later semesters but without other retroactivity. The Chairman ruled that the effective date would apply to courses taken in Education 404 in 68-3 and following semesters only. The ruling of the Chair was challenged by D.Korbin but there was no seconder.

b) Curriculum Changes - Biological Sciences 003-3 - Paper S.228

Moved by L.Srivastava, seconded by A.Turnbull,

"that Senate approve the course Biological Sciences 003-3 as outlined in Paper S.228."

Discussion followed.

Moved by M.Campbell, seconded by R.Brown,

"that the previous question now be put."

MOTION ON PREVIOUS QUESTION CARRIED

Vote on the main motion was undertaken.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED
22 in favour
1 opposed

J.Walkley requested that his negative vote on the motion be recorded.

c) Program Priorities - Paper S.229; Computing Science - Paper S.229a; Biochemistry - Paper S.229b

Moved by L.Srivastava, seconded by J.Walkley,

"that consideration of these items be postponed until after consideration of Paper S.215."

MOTION CARRIED
22 in favour

A) Reports of Committees

i) Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures

a) Interim Recommendations on Procedures for Processing Recommendations - Paper S.236 (a revision of Paper S.223)

K.Rieckhoff, Chairman of the Committee, submitting the report, announced that he would resign from the committee after decisions on the paper were made, regardless of what decisions were made in respect of the paper.

Moved by K.Rieckhoff, seconded by D.Sullivan,

"that Recommendations numbered 1-8 inclusive as they appear on Pages 1-6 of Paper S.236 be approved."

Moved by D.Sullivan, seconded by W.Hamilton,

"that the report be considered ad seriatim."

MOTION ON METHOD OF
CONSIDERATION CARRIED
18 in favour
2 opposed
3 abstained

A lengthy discussion on the procedures followed, and a number of difficulties were noted. A further lengthy discussion was undertaken on the preamble of the paper. A number of senators indicated opposition, a number indicated support but with reservations, and attention was drawn from time to time to various difficulties arising from this submission.

Moved by J.Sayre, seconded by K.Burstein,

"that Paper S.236 be tabled."

MOTION TO TABLE FAILED
7 in favour
16 opposed
2 abstained

Further discussion was undertaken and difficulties of procedure and other matters were noted. It was agreed that a vote would be undertaken on the general philosophy of the paper. The Chairman ruled that in each case a two-thirds vote would be required under the existing rules of Senate which called for such a vote to change the rules of procedure of Senate as adopted in April 1966.

L.Srivastava, seconded by J.Walkley, challenged the ruling of the Chair and vote was undertaken with 15 in favour of the Chair and 10 opposed, with the ruling of the Chair being upheld.

K.Rieckhoff spoke to the principles and general philosophy underlying the paper.

Moved by K.Rieckhoff, seconded by J.Walkley,

Sullivan & Prasad
Agenda

"that the report in Paper S.236 be accepted in principle."

Unaccepted

MOTION FAILED

11 in favour

13 opposed

1 abstained

As the report was rejected in principle, no further action on the report was undertaken.

ADJOURNMENT

Moved by D.Sullivan, seconded by L.Srivastava,

"that the Open Session adjourn from this Agenda with provision that the Chairman be allowed to make his report and comments."

MOTION CARRIED

15 in favour

10 opposed

5. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

- a) The Chairman indicated that the Working Group considering the Ellis Report had submitted data and that a Special Meeting of Senate would be called for June 9, 1969, to consider the Ellis Report.
- b) The Chairman indicated that a request had been received from the British Columbia School Trustees Association requesting membership on Senate and that similar correspondence had been sent to other universities; discussion had been held with President Gage of the University of British Columbia and that a uniform response from at least the two universities was under consideration and that he would inform Senate later in this regard.
- c) He noted that the Senate Agenda Committee required two members with one to replace S.Wong on a permanent basis, and one to replace W.Vidaver who was on research semester.

6. b) DATE OF NEXT REGULAR MEETING - Monday, July 7, 1969, at 7:30 p.m. as indicated in the Agenda.

d) CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS

The Open Session adjourned to move into Closed Session at 12.30 p.m.

NOTE

As the meeting adjourned from the Open Session to consider individual student records, an item of business was considered in the Closed Session which normally would have been considered in the Open Session, and on the instructions of Senate the item is included in these Minutes.

Elections
S.M. 2/6/69
Nominating Committee

ELECTIONS TO THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE

D.Korbin had given notice of motion concerning election of two members to the Nominating Committee in view of vacancies arising from the cessation of membership on Senate of K.Okuda and W.Williams.

Moved by D.Tuck, seconded by J.Walkley,

"that the rules of Senate pertaining to nominations be suspended."

MOTION CARRIED
12 in favour
3 opposed
1 abstained

D.Tuck indicated that the current members of the Nominating Committee were D.Tuck (Chairman), D.Baird, K.Burstein, and that replacement was required for K.Okuda and W.Williams.

Call for nominations was made and the following accepted nominations:-

- R. Carlson
- L. Freiman
- D. Korbin
- J. Hutchinson
- A. McDougall
- J. Sayre
- S. Wassermann

Moved by D.Tuck, seconded by M.Lebowitz,

"that nominations close."

MOTION CARRIED

W.Hamilton suggested that the proceedings appear in the Open Session Minutes and Senate concurred.

Following balloting, A.McDougall and J.Sayre were declared elected to the Nominating Committee.

H. M. Evans
Secretary