

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
HELD MONDAY, APRIL 2, 1973, 3172 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

Present:

Strand, K.

Chairman

Aronoff, S.
Baird, D. A.
Banister, E. W.
Beirne, B. P.
Birch, D. R.
Bradley, R. D.
Brown, R. C.
Dawson, A. J.
Doherty, P. M.
Ellis, J. F.
Eastwood, G. R.
Emmott, A. H.
Hollibaugh, A. L.
Jamieson, D. H.
Kissner, R. F.
MacPherson, A.
Munro, J. M.
Nair, K. K.
O'Connell, M. S.
Okuda, K.
Rieckhoff, K. E.
Weinberg, H.
Wheatley, J.
Williams, W. E.
Wilson, B. G.

Evans, H. M.
Nagel, H. D.
Norsworthy, R.

Secretary

Recording Secretary

Absent:

Caple, K. P.
Copes, P.
Coté, P. T.
Eliot Hurst, M. E.
Gilbert, K. L.
Lardner, R. W.
Reid, W. D.
Salter, J. H.
Seager, J. W.
Sullivan, D. H.
Sutherland, G. A.
Swangard, E. M.

In attendance:

Mugridge, I.

At the request of the Chairman, the Secretary of Senate introduced H. D. Nagel, who had recently been promoted to the position of Director of Secretariat and Office Services following some reorganization in the Office of the Registrar. He would assume duties relating to minutes of Senate and a number of Senate Committees, together with other general duties assigned to his section.

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as distributed.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Open Session of March 5, 1973 were approved as circulated.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

1. Paper S.73-41 - Senate Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries - Background Data
2. Paper S.73-42 - Report of Vice-President, Administration - on Athletics and Recreation

As indicated in his covering memorandum (now numbered Appendix A to S.73-41 and S.73-42), the Chairman noted that these papers had been distributed for information.

4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

There was no report from the Chairman.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

1. Academic Planning Committee

1. Paper S.73-43 - Graduate Studies - Master of Pest Management Program

Moved by R. Bradley, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate approve, and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, the new graduate program, as set forth in S.73-43, as follows:

1. The Master of Pest Management program, including the following new courses:

BiSc 601-3 - Urban and industrial pest management
BiSc 602-3 - Forest, wildland, and watershed pest management

- BiSc 603-3 - Vegetable, cereal, and forage crop
pest management
- BiSc 604-2 - Fruit crop pest management
- BiSc 605-1 - Management of Animal disease vectors
- BiSc 849-5 - Individual scholarly study in pestology

2. The degree title be Master of Pest Management."

W. Williams enquired what the abbreviation of the degree title would be, and the response was "M.P.M."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

2. Paper S.73-44 - Continuing Education

Moved by R. Bradley, seconded by B. Wilson,

"That Senate approve the proposal, as set forth in S.73-44, that the Division of Continuing Education undertake responsibility for:

1. coordinating and publicizing the offering of evening and off-campus credit-carrying courses, both graduate and undergraduate, except when otherwise directed by the Vice-President, Academic;
2. coordinating and publicizing the offering of non-credit courses throughout the University;
3. identifying the need for courses and workshops, both credit and non-credit, which might be offered either in the evening or off campus;
4. consulting with appropriate departments and faculties in the University before mounting any such courses; and
5. making available, where appropriate, the resources needed to mount such courses."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

3. Paper S.73-45 - Non-Credit Instruction

Moved by R. Bradley, seconded by R. Brown,

"That Senate approve, as set forth in S.73-45, the structure, composition and terms of reference

of a Senate Committee on Non-credit Instruction
(standing) as follows:

Membership:

Vice-President, Academic, or his designate (Voting)
- Chairman;
Four Senators, one from each of the four Faculties,
as designated by the individual Deans of the
Faculties;
Two Senators, elected by Senate; and
Director of Continuing Education (Non-Voting)

Terms of Appointment:

The four Senators who are designated by the Deans
of Faculties are to be appointed for periods of
two years - except that in the first instance two
members shall be appointed for one year and two
members for two years. The two Senators elected
by Senate are to be appointed for periods of two
years - except that in the first instance one
member shall be appointed for one year and one
member for two years.

(Periods of office normally commence October 1st
and terminate September 30th following. In the
first instance, designates for the two year periods
shall continue in office until September 30th, 1975;
those for the one year periods until September 30th,
1974.)

Terms of Reference:

1. To consider for approval all non-credit¹ courses
of study, instruction and education, not otherwise
approved by Senate, which are proposed under the
auspices of SFU or any of its Faculties or Depart-
ments; including non-academic departments.
2. Approval shall not extend to more than one offering
of any such course; and any subsequent offering
must receive the Committee's approval.
3. To report promptly each semester to Senate for its
ratification² the non-credit courses of study,
instruction and education which have been approved
in the previous semester. Notwithstanding this
regular obligation, the Committee shall be empowered
to report to Senate at its discretion and be required
to report to Senate at the request of Senate."

Notes: ¹ Non-credit course of study, instruction or education shall be taken to mean courses or workshops offered under University auspices, other than occasional lectures, colloquia or seminars offered by departments or other units. Questions about the applicability of these terms shall be referred to the Vice-President, Academic for resolution.

² Ratification by Senate after a course has been offered suffices to meet the legal requirements of the Universities Act, 54 (d) which provides that Senate shall have the duty 'to consider and revise the courses of study, instruction and education in all Faculties and departments of the University, including extramural instruction.' Thus, if a course has been approved by the Committee, and has been offered, but is subsequently not ratified by Senate, the effect will be to instruct the Committee not to approve that course or similar courses in the future.

R. Bradley stated that the proposal had been developed to meet the injunction contained in the Universities Act wherein responsibility rested upon Senate to scrutinize and approve all courses offered for credit or for non-credit.

Amendment was moved by H. Weinberg, seconded by R. Kissner,

"That the membership be changed to the following:

Vice-President, Academic, or his designate (Voting)
- Chairman;
Six Senators, elected by Senate; and
Director of Continuing Education (Non-Voting)."

H. Weinberg said that Faculty Senators, as such, were expected to bring to bear, not their Faculty expertise and experience, but understanding of university affairs in general, and that a good deal of input could be provided by student and Lay members of Senate. K. Rieckhoff spoke against the amendment, saying that Faculty input was essential to exercise judgment from an academic point of view, particularly in assessment of credentials, and that the constitution of Senate itself involves a minimum input from each Faculty. W. Williams felt any necessary Faculty influence must come through Senate.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

15 in favor
8 opposed

R. Bradley noted that in view of approval of the amendment, consequential amendments would be necessary, and the Chairman responded that these would be editorial. The editorial amendments refer to the Terms of Appointment, as follows:

"The six Senators who are elected by Senate are to be appointed for periods of two years - except that in the first instance three members shall be appointed for one year and three members for two years."

Question was called on the main motion as amended, and a vote taken.

AMENDED MAIN MOTION
CARRIED

2. Senate Library Committee

1. Paper S.73-46 - Library Loan Policy - Clarification of Wording

It was noted that this paper had been distributed for information.

3. Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies

1. Paper S.73-47 - New Course Proposals - Faculty of Arts -
Geography 318-3 - Sedimentology and Past Environments;
Archaeology 438-3 - Application of Sedimentology to Archaeological
Sites

The Chairman stated that since the composition of the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies had been altered, it was the intention that Deans would introduce submissions from their particular Faculties. He noted that in the absence of the Dean of Arts, R. Bradley would represent that Faculty.

Moved by R. Bradley, seconded by A. MacPherson,

1. "That Senate approve, as set forth in S.73-47, the new Faculty of Arts course proposals for Geography 318-3 - Sedimentology and Past Environments, and Archaeology 438-3 - Application of Sedimentology to Archaeological Sites."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by R. Bradley, seconded by A. Hollibaugh,

2. "That Senate waive the normal two semester time lag requirement in order that Geography 318-3 may first be offered in the Fall semester 73-3."

D. Jamieson noted the repeated requests for waiving time lag requirements and questioned whether the policy should be changed. B. Wilson explained that the two semester requirement relates to the time required for publication of proposed courses in the Course Guide, but with the understanding that under unusual circumstances approval may be given if the material can be included in the publication.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

2. Paper S.73-48 - New Course Proposal - Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies - General Studies 301-3 - Didactic Arts of the 18th Century Revolutions

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by A. Hollibaugh,

1. "That Senate approve, as set forth in S.73-48, the new Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies course proposal for General Studies 301-3 - Didactic Arts of the 18th Century Revolutions."

Amendment was moved by W. Williams, seconded by J. Ellis,

"That the word 'Didactic' be deleted from the course title."

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Question was called on the main motion, and a vote taken.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by A. Hollibaugh,

2. "That Senate waive the normal two semester time lag requirement in order that General Studies 301-3 may first be offered in the Fall semester 73-3."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

3. Paper S.73-49 - New Course Proposals - Kinesiology 430-3 - Analogue and Digital Instrumentation; 442-3 - Biomedical Systems; 466-3 - Acquisition of Motor Skills

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by A. Hollibaugh,

1. "That Senate approve the new course proposals, as set forth in S.73-49, for the following:

Kinesiology 430-3 - Analogue and Digital Instrumentation
Kinesiology 442-3 - Biomedical Systems
Kinesiology 466-3 - Acquisition of Motor Skills."

K. Rieckhoff requested that his questions and the responses to them be recorded in the minutes. With regard to Kinesiology 430-3, which he understood to a large extent was a laboratory course, he asked if there had been liaison between the Departments of Kinesiology and Physics with regard to the nature and the offering of that course, and if the resources listed for the laboratory had been already obtained or if the existing facilities of the Physics Department had been considered. R. Brown responded that as far as he knew there had not been clear liaison between the two Departments, but the list of resources were available to present the course, including laboratory space in the building formerly occupied by the University Bookstore.

J. Munro then asked for an explanation of the request for an external review of the Department of Kinesiology and why additions to the Department's curriculum were proposed prior to the completion of the review. R. Brown responded that all departments in the University must undergo a departmental review within the next two years, and as a major calendar revision was proposed for the Department of Kinesiology it seemed reasonable that this was an appropriate time to institute the review. I. Mugridge added that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies had approved the entire Kinesiology program and had forwarded it to the Academic Planning Committee for its examination, but consideration there had been delayed pending the external review. He said that the three courses presented in Paper S.73-49 did not represent new directions in the Kinesiology program.

Amendment was moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by B. Wilson,

"That Kinesiology 430-3 be referred back to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies to ensure that there is appropriate liaison between the Department of Kinesiology and the Department of Physics concerning facilities for offering the course."

K. Rieckhoff regretted that there had not been suitable liaison between the two Departments, whereas E. Banister indicated there had been no interest shown in the Physics Department in mounting this type of course. H. Weinberg opposed the amendment on the basis that the Departments have difficulty in sharing resources, but B. Wilson noted that resources were becoming difficult to acquire, and favored the amendment to refer.

S. Aronoff indicated that he was concerned whether the proposed course in Kinesiology was different from one that might be offered in Physics to a sufficient extent to warrant altering its designation. He noted that the

Chairman of Kinesiology had explained at SCUS that the course was different and was necessary for the Kinesiology program, but the rationale had not been presented fully to Senate. S. Aronoff added that the same problem exists in connection with Kinesiology 466-3 wherein the subject matter is virtually covered by the Department of Psychology in five courses but is condensed into a single course for Kinesiology. He also expressed some concern about Kinesiology 442-3.

K. Strand asked the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies to explain the nature of the Committee's discussion on the Kinesiology submission. I. Mugridge responded that the question of whether there was a specific course in Physics which would overlap with Kinesiology 430 was not raised by any member of the Committee, and it was assumed that the Faculty of Science representatives had been satisfied that there was not substantial overlap.

Amendment was moved by A. Dawson, seconded by J. Munro,

"That Kinesiology 442-3 and 466-3 also be referred back to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies."

A. Dawson said the comments of S. Aronoff raised the question of how well the Committee had reviewed the courses and he felt there should be referral. H. Weinberg spoke against the amendment, stating that Kinesiology 466-3 had been discussed with the Department of Psychology where there had been specific agreement that this course would be taught in Kinesiology.

Question was called on the amendment to include referral of Kinesiology 442-3 and 466-3, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

6 in favor
17 opposed

Question was called on the motion to refer Kinesiology 430-3, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

Question was called on the motion to approve the new course proposals for Kinesiology 442-3 and 466-3, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

It was noted that Motion 2, as set forth in Paper S.73-49 was not necessary because the course for which it was designed had been referred.

J. Ellis requested the terms of reference of the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, and the Chairman indicated they were as follows:

- A. To consider and make recommendations on all existing courses, taking into consideration
 - 1. The University's academic standards;
 - 2. The need for coordination of all undergraduate activities within the University.
- B. To review the results of current evaluation processes and to bring significant discrepancies to the attention of Senate, the Faculties and departments concerned.
- C. To recommend to Senate grading and examination practices appropriate to the University's education processes to ensure
 - 1. reasonably consistent and equitable evaluation practices within and across courses;
 - 2. the continued maintenance of high academic standards.

J. Ellis stated that as one of the responsibilities of the Committee is to investigate redundancies that the Committee had not carried out this function adequately. The Chairman responded that as proposals come forth it would be his intention, with the advice of the Senate Agenda Committee, to defer them if documentation and rationale is deemed to be insufficient.

R. Brown submitted that the Committee had looked at courses with a high degree of intensity, but that this particular one had escaped close questioning primarily because the course was brought forward as a part of a major proposal which had earlier included a whole series of courses.

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES AND DIVISIONS

There were no reports from Faculties or Divisions.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Notice of Motion

R. Kissner commenced to read a statement, and the Chairman indicated that he assumed a Notice of Motion was being given and that the Senator could read it on the understanding that the usual procedure of submitting it formally for consideration by the Senate Agenda Committee for the next meeting would follow. J. Munro asked for clarification on the rules of procedure for Senate, dated April, 1966, indicating that they did not prohibit the introduction of motions on the floor of Senate, and that the terminology of "Notice of Motion" had caused him some confusion since assuming his seat on Senate. The Chairman indicated that there had been a

number of revisions to rules made by Senate in its on-going deliberations and that a Senate Committee had been struck to consider rules and bring forward recommendations. Report, since the Committee was restructured, has not come forward. The Chairman described how and why the "Notice of Motion" item on the agenda had been developed and introduced in Senate.

R. Kissner indicated that he believed there was an emergency, that he wished to introduce the motion, and the Chairman noted that it might be necessary to request suspension of the rules but that the motion should first be read.

R. Kissner read the motion as follows:

"Since under Part 5, Section 34 (a) and (d) of the Universities Act, Senate is concerned about the academic community and therefore should be concerned about anything that endangers the effectiveness of university instruction, since the effectiveness of our classes are endangered because of the fact that most of our seminars, tutorials and classes, and certainly the administration run on coffee and other presently boycotted food items, I would ask Senate to consider the following bread and butter issue and motion: That Senate protest the ill-considered food price increase of 15% passed by the SFU Board of Governors to be effective April 1, 1973, particularly that Senate object to the distribution of the increase which raises the cost of the lower priced items normally purchased by students and other lower income members of the SFU community by more than 33% - for example milk, and increases in the cost of higher priced items such as entrees and gourmet sandwiches by in some cases less than 7%, as in the case of barbequed beef or baked ham; further that Senate protest the university administration's unwillingness to deal with these existing structural inefficiencies in their food service outlets which it admits is largely responsible for the present high cost and low quality of the food service on campus."

The Chairman indicated that he would accept this as a Notice of Motion. R. Kissner argued that there was an emergency and that the normal Notice of Motion rules be waived and the motion accepted now. The Chairman ruled that this was not in order at this time, that he would reserve question as to whether or not the motion was in order at a later time. He indicated that the Senator could challenge the ruling and, if challenged, Senate would then vote. The ruling of the Chair was challenged by A. Hollibaugh and R. Kissner. Vote was undertaken on the challenge and the ruling of the Chair upheld by a vote of 14 to 8.

J. Munro then gave notice of motion, "That the Senate Agenda Committee be instructed to prepare revised Senate Rules of Procedure to be presented for Senate approval at the July 1973 meeting of Senate."

2. Date of Next Meeting

It was noted that the next meeting of Senate is scheduled for Monday, May 7, 1973, at 7:30 p.m.

3. Other Items

There were no other items.

4. Confidential Matters

The meeting recessed briefly at 8:58 p.m. prior to moving into Closed Session.

H. M. Evans
Secretary

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY

Appendix A to
S.73-41 and S.73-42

MEMORANDUM

To SENATE

From K. STRAND *K. Strand*

CHAIRMAN OF SENATE

Subject SENATE AGENDA FOR APRIL 2, 1973 -
ITEMS 3.1, 3.2 - PAPERS S.73-41,
S.73-42

Date MARCH 20, 1973

I would draw to the attention of Senators that these papers, on the recommendation of the Senate Agenda Committee, have been distributed "for information."

At the meeting of Senate on March 5, 1973, during discussion on the annual report of the Senate Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries some members gave indication of intent to bring forward for the next meeting, through notice of motion, motions pertaining to the area of awards, etc. It was made clear that such motions would have to come forward in the regular manner with appropriate papers to be submitted for the Agenda Committee in the usual fashion. (Attention is drawn to the last paragraph of page 3 of the minutes and to comments made at the time of each general notice.)

In view of the fact that motions with appropriate papers have not been received, the Committee recommended that the papers noted be distributed for information. It is not proposed that there be discussion until such time as relevant motions have been placed before Senate. This is not to suggest that the topics earlier suggested are not worthy of debate, but that pertinent motions with support papers need be provided to focus discussion. There is no desire to repeat the processes of the last meeting.