

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

Minutes of a meeting of the Senate of Simon Fraser University held on  
Monday, January 11, 1999 at 5:30 p.m. in Room 3210 WMC

Open Session

Present: Blaney, Jack, President and Chair

Absent:

Barrow, Robin  
Berggren, Len  
Boland, Lawrence  
Bowman, Marilyn  
Burton, Lynn Elen  
Chan, Albert  
Clayman, Bruce  
Coleman, Peter — Copeland, Lynn  
D'Auria, John  
Emerson, Joseph  
Emmott, Alan  
Finley, David  
Fletcher, James  
Gagan, David  
Gillies, Mary Ann  
Harris, Richard  
Heaney, John  
Jones, John  
Kanevsky, Lannie  
Kirczenow, George  
Lewis, Brian  
Marteniuk, Ron  
Mathewes, Rolf  
McInnes, Dina  
Morris, Joy  
Naef, Barbara  
Ogloff, James  
Overington, Jennifer  
Peterson, Louis  
Peters, Joseph  
Pierce, John  
Russell, Maya  
Russell, Robert  
Sanghera, Balwant  
To, Shek Yan  
Waterhouse, John  
Wortis, Michael  
Zazkis, Rita

Akins, Kathleen  
Beattie, Suzan  
Dhillon, Khushwant  
Dunsterville, Valerie  
Giffen, Ken  
Jones, Colin  
Mauser, Gary  
Osborne, Judith  
Percival, Paul  
Reader, Jason  
Segal, Joseph  
Veerkamp, Mark  
Warsh, Michael  
Weeks, Daniel  
Wickstrom, Norman

In attendance:

Dean, Charmaine  
Duguid, Stephen  
Gutman, Gloria  
Krishnamurti, Ramesh  
Little, Jack  
Reilly, Norman  
Stouck, Mary-Ann

Heath, Ron, Dean of Student Services and Registrar  
Watt, Alison, Director, Secretariat Services  
Grant, Bobbie, Recording Secretary

On behalf of Senate, the Chair welcomed newly elected Senator S.Y. To to the meeting.

1. Approval of the Agenda

The Agenda was approved as distributed.

2. Approval of the Minutes of the Open Session of December 7, 1998

Reference was made to the second motion on page 6 wherein the name of the seconder was inadvertently omitted. Following assurance that the name would be filled in, the Minutes were approved as distributed.

Secretary's Note: The name of L. Boland was added to the Minutes.

3. Business Arising from the Minutes

i) Paper S.99-1 – Calendar Committee – Terms of Reference and Membership

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by J. Morris

“that Senate approve the Membership and Terms of Reference – Calendar Committee – as set out in S.99-1”

Opinion was expressed that all ex-officio members be clearly designated as either voting or non-voting members of a committee. It was noted that this had been omitted in the case of the Registrar. Senate was advised that the intent was that members not designated by non-voting would be voting members. However, in order to specifically clarify this point, the word voting would be added to the membership listing for the ex-officio position of Registrar.

Amendment moved by L. Boland, seconded by A. Chan

“that Item 2 of the Terms of Reference be amended to read – To consider potential changes to established practice, and refer to Senate, for approval, any recommended changes relating to content or presentation”

Question was called on the amendment,  
and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Amendment moved by M. Wortis, seconded by R. Mathewes

“that the first sentence of Item 3 of the Terms of Reference be amended to read – To prepare for Senate approval the schedule of dates for future semesters.”

Opinion was expressed that this issue was an important responsibility of Senate and having it brought forward to Senate allowed many people to see the schedule of dates and provided better opportunity to identify academic implications that might be missed by a small group of people. In reply to a question of procedure, Senate was advised that this item would be treated in the same manner as curriculum revisions in that if Senate wished to make changes the item would be referred back to committee rather than amended on the floor of Senate.

Question was called on the amendment,  
and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Amendment moved by A. Chan, seconded by S.Y. To

“that the membership be changed from ‘1 student appointed by the SFSS’ to ‘1 student elected by Senate’ ”

It was pointed out that the majority of student positions on Senate committees were the responsibility of Senate, and opinion was expressed that the process for student representation on the Calendar committee should follow the majority practice. It was noted that the SFSS had opportunity to submit nominations for student membership on any Senate committee so they are not disenfranchised in any way from participating.

In opposition to the motion, it was pointed out that students elected by Senate were not particularly accountable to the student body as a whole. There was no formalized way for such students to get feedback and direction from the student body. However, students appointed by SFSS go through a formalized, democratic election process and therefore have a much better connection and stronger expectation with respect to reporting back to SFSS. Traditionally, students appointed by Senate have not connected with the Student Society and have not taken advantage of the resources and information available to them through SFSS to assist with their committee work.

Concern was expressed that students elected by Senate might be open to the accusation that they were somehow handpicked and not representative of the students' interest. However, it was pointed out that since Senate as a whole participates in the election of students, it would be difficult to infer this view.

Question was called on the amendment,  
and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Clarification was requested with respect to Item one of the Terms of Reference. Senate was advised that the intent was not to have Senate approve every little detail in the Calendar but rather to review the presentation of the material to make sure the information was presented in a consistent and readable form. The Committee would also review such issues as to how the information might appear on the Web.

Question was called on the main motion,  
and a vote taken.

MAIN MOTION (AS AMENDED) CARRIED

ii) Membership – Working Group – Policy I10.10

Senate was informed that the membership of this committee has not yet been finalized. The Student Society has recommended a student and that appointment will be confirmed by SCAR at its next meeting. At the same time, SCAR will consider recommendations for appointment to the other positions on the committee, and the entire membership will be published in due course.

iii) Registration Priority

Senators were reminded of the inquiry made at the last meeting with respect to the School of Computing Science turning away non-majors, even though courses were not yet full, because spaces had been reserved for Computing Science majors.

On November 8, 1985, Senate passed regulations allowing Departments/Schools where student demand exceeded course enrolment limits to reserve a specific number of course places for particular groups of students such as majors and co-op students. So, Computing Science and other programs with limited enrolment, are in fact following approved Senate practice.

4. Report of the Chair

i) Parking

Although parking does not fall within Senate's jurisdiction, the Chair wished to raise this item since the matter has been widely discussed on campus over the past several weeks. The Vice-President Finance and Administration, who was responsible for parking, was in the process of striking a campus committee of faculty, students, and staff to review regulations, procedures, and policies. Expectations are that there would be a wide consultation undertaken in the work of the committee and that an announcement about the committee would be made in due course.

ii) Endowed Chairs

The Chair felt two issues had been raised with respect to Endowed Chairs. Firstly, whether Endowed Chairs should be approved by Senate, and secondly specific comments about the Hellenic Studies Chair. The Vice-President, Academic was asked to comment to Senate on these issues.

Senate was advised that the Chair of Hellenic Studies has been approved both within the University and by the Hellenic Congress of British Columbia and, notwithstanding some of the current comments surrounding the Chair, it was the understanding of the University that the individuals and groups within the Hellenic Congress of British Columbia (those who are responsible for funding half of the Chair's current endowment) are content with the way that Chair has evolved. However, the President, the Vice-President, Academic, and the Dean of Arts intend to pursue this issue with interested parties and expectations are that the matter will eventually be resolved to everyone's satisfaction.

With respect to whether or not Senate approval was required for Endowed Chairs, the Vice-President, Academic expressed opinion that he felt it was appropriate for Senate to take some responsibility for the terms of reference and he would support the terms of reference for several kinds of Endowed Chairs coming forward to Senate in the future. Exceptions to this would be chairs whose terms of reference are determined by external agencies such as NSERC, etc. but any Endowed Chairs that the University seeks funds for and determines the terms of reference would seem to be appropriate for Senate consideration. In his view, Endowed University Professorships, another type of endowed appointment, would not come to Senate since normally there are no terms of reference associated with them.

The Chair noted that SCAR will consider a review of Senate and the way Senate and its committees carry out their responsibilities in terms of the University Act.

Brief discussion followed with respect to timing and the recommendations of the President's Task Force on Faculty Renewal and Retention.

iii) Y2K

Discussion ensued about the University's status with respect to the Y2K issue and Senate was informed that overall SFU appears to be in a fairly good position. A lot of work had already been done and discussions are underway with respect to contingency plans. All units reporting to the Vice-President, Academic and the Vice-President Finance and Administration have been requested to provide a report addressing Y2K issues in their area, and a small working group will be established to help individuals and answer questions about what may happen and what can be done with desktop computing equipment.

The Vice-President, Research referred Senators to the Research Web page where there is a lot of Y2K information, including software to analyze individual computers to see whether or not they are Y2K compliant.

Senate was also informed that the Government is monitoring this and all public sector agencies such as universities and hospitals in British Columbia have been requested to complete a lengthy questionnaire on this issue.

5. Reports of Committees

- i) Senate Committee on Academic Planning/Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies
- i) Faculty of Arts – Undergraduate Curriculum Revisions
  - i) Paper S.99-2 – Proposed Minor Program in Gerontology

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by J. Pierce

“that Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-2, the proposed Minor Program in Gerontology”

G. Gutman, Director, Gerontology Program, was in attendance in order to respond to questions.

Reference was made to the upper division credit requirements, and concern was expressed that allowing a maximum of six credits from outside the subject area left too few courses in Gerontology to justify a minor in the discipline. Senate was advised that the designated courses from various departments dealt with similar issues and are particularly relevant to the field of Gerontology.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

ii) Paper S.99-3 – Proposed Humanities Major Program

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by J. Pierce

“that Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-3, the proposed Humanities Major Program”

S. Duguid and M.A. Stouck were in attendance in order to respond to questions.

Brief discussion ensued with respect to the teaching resources available to mount the program. Clarification was requested with respect to conflicting statements which on one hand say no new resources are required, yet three new CFL faculty positions have been added. Senate was advised that the Humanities Program uses approximately six Sessional Instructors per year to mount their courses, including offerings at Harbour Centre. The additional faculty appointments are the result of the dissolution of the Interdisciplinary Studies program and faculty members being transferred to Humanities.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

ii) Faculty of Applied Sciences – Undergraduate Curriculum Revisions

Paper S.99-4 – School of Computing Science – Proposed Post Baccalaureate Diploma in Software and Systems (SSD)

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by R. Marteniuk

“that Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-4, the Proposed Software and Systems Diploma, on condition that approval be received from the Provincial Government to charge market fees”

R. Krishnamurti, Director of Undergraduate Programs, was in attendance in order to respond to questions.

D. Gagan made reference to the unusual qualifying phrase in the motion, and Senate was informed that SCAP felt it could not simply endorse a new program that put added strain on the already highly strained resources of the School of Computing Science. SCAP felt that the only way new diploma programs like this could be added was to ensure its funding from other sources and hence the recommendation for a full cost recovery program. Senate was reminded that unless the Government was prepared to greatly expand the number of funded FTEs the only alternative was to request permission from the Government to charge market fees.

Discussion ensued about the issue of market fees and questions such as the following arose:

- how would market fees be set, on what would they be based?
- who would set the market fees?

- what mechanisms would be in place to ensure that regular students would not be displaced from courses by students paying market fees for the courses/programs?

It was noted that although persons enrolled in PBD programs were required to already hold a degree, PBD students count as undergraduate FTEs. Since undergraduate credit fees have been frozen by the Government over the past several years, the University has received very few newly funded undergraduate FTE places. The rider about full cost recovery was therefore attached to the proposed program so as not to displace regular students. The Government has had under consideration the issue of relaxing fees, particularly at the graduate level in relation to professional degrees, but there was no guarantee that this would spill over to the PBD level. The qualifier was not added in the anticipation of the Government's approval but rather because the University had no other alternative if this program were to be offered.

It was pointed out that the program was very much needed, not only by the local community, but across Canada, as there was a huge shortage and high demand for Computing graduates in Canada and in the United States. To remain competitive Canada must respond to this shortage but since tuition fees have been frozen in B.C., universities are unable to do anything on current resources. The proposal before Senate represented an effort by the School of Computing Science to show what could be done under slightly different conditions.

Opinions expressed in opposition to the proposal included the following concerns:

- the implementation of a program such as this only enabled students who could afford the high fees and would therefore set up a two tiered educational system within the university
- this is a major departure from the way SFU traditionally has operated and begins to turn SFU into a private university along U.S. lines
- charging market fees is a first step to dismantling the University as a public institution
- charging different students vastly different fees for taking the same course/program could lead to serious difficulties

It was pointed out that all universities sponsor courses for people in the workforce who are willing and able to pay market price for the service and SFU is no exception.

Senate was informed that this semester 2400 qualified students were turned away because SFU did not have funded places for them.

Brief discussion followed with respect to specifics about the proposal. Questions were raised such as whether or not students would be allowed to take longer than the six semesters if they found the workload too heavy, and if there would be two versions of the same course (or different course) taught by the same (or different) faculty member, how enrolment would be controlled between the market fee program and the regular program.

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by L. Boland

“that the motion be tabled”

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO TABLE CARRIED

It was noted that a lot of good issues were raised in the debate and it was hoped that when the item comes back to Senate the issues will be addressed in the documentation.

It was noted that the University was going to face some very major issues, especially with respect to how resources would be allocated, not only what fees are charged for tuition or whether there should be programs with premium tuition fees, but differential decisions may well have to be made with respect to academic priorities and how departments are funded. The University Presidents are meeting with the Ministry between now and the next meeting of Senate and it was hoped that further information would be available by next meeting with regard to how Provincial policy might unfold in relation to funding and post-secondary education.

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, approved revisions as follows:

- a) School of Communication: New courses – CMNS 226, 431, 487; Prerequisite change – CMNS 326, 385; Title, description and prerequisite change – CMNS 333, 433; Description and prerequisite change – CMNS 334; Course deletions – CMNS 201, 305, 421, 442, 471.
- b) School of Engineering Science: Change to minimum CGPA requirement for first year students; Changes to DS/ST policy; Changes to Engineering Transfer Program; Changes to Industrial Experience requirement; Course deletion – ENSC 453; Prerequisite change – ENSC 330.
- c) School of Resource and Environmental Management: Description change – REM 100; Prerequisite change: REM 311, 356, 412, 445; Description and prerequisite change – REM 471.

iii) Faculty of Business Administration – Undergraduate Curriculum Revisions

Paper S.99-5 – Deletion of the Joint Major in Business Administration and Political Science

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by J. Waterhouse,

“that Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-5, deletion of the Joint Major in Business Administration and Political Science”

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, approved revisions as follows:

- a) Changes to Concentrations: Deletion of Policy Concentration; Change to International Business Concentration, Finance Concentration, Management of Information Systems Concentration



- b) Deletion of the Policy Analysis courses in the Joint Major in Business Administration and Communication
- c) Number and vector change: BUS 416 to 316; Title and description change – BUS 413; Title change – BUS 312; Prerequisite change – BUS 312, 315, 316, 346, 362, 364, 410, 413, 418, 421, 431, 435, 447, 462, 466, 468

iv) Faculty of Education – Undergraduate Curriculum Revisions

Paper S. 99-6 – Deletion of the General Minor Program in the Faculty of Education

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by K. Kanevsky

“that Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-6, the deletion of the General Minor Program in the Faculty of Education”

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, approved revisions as follows: Prerequisite and description change – EDUC 490,491, 492; New courses – EDUC 252, 352; Description change – EDPR 414-417; New courses – EDPR 418-421.

v) Faculty of Science - Undergraduate Curriculum Revisions

Paper S. 99-7 – Proposed Joint Calculus Challenge Examination Certificate, Proposed Major and Honors Programs in Actuarial Science

Motion #1

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by B. Clayman

“that Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-7, the proposed Joint UBC-SFU-UVic-UNBC Calculus Challenge Examination Certificate”

C. Dean, Department of Mathematics and Statistics was in attendance in order to respond to questions.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Motion #2

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by B. Clayman

“that Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-7, the proposed Major and Honors Programs in Actuarial Science”

N. Reilly, Department of Mathematics & Statistics, was in attendance in order to respond to questions.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, approved revisions as follows.

Secretary's note: Several items, erroneously listed under Item (g) – Department of Chemistry, were brought to Senate's attention and have been separately identified below as Items (i) and (j) as indicated below.

- a) Department of Mathematics & Statistics: Revision of the Mathematical Physics Program; New course – MACM 202; Changes to the requirements for the Major and Honors; Changes to Mathematics and Computing Science (MACM) Joint Honors program; New course – MACM 401; Change to beginning level requirements in Mathematics; Description change – MATH 100,110.
  - b) Earth Sciences Program: Prerequisite change – EASC 101, 203, 401; Number, title and prerequisite change – EASC 308; New course – EASC 317, 412, 417; Change of requirements for Majors; New course – EASC 411.
  - c) Environmental Science Program: Change of requirements – Pollutant Transport Stream; New courses – EVSC 380, 381, 480, 481, 482.
  - d) Biochemistry Program: Proposed new streams – Biochemistry and Molecular Biology; New courses – BICH 426, 435.
  - e) B.Sc. in Geography Program: Change B.Sc. Honors and Major Programs from Geography to Physical Geography; Prerequisite change – GEOG 311; Change of Upper Division requirements for B.Sc. Major program; Change of requirements for the B.Sc. Honors program; Change of Calendar statement – B.Sc. Major program.
  - f) Marine Science Program: Description and prerequisite change – MASC 401, 410, 411, 412, 413, 415, 425, 430, 437, 440, 445, 446, 480
  - g) Department of Chemistry: Prerequisite change – CHEM 360
  - h) Department of Biological Sciences: Course description change – BISC 306; Title and description change – BISC 310; Prerequisite change – BISC 342, 443, 444, 446; Title, description, vector and prerequisite change – BISC 356
  - i) General Science Program: Addition of PHYS 190 to list of General Education courses; Deletion of CHEM 121 and 281 from lower division requirements; Editorial changes to General Science degree
  - j) Faculty of Science: Addition of Optometry to list of accredited professional programs eligible for transfer credit towards B.Sc. degree
- vi) Paper S. 99-8 – Deletion of courses not offered (For Information)

Senate Paper S.73-125 provides that any courses not offered within a six semester period be deleted from the Calendar unless adequate justification for retaining the course is presented, with SCUS charged with reviewing those courses under this ruling. Senate received information that SCUS, acting under delegated authority, approved the deletion of the following courses under this regulation: CRIM 415, HIST 406, HIST 410, HIST 451, JAPN 250, BUS 427, BUS 438, BISC 427, CHEM 003.

vii) Paper S.99-9 – Temporary Course Withdrawal

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by R. Zazkis

“that Senate approve, as set forth in S.99-9, the proposal to create a “Temporarily Withdrawn” category for courses not offered”

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

b) Senate Committee on Academic Planning/Senate Graduate Studies Committee

i) Paper S.99-10 – Faculty of Applied Sciences – Graduate Curriculum Revisions – School of Engineering Science (For Information)

Senate received information that the Senate Graduate Studies Committee, acting under delegated authority, approved a new course – ENSC 850.

ii) Faculty of Arts – Graduate Curriculum Revisions

a) Paper S.99-11 – Department of Economics

Moved by D. Gagan, seconded by J. Pierce

“that Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-11, the New Graduate Co-op Option in the Department of Economics, including new courses ECON 750, 751, 752”

Brief discussion took place with respect to the fee process associated with Co-op courses/programs.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Graduate Studies Committee, acting under delegated authority, approved the following revisions:

- a) School for the Contemporary Arts: New course – FPA 813; Course changes to MFA program – Elimination of the requirement of five units selected from upper division or graduate courses outside the student’s main area of concentration or outside the School; Other changes reflecting the addition of the proposed new course FPA 813 into the MFA program requirements.
- b) Gerontology Program: New course – GERO 803; Calendar revisions including changes in instructions under Admissions to reflect current procedure, changes to the prerequisite listings, to the project or thesis option instructions and course descriptions to reflect the addition of GERO 803
- c) Department of Political Science: New MA option. Addition of an extended essay or project option to the present options which are a course intensive option and a thesis option

b) Paper S.99-12 – Department of History (formerly S.98-69)

Moved by B. Clayman, seconded by J. Pierce

“that Senate approve and recommend to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.99-12, and amended by SCAR, the following addition to the Calendar entry for Graduate Studies in the Department of History: Full-time M.A. thesis-option students are expected to complete their degree requirements in a maximum of five semesters, and project-option students in a maximum of three semesters. Part-time thesis-option students are expected to complete their degree requirements in a maximum of eight semesters and part-time project option students in a maximum of six semesters”

J. Little, Graduate Program Chair, Department of History, was in attendance in order to respond to questions.

Senate was reminded that this was before Senate for a second time and would create a Calendar statement of the expectations of the Department of History as to the time of degree completion for full and part-time students.

Moved by J. Morris, seconded by M. Russell

“that the motion be referred back to the Senate Graduate Studies Committee”

It was pointed out that there had been discussions at SCAP about the precise wording of this motion. The wording was left uncertain and referral would help to clear the issue up. It was also pointed out that the original motion had been tabled so that it could be reconsidered in conjunction with the report of the Graduate Student Survey Implementation Task Force and it was felt that it would be appropriate and in the spirit of the original tabling for the SGSC to consider the two issues together.

Senate was advised that the original wording had been changed based on the recommendation of SCAR to clarify and explicitly state the expectations of the Department of History. The covering memo of S.99-12 referred to the relevant sections of the Task Force report and, since the report strongly recommended statements such as the one being proposed, opinion was expressed that it was unlikely that the SGSC, who originally passed the motion with a strong majority, would make any changes.

Concerns were raised that the content of the Task Force report was not available to the SGSC or SCAP when they passed the motion. Furthermore, a more detailed examination of the report was currently under preparation by the Graduate Issues Committee, and GIC's feedback will be presented to the Vice-President, Academic shortly.

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

(15 in favour, 15 opposed)

The Chair broke the tie by voting in favour of the motion.

MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

iv) Paper S.99-13 – Faculty of Education – Graduate Curriculum Revisions (For Information)

Senate received information that the Senate Graduate Studies Committee, acting under delegated authority, approved a title change for EDUC 816, 822, 830.

v) Paper S.99-14 – Faculty of Science – Graduate Curriculum Revisions (For Information)

Senate received information that the Senate Graduate Studies Committee, acting under delegated authority, approved the following revisions:

- a) Department of Biological Sciences: Deletion of BISC 821, 832, 834
- b) Department of Mathematics and Statistics: Change of credit hours for STAT 811 and 812; Change of total course requirements for the M.Sc. program; New courses – STAT 870, 880, 881, 882, 883, 894, 895, 898, 899; Deletion of MATH 871, 872, 873, 874; Amendment of the Calendar for Statistics to highlight the existence of the Co-op Option; Modify the format of comprehensive examinations by dropping the second phase of the exam; Amendment of the Calendar entry to establish milestones for M.Sc. students indicating expectation that course requirements will be completed in four semesters and projects completed in two further semesters; Deletion of reading papers in French, German or Russian

6. Other Business

i) Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE) – Study on Senates

Senate was informed that the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE) has undertaken a research project which will take approximately 2-3 years to complete to study Senates and governance issues. SFU has agreed to participate in the study and all Senators will receive a questionnaire from the OSIE researchers. The questionnaires will be mailed to the Senate Secretariat office for distribution to Senators.

7. Information

The date of the next regularly scheduled meeting of Senate has been scheduled on Monday, February 1, 1999.

The Open Session adjourned at 7:00 pm. Following a brief recess, the Assembly moved into Closed Session.

Alison Watt  
Director, Secretariat Services