

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY  
HELD MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1971, EAST CONCOURSE CAFETERIA, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

PRESENT:

Strand, K. T.

Chairman

Aronoff, S.  
Basham, G. D.  
Birch, D. R.  
Bradley, R. D.  
Brown, R. C.  
Campbell, M. J.  
Carlson, R. L.  
Gilbert, K. L.  
Harper, R.J.C.  
Jennings, R. E.  
Lachlan, A. H.  
Nair, K. K.  
O'Connell, M. S.  
Reid, W. D.  
Rieckhoff, K. E.  
Sullivan, D. H.  
Sutherland, G. A.  
Turnbull, A. L.  
Wagner, P. L.  
Wheatley, J.  
Williams, W. E.  
Wilson, B. G.

Evans, H. M.  
Meyers, D. A.  
Norsworthy, R.

Secretary

Recording Secretary

ABSENT:

Baird, D. A.  
Caple, K. P.  
Claridge, R. W.  
Donetz, G.  
Drache, Mrs. S.  
Freiman, Mrs. L.  
Hamilton, W. M.  
Hodge, F. D.  
Mallinson, T. J.  
McDougall, A. H.  
Mugridge, I.  
Salter, J. H.  
Srivastava, L. M.

IN ATTENDANCE:

Barlow, J. S.  
Day, C. R.  
Prock, L.

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as distributed.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Open Session of October 4, 1971 were approved as circulated.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the minutes.

4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

There was no report from the Chairman.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

1. Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies

Paper S.71-122 - Curriculum Changes: New Undergraduate Course, Kinesiology 344-3

Moved by S. Aronoff, seconded by R. Carlson,

"That Senate approve the new course proposal from the Senate Interdisciplinary Committee on Kinesiology - Kinesiology 344-3 - Theory of Creative Movement - as set forth in Paper S.71-122."

Several Senators questioned the rationale for the numbering of the course, and R. Brown advised that all undergraduate offerings in Kinesiology were undergoing review and the lower level numbers were being revised. D. Sullivan was of the opinion that care should be taken in the numerical designations and that there appeared to be no reason for the course signifying the upper division. S. Aronoff stated that the course number indicated there was sophistication of upper division scholastic knowledge and that there was also convenience of scheduling involved.

Amendment was moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate approve the course as stated, but not approve the numerical designation, with a number at the 100 or 200 level to be worked out with the Registrar."

Debate continued on the logic of the number of the course, and it was

Moved by M. Campbell, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That the paper be referred back to the Senate Committee on the Interdisciplinary Program in Kinesiology for more information."

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER FAILED

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Question was called on the main motion, and a vote taken.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

2. Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures Pertaining to Professional Conduct

Paper S.71-123 - A Report of the Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures Pertaining to Professional Conduct

The Chairman noted that this paper was before Senate for informal discussion only, and he introduced the Chairman of the Committee, C. R. Day, who in turn introduced the members of the Committee, J. S. Barlow, R.J.C. Harper, L. M. Prock, M. S. O'Connell and P. L. Wagner.

R. Day outlined the history of the organization of the Committee and the motion approved by Senate at its meeting of September 23, 1968, which was "That Senate appoint an ad hoc Committee consisting of six members and charge it with bringing before Senate recommendations with regard to rules and procedures for considering allegations of non-professional conduct of faculty members." He stated that the purpose of the report was to provide a means by which faculty can deal with complaints of faculty and students and in so doing to police itself and to regulate its own affairs in a fair and democratic spirit.

A number of clerical errors in the document were pointed out by the Committee Chairman, and it was made clear that a further draft would be prepared, taking into consideration the comments and criticisms of Senate and the legal opinion of the University counsel.

The paper was discussed point by point, with numerous questions being satisfactorily answered by the Committee Chairman. In general the report of the Committee was favorably received, but many issues were noted for further consideration.

S.M. 8/11/71

A typed transcription of the tape recording of the session is available for reference.

K. Rieckhoff and R. Carlson in particular were most impressed with the careful and detailed preparation of the document, and commended the Committee for their work.

R. Day asked that specific criticisms and comments be sent to the Committee, and thanked the assembly for the opportunity of presenting the report.

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES AND DIVISIONS

There were no reports of Faculties or Divisions.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Notices of Motion

There were no notices of motion.

2. Date of Next Meeting

It was noted that the date of the next meeting of Senate is scheduled for Monday, December 6, 1971.

3. Other Items

There were no other items.

4. Confidential Matters

The meeting adjourned briefly at 9:45 p.m. prior to moving into Closed Session.

H. M. Evans  
Secretary