

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY  
HELD MONDAY, MARCH 16, 1970, FACULTY LOUNGE, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

PRESENT:

Strand, K. T.

Chairman

Baird, D. A.  
Bradley, R. D.  
Burstein, K. R.  
Carlson, R. L.  
Cole, R. E.  
Funt, B. L.  
Kenward, J. K.  
Kirchner, G.  
Lachlan, A. H.  
Rogow, R.  
Srivastava, L. M.  
Stratton, S. T.  
Sullivan, D. H.  
Vidaver, W. E.  
Walkley, J.

Evans, H. M.  
Kelsey, I. B.  
Barboza, Miss J.  
Norsworthy, Mrs. R.

Secretary

Recording Secretary  
Recording Secretary

ABSENT:

Brown, R. C.  
Campbell, M. J.  
Caple, K. P.  
Claridge, R. W.  
Collins, M.  
Drache, Mrs. S.  
Freiman, Mrs. L.  
Hamilton, W. M.  
Hean, A.F.C.  
Hutchinson, J. F.  
Lebowitz, M. A.  
McDougall, A. H.  
McLean, C. H.  
Perry, G. N.  
Sayre, J.  
Turnbull, A. L.  
Wassermann, Mrs. S.  
Webster, J. M.

In attendance: Mrs. N. Baird, Centre for Communications and the Arts;  
F. J. Brown, Behavioural Science Foundations; J. Chase, Academic Planner;  
L. Davies, Athletics and Recreational Services; G. R. Eastwood, Social  
and Philosophical Foundations; T. J. Mallinson, Centre for Communications  
and the Arts.

Note: For reference convenience two papers from the President, distributed at the commencement of the meeting, have been numbered:-

S.348c - Faculty of Education - For Information only.

S.348d - Re-organization - Educational Foundations Centre.

The Chairman opened the meeting with a brief statement on the proposed reorganization within the Faculty of Education and the proposed organization for the University as a whole, with special reference to the appointment of an additional Vice-President to be termed, at least for the time being, Vice-President for University and Community Services. The Chairman pointed out that under the University organization as a whole, as presented in Paper S.345, it was suggested there would be three main areas which would come under the jurisdiction of the new Vice-President's office. These were to include Public Relations, University Services and General Studies. It was into this third area of General Studies that some of the areas to be discussed in the reorganization of the Faculty of Education might be placed. The Chairman pointed out also that these areas, including a Division of General Studies, have been approved by the Board of Governors, but it was up to Senate to decide whether or not it wished certain items now appearing in the overall programs in the Faculty of Education to be deposited in the area of General Studies, such as Athletics, Recreation, and an Art Centre.

The Chairman then asked that Senate now consider the proposed reorganization of the Faculty of Education as presented in Papers S.348a, S.348b, S.348c and S.348d. The Chairman pointed out that there were three motions contained in Paper S.348a, which Senate would examine first, two amendments which were presented in Paper S.348c, five motions in Paper S.348b, and a motion proposed by the Chairman himself as stated in Paper S.348d.

1. Paper S.348a - Reorganization of Faculty of Education relating to the Arts Program in the Centre for Communications and the Arts, Athletic and Recreational Services in the Physical Development Centre and the Kinesiology Program in the Physical Development Centre.

Moved by L. Srivastava, seconded by J. Walkley (Motion 1, S.348a),

"that Senate accept the separation of the Arts program from the present Centre for Communications and the Arts and establish it as a program in the proposed division of General Studies."

At this point S. Stratton, Acting Dean of Education, asked that the resource persons from the Faculty of Education, who had come to the meeting to answer questions and comment on certain sections of the proposed reorganization of the Faculty of Education, be called upon to

answer to a number of the items under discussion. Senators agreed to this request and later Senator Stratton introduced the resource people as Mrs. N. Baird, Centre for Communications and the Arts; F. J. Brown, Behavioural Science Foundations; L. Davies, Athletics and Recreational Services; G. R. Eastwood, Social and Philosophical Foundations; and T. J. Mallinson, Centre for Communications and the Arts.

It was then proposed that Senators ask a series of questions which they had on the item under discussion and that the questions would be answered by appropriate persons at the conclusion of the question period.

Numerous questions were asked by Senators including items on the creation of a Division of General Studies, on the placement of the Arts Centre and program in the Division of General Studies, on where the Arts Centre would be placed if there was not a Division of General Studies, on what the rationale was for taking Arts out of its present setting, on whether there was a change from credit programs to non-credit programs, on what would happen to the "occasional student."

It was pointed out from the Chair that provision already exists for the Division of General Studies and that the Arts Centre could be housed here when this has been set up administratively under the office of the new Vice-President, that it was important for the Arts Centre to serve the entire University and that it could best do this in the Division of General Studies as opposed to its present position in the Faculty of Education, and that programs currently offered are non-credit courses. This policy of offering programs as non-credit courses will continue, and "occasional students" will continue under the present arrangement.

Amendment moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that the following statement be added to the main motion:-

'contingent upon passage by Senate of a detailed description of the organization and function of the Arts Centre in the University General Studies program.'"

It was asked that the amendment be ruled out of order because it was not Senate's responsibility to deal with administration of a non-academic program and that this responsibility belonged to the Administrative Offices of the University. The Chair ruled the motion out of order, with the ruling being challenged. The ruling of the Chair was sustained with 8 in favor and 4 opposed.

S.M. 16/3/70

Amendment moved by K. Burstein, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"that the following statement be added to the main motion:-

'contingent upon the appointment of a Vice-President for University and Community Services, or some person to fulfill the functions of that office.'

It was claimed that this motion should be ruled out of order on the basis that Senate is not responsible for non-academic programs, and that this will become a non-academic program when placed under General Studies. Discussion followed on whether or not the Centre for Communications and the Arts had been earlier approved as an academic program within the Faculty of Education, with it being noted that it was a legitimate academic program within the Faculty of Education. Debate continued on this point, with the Chairman pointing out that the program as presently constituted provides a service to the entire University, and that it should therefore come under an all-University program rather than remain within a particular Faculty.

Question was called on the amendment to have the separation and establishment contingent on the appointment of an individual to the Office of Vice-President of University and Community Services, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

6 in favor  
3 opposed

It was then moved by S. Stratton, seconded by L. Funt, that the following two amendments to Motions 1 and 2, contained in Senate Paper S.348a be adopted:

"(a) that until negotiations regarding reclassification and changes in terms of tenure procedures have been negotiated with the Faculty Association and the Board of Governors, that there will be no change in ranking or rights and privileges for persons in these areas (Arts Centre, and Athletics and Recreational Services).

(b) that if there is a time lag between the completion of the above negotiations and notification of contract renewals, that there will be automatic contract renewals until the negotiations have been completed."

However, it was pointed out by the Chairman that these two amendments were really conditions which would occur with the passage of Motions 1 and 2 and that they did not have to be passed as amendments to the motions. The amendments were then withdrawn.

After further debate on the amended main motion to separate Arts from the Centre for Communications and the Arts and to establish it as a program in the proposed Division of General Studies, a vote was taken.

AMENDED MOTION CARRIED

11 in favor  
3 opposed

Moved by S. Stratton, seconded by L. Funt (Motion 2, S.348a - one word deleted),

"that Senate accept the separation of the Department of Athletic and Recreational Services from the Centre for Physical Development and include it as a program or programs in the proposed Division of General Studies."

Amendment moved by K. Burstein, seconded by J. Kenward,

"that the following statement be added:

'contingent upon the appointment of a Vice-President for University and Community Services, or a person to fulfill the functions of that office.'

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

8 in favor  
2 opposed

Question was called on the amended main motion, and a vote taken.

AMENDED MAIN MOTION  
CARRIED

12 in favor  
2 opposed

Moved by S. Stratton, seconded by G. Kirchner (Motion 3, S.348a),

"that Senate accept the administrative separation of Kinesiology from the Physical Development Centre and agree to its administration by the Dean of Science, Chairman of the Senate Interdisciplinary Committee on Kinesiology, until such time as the question of the organization and location of inter-Faculty programs is finally resolved."

Debate on this item centered on the status of faculty members currently teaching in this program within the Physical Development Centre if the motion passed. After considerable discussion it was clarified that the faculty members would retain their present status and that faculty members teaching the Kinesiology and Science courses related to the Kinesiology degree programs, both Bachelors and Masters, would remain in their respective Faculties. It was explained that the proposed separation was primarily an administrative separation so that the Kinesiology program could be administered by the Senate Interdisciplinary Committee on Kinesiology.

D. Sullivan asked that the motion be ruled out of order because of insufficient supporting evidence for the transfer of the Kinesiology program from a Department to a Committee, again noting problems of faculty status, tenure, appeals, budget. He referred to the nature of problems when an administrative separation of Archaeological Studies was made. The Chairman refused to rule the motion out of order.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Burstein,

"that this item be postponed until a detailed proposal covering all aspects of administrative matters related to this transfer comes before Senate."

After considerable debate, question was called on the motion to postpone, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO POSTPONE  
CARRIED

10 in favor  
4 opposed

It was asked at this point who would initiate action to see that this information would come before Senate. The Chairman replied that he would take appropriate action.

2. Paper S.348b - Reorganization of the Faculty of Education relating to Professional Foundations, Physical Development Studies, Communication Studies, and the Educational Foundation Centre as a Centre for Studies in Education.

Moved by S. Stratton, seconded by G. Kirchner (Motion 1, S.348b),

"that Senate accept the separation of Professional Foundations from the Educational Foundation Centre and constitute it as the Professional Development Centre according to the principles set out in Faculty of Education paper 70#10."

S.M. 16/3/70

Amendment moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by J. Kenward,

"that the following sentence be added:

'For the purposes of policies and procedures established by the University, where the word "Department" is utilized, for the Faculty of Education it shall be deemed to refer to "Centre".'

Discussion followed on whether or not this change should apply only to this motion or whether or not it should apply throughout following motions. Opinion was expressed repeatedly that the amendment apply specifically to Motion 1. Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Amendment moved by K. Burstein, seconded by J. Kenward,

"that the word 'Department' be substituted for the word 'Centre' in this motion after the words 'Professional Development.'"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

3 in favor  
8 opposed

Amendment moved by J. Walkley, seconded by J. Kenward,

"that the following sentence be added to the main motion:-

'For the purposes of policies and procedures established by the University, the Professional Development Centre shall be considered as a Department.'

An amendment to the amendment was moved by L. Srivastava, seconded by G. Kirchner, but it was ruled out of order by the Chairman.

Question was called on the Walkley/Kenward amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

7 in favor  
6 opposed

S.M. 16/3/70

At this point Senate discontinued direct discussion on Motion 1, amended, of Paper S.348b.

Moved by L. Funt, seconded by J. Kenward,

"that Senate consider informally for 30 minutes all the remaining items related to Paper S.348b and those items related to it as contained in Paper S.348d."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO CONSIDER  
INFORMALLY CARRIED

The Chairman reminded members that he had indicated a change in the motion proposed in S.348b, as follows:-

"For a one year interim period, or until such time as a program or programs are approved by Senate - whichever occurs earlier:

- a) continued de facto departmental recognition of B.S.F., S.P.F., and C.S.
- b) reorganization of the three divisions into an Educational Foundations Centre with the following constraints:
  - i. single undergraduate education committee
  - ii. single graduate education committee
  - iii. a single spokesman for relations external to the Centre."

Considerable discussion followed on continuing with the present organization of the Educational Foundations Centre for a one year period and on the need to implement immediately the reorganization of the Centre as outlined in Paper S.348b. Numerous questions were directed to the resource people at the meeting. A diversity of opinion was expressed by these individuals on the need to keep these areas in the Foundations Centre separate from one another for the time being.

At the conclusion of 30 minutes it was moved by K. Burstein, seconded by G. Kirchner,

"that the informal discussion be extended 15 minutes."

MOTION CARRIED

10 in favor  
None opposed

At the conclusion of the additional 15 minutes discussion returned to Motion 1 of Paper S.348b, which reads as amended:

"that Senate accept the separation of Professional Foundations from the Educational Foundations Centre and constitute it as a Professional Development Centre according to the principles set out in Faculty of Education paper 70#10. For the purposes of policies and procedures established by the University, the Professional Development Centre shall be considered as a Department."

Question was called on the amended main motion, and a vote taken.

AMENDED MOTION CARRIED

8 in favor  
None opposed

Moved by S. Stratton, seconded by W. Vidaver,

"that Motion 2 of Paper S.348b be postponed until Senate has acted on the previous motion as related to Kinesiology as presented in Paper S.348a (Motion 3), which reads: 'that Senate accept the administrative separation of Kinesiology from the Physical Development Centre and agree to its administration by the Dean of Science, Chairman of the Senate Interdisciplinary Committee on Kinesiology, until such time as the question of the organization and location of inter-Faculty programs is finally resolved.'"

Question was called on the motion to postpone, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO POSTPONE  
CARRIED

Moved by S. Stratton, seconded by D. Kirchner (Motion 3, S.348b),

"that Senate accept the transfer of programs and personnel from the Department of Physical Development to the Professional Development Centre as outlined in Faculty of Education Paper 70#9."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Motion 4 of Paper of Paper S.348b, which reads "that Senate accept the formation of Communications Studies as a department and that this department be part of the Educational Foundations Centre as set out in Faculty of Education paper 70#7," was withdrawn as unnecessary.

Moved by S. Stratton, seconded by G. Kirchner (Motion 5, S.348b),

"that Senate accept the reformation of the Educational Foundations Centre as a centre for studies in education comprised of a union of Behavioural Science Foundations, Social and Philosophical Foundations and Communications Studies, and constituted according to the principles set out in Faculty of Education paper #70#11."

("Note re paper #11 - Particular note should be made that Faculty, in approving paper #11, did not approve the guidelines for undergraduate and graduate programs and wished to stress this point made on page 4 of the paper.")

The Chairman ruled that all reference to the tenure committee items be struck.

Amendment was moved by W. Vidaver, seconded by J. Walkley, but after lengthy discussion on whether or not the amendment could be substituted for the original motion, it was agreed that this amendment be withdrawn.

It was requested at this time that the resource persons present be permitted to comment on the motion which was before Senate. As there was some objection, the Chair requested a motion be made to this effect.

Moved by S. Stratton, seconded by K. Burstein,

"that the resource persons present be permitted to comment on the motion before Senate."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Considerable discussion followed on the reformation of the Educational Foundations Centre to include a union of Behavioural Science Foundations, Social and Philosophical Foundations and Communications Studies. Again differing points of view were expressed on whether or not these areas should be united or continue to operate

as autonomous entities. Question was called on the motion to accept the reformation of the Educational Foundations Centre, and a vote was taken.

MOTION FAILED

3 in favor  
8 opposed

Moved by W. Vidaver, seconded by L. Srivastava (Motion, S.348d, as changed),

- "For a one year interim period, or until such time as a program or programs are approved by Senate - whichever occurs earlier:
- a) continued de facto departmental recognition of B.S.F., S.P.F. and C.S.
  - b) reorganization of the three divisions into an Educational Foundations Centre with the following constraints:
    - i. single undergraduate education committee
    - ii. single graduate education committee
    - iii. a single spokesman for relations external to the Centre."

Amendment was moved by K. Burstein "that the single committees referred to in b) i. and ii. of Paper S.348d and as presented in the previous motion be single committees and that they be in addition to the regular departmental committees." As there was no seconder the amendment failed.

Question was called on the main motion, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

8 in favor  
3 opposed

### 3. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 1:15 a.m.

H. M. Evans  
Secretary