

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY  
HELD MONDAY, JULY 7, 1969, FACULTY LOUNGE, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

PRESENT: Strand, K.T. Chairman

Baird, D.A.  
Barlow, J.S.  
Brown, R.C.  
Burststein, K.R.  
Campbell, M.J.  
Carlson, R.L.  
Claridge, R.W.  
Cole, R.E.  
Collins, M.  
D'Aoust, B.R.  
Drache, Mrs.S.  
Freiman, Mrs.L.  
Hamilton, W.M.  
Hean, A.F.C.  
Hutchinson, J.F.  
Kenward, J.K.  
Korbin, D.  
Lachlan, A.H.  
Lebowitz, M.A.  
McDougall, A.H.  
Rieckhoff, K.E.  
Sayre, J.  
Srivastava, L.M.  
Stone, A.L.  
Stratton, S.  
Sullivan, D.H.  
Tuck, D.G.  
Turnbull, A.L.  
Walkley, J.  
Wassermann, Mrs.S.

Evans, H.M. Secretary  
Kelsey, I.B.  
Meakin, D.  
Wright, Mrs.L. Recording Secretary

ABSENT: Caple, K.P.  
McLean, C.H.  
Perry, G.N.

B.L.Funt and A.R.MacKinnon, on research semester, were represented by J.S.Barlow and S.Stratton respectively.

*Dr. Goo  
Elections*1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Moved by J.Walkley, seconded by S.Stratton,

"that the Agenda as recommended by the Senate Agenda Committee be approved."

MOTION CARRIED

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF OPEN SESSION OF JUNE 2, 1969

M.Campbell indicated that correction was needed on Page 6 in the second to last paragraph with replacement of the words "negative vote" with the word "abstention".

With reference to Page 4, K.Strand disputed the statement that he agreed with arguments made and that the line should read "K.Strand disagreed with the arguments made."

Subject to the changes noted, the Chairman ruled the Minutes approved.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

L.Freiman made reference to Page 12, Item 5, Section b, and the Chairman indicated that no formal meeting had yet been held.

6. ELECTIONS - PAPERS S.243, S.242, S.241

The Chairman indicated that the seven elections listed under Item 6 of the Agenda would be held, and the Secretary stated that in the case of the election to the Board of Governors, curriculum vitae had been provided, and that in the election of faculty members to the Senate Committee on Examination and Grading Practices, curriculum vitae had been provided as requested by Senate. He further emphasized that in the case of Senate elections, under Senate rules, it was necessary that for a ballot to be valid the individual voting must cast the exact number of votes corresponding to the numbers of individuals to be elected.

i) Election to the Board of Governors

Election of three members of Senate to the Board of Governors was required. The Chairman then asked nominees to the Board if they wished to make statements of position and informed senators that they were at liberty to question nominees on their attitudes to matters relevant to the university. Questions were raised and statements made. The candidates were *M. Campbell* R.W. Claridge, M. Collins, Mrs.L.Freiman, A.F.C.Hean, J.K.Kenward, D.Korbin. J.K.Kenward indicated that if elected he was prepared to resign his position as a Teaching Assistant as the holding of such position would disqualify him from membership on the Board.

*Elections*  
*La Gov.*  
*Ac. Bd.*  
*Agenda Cc.*  
*Appeals*  
*Library*

Ballot vote was undertaken and M.Collins and A.F.C.Hean were declared elected. As a tie vote had resulted for the additional position, a run-off election was held between R.W.Claridge and J.K.Kenward, with J.K.Kenward being declared elected.

It was noted that under the Universities Act the candidates would hold office for a three-year term, and until successors were elected.

ii) Election to the Academic Board for Higher Education in British Columbia

Two members were to be elected, replacing R.Baker and R.Haering, with the candidates being P.Copes, J.F.Hutchinson, A.P.Kup, D.H. Sullivan, P.L.Wagner.

A ballot vote was held and J.F.Hutchinson and D.Sullivan were declared elected for a three-year term of office under the Universities Act.

iii) Election to the Senate Agenda Committee

Election was required to replace S.Wong, and with one member to replace temporarily W.Vidaver who was on research semester. It was agreed that the candidate with the highest number of votes would replace S.Wong and the candidate with the second highest number of votes would replace temporarily W.Vidaver, with the candidates being J.F.Hutchinson, J.K.Kenward, A.H.McDougall.

Ballot election was undertaken and A.H.McDougall was elected to the full position to replace S.Wong, with J.F.Hutchinson elected to temporarily replace W.Vidaver.

iv) Election to the Senate Committee on Appeals

One faculty senator was required to replace J.F.Hutchinson, and one faculty senator to replace L.Boland who was an alternate, on the understanding that the individual who obtained the highest number of votes would replace J.F.Hutchinson, and the candidate with the second highest number of votes would be the alternate, with the candidates being R.C.Brown, R.E.Cole, S.Stratton, A.L. Turnbull.

Ballot election was undertaken with R.C.Brown being elected to the full position and A.L.Turnbull to the alternate position.

v) Election to the Senate Library Committee

One senator was required to replace S.Wong for a balance term of office to September 30, 1969, with the candidates being J.K.Kenward, D.Korbin.

Following ballot election, J.K.Kenward was declared elected.

vi) Election to the Senate Committee to review Senate Rules and Procedures and to establish Codification

Following the resignations of K.Rieckhoff and D.H.Sullivan, two members were required for election to the Ad Hoc Committee of Senate with the candidates being K.R.Burstein, R.L.Carlson, W.M.Hamilton, M.Lebowitz.

Following ballot election W.M.Hamilton and M.Lebowitz were declared elected.

vii) Election to the Senate Committee on Examination and Grading Practices

a) Four members of faculty were required to be elected with the candidates being A.Blackman, L.Boland, J.F.Cochran, J.F.Hutchinson, L.M.Kendall, L.Prock, P.L.Wagner, S.Wassermann, J.M.Webster.

Ballot election was undertaken and L.Boland, J.F.Hutchinson, L.M.Kendall, S.Wassermann were declared elected to the faculty positions.

b) It was noted that two student members were required for election to the committee to complete the membership and D.Tuck, Chairman of the Nominating Committee, indicated that arrangements had been made with the Student Society to bring forward the nominations of students by submission of names through one of the student senators. Unfortunately, there had been misunderstanding on this point and a submission made by J.K.Kenward had not been included on the data provided to the individual members of Senate.

Moved by K.Burstein, seconded by A.Stone,

"that Senate rules on nominations from the floor be suspended."

MOTION CARRIED  
18 in favour  
8 opposed  
2 abstained

The Secretary explained that J.K.Kenward had submitted, on behalf of the Student Society, nominations on behalf of J.Misera and T.Hammond.

Moved by J.Walkley, seconded by K.Burstein,

"that nominations close."

MOTION CARRIED  
22 in favour  
1 opposed  
1 abstained

*Chan & Spad*  
S.M. 7/17/69  
*Method of App*

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by M. Campbell,

"that the two students nominated by the Student Society through the student senator be elected."

MOTION CARRIED

M. Lebowitz requested that his negative vote be recorded.

4. UNFINISHED BUSINESS FROM THE MEETINGS OF MAY 12 AND JUNE 2, 1969

(A) Reports of Committees:

- i) Senate Committee on the Method of Appointment, Tenure and Functions of Deans and Heads of Departments - Paper S.224, D. Tuck; Minority View - Paper S.224(a), R. Maud

D. Tuck, Chairman of the Committee, suggested that consideration be given in the following order:

- Sections I, II, III
- Section IV, Sub-sections 1-8 inclusive
- Section IV, Sub-section 9
- Section IV, Sub-sections 10-13 inclusive
- Section V

Moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by A. McDougall,

"that Senate move into Committee of the Whole for a discussion of the report."

Extensive discussion was undertaken preceding the vote.

MOTION FAILED  
6 in favour  
15 opposed  
2 abstained

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"that Senate approve Sections I, II, III of Paper S.224."

D. Tuck indicated that in the preparation of Sections I, II and III, careful consideration had been given the Report of Interim Council, the Duff-Berdahl Report and related items. M. Lebowitz argued that Section II and Section I 2(b) were not in keeping with the recommendations of Interim Council and the approval of Joint Faculty, and requested explanation for the variations. D. Tuck indicated that he saw no major conflict and was supported by J. Hutchinson, former Chairman of Interim Council. J. Hutchinson indicated that a number of the items

had not been part of the charge made to Interim Council, but was of the opinion that the current recommendations were in keeping with what he believed Interim Council would have done had it been given the charge. Discussion continued and vote was undertaken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by K. Burstein,

"that Senate approve Section IV, Sub-sections 1-8 inclusive."

M. Lebowitz requested that the Chair rule the item out of order as the Committee exceeded its terms of reference which was to define a system of search for a Chairman, but the recommendations provided a system to purge a department or how to examine the success of a department. The Chairman of Senate ruled the item in order. The ruling was challenged by M. Lebowitz, seconded by D. Korbin, and vote was undertaken with 18 supporting the ruling of the Chair, 5 opposed and 1 abstained. The ruling of the Chair was upheld. M. Lebowitz requested that his negative vote be recorded.

Discussion continued and K. Burstein indicated that he believed the item in order, but that it should be separated on the grounds that there was no place for a Review Committee of the type proposed, but that such review of a department should rest with the President, the Academic Vice-President and the Dean of the Faculty concerned.

A change was proposed by D. Sullivan, accepted by D. Tuck, of insertion on Page 4, Section IV 1, Line 3 of the word "normally" between the words "initiated ... not".

Discussion continued and J. Hutchinson supported the item. A. Stone indicated that he saw no need for external investigation and that provision for same likely could open the way to academic politicking. D. Korbin opposed the proposals. K. Rieckhoff indicated support for the item and B. D'Aoust argued in favour of the item, but suggested that the procedures did not go far enough. M. Lebowitz indicated strong opposition to Section IV, noting that there was more than merely a change from Deans Committee to a Senate Committee, and drew the attention of the members to the Minority View expressed in Paper S.224(a) by R. Maud.

Amendment was moved by A. Lachlan, seconded by J. Hutchinson,

"that Page 6, Section IV, Sub-section 7, Paragraph 1, Lines 3 and 4 be amended by deleting the words 'from outside the Department' and that Section IV, Sub-section 7b, Lines 6 and 7 be amended by deleting the words 'from outside the University'."

Question was raised as to whether the item was in order and the Chairman ruled the item in order.

Moved by L. Freiman, seconded by K. Burstein, "that consideration of the amendment be tabled until Section IV, Sub-section 2 is fully discussed" but the motion was ruled out of order by the Chairman. M. Lebowitz, seconded by K. Burstein, challenged the ruling of the Chair. Vote was undertaken with 15 supporting the Chair, 8 opposed to the ruling and 1 abstained, with the ruling of the Chair upheld.

Vote was undertaken on the amendment of Lachlan/Hutchinson.

AMENDMENT CARRIED  
23 in favour  
1 opposed  
1 abstained

Further discussion was undertaken, with individuals speaking for and against the motion.

Moved by L. Srivastava, seconded by W. Hamilton,

"that the previous question now be put."

MOTION ON PREVIOUS QUESTION FAILED  
17 in favour  
10 opposed  
1 abstained  
(two-thirds vote required)

Moved by A. McDougall, seconded by B. D'Aoust,

"that for discussion and voting purposes Section IV be divided as follows:

IV -- 1, 5, 6  
IV -- 2, 3, 4, 7, 8

Moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that Section IV be tabled."

MOTION TO TABLE FAILED

Vote on the motion of McDougall/D'Aoust to divide the question was then undertaken.

MOTION TO DIVIDE FAILED  
7 in favour  
16 opposed  
2 abstained

Moved by J. Walkley, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"that Senate move immediately to a vote on approval of Section IV,2."

MOTION TO VOTE CARRIED  
20 in favour  
3 opposed

Vote was then undertaken on approval of Section IV,2.

MOTION CARRIED  
17 in favour  
9 opposed  
3 abstained

*H. Burstein requested that his negative vote be recorded.*  
Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by R. Brown,

"that the previous question now be put on Section IV -  
1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8."

MOTION ON PREVIOUS QUESTION CARRIED  
21 in favour  
6 opposed

Vote was then undertaken on approval of Section IV - 1, 3, 4,  
5, 6, 7, 8.

MOTION CARRIED  
18 in favour  
6 opposed  
2 abstained

Senate then turned its attention to Section IV, Sub-section 9.

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"that Senate approve Section IV, Sub-section 9."

Amendment was moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by D. Korbin "that  
Section IV, 9b be deleted", but following discussion the  
amendment was withdrawn.

Amendment was moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by D. Korbin,

"that in Section IV, Sub-section 9b, Lines 7 and 8, the  
words 'until he has held office for at least 24 months'  
be deleted and replaced by the words 'except in those cases  
in which the Senate has approved this recall procedure  
once it has been initiated by the department'."

AMENDMENT FAILED

Vote was then undertaken on the main motion of Tuck/Rieckhoff,

"that Senate approve Section IV, Sub-section 9."

MOTION CARRIED  
17 in favour  
4 abstained



Section IV, Sub-sections 10-13 inclusive were then considered by Senate.

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"that Senate approve Section IV, Sub-sections 10-13 inclusive."

MOTION CARRIED  
18 in favour  
1 opposed  
3 abstained

Review of Section V was then undertaken.

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"that Senate approve Section V."

Amendment was moved by D. Tuck, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"that Section V, Sub-section 1 be reworded to read 'All departments of the University will initiate selection procedures for Chairmen, by the procedures set out above, within six months of the final acceptance of this policy statement by the University'."

AMENDMENT CARRIED  
17 in favour  
5 opposed

Vote was then undertaken on the main motion as amended.

MAIN MOTION AS AMENDED CARRIED  
17 in favour  
2 opposed  
2 abstained

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"that Senate adopt Paper S.224, as modified, as policy."

Discussion was undertaken and K. Burstein suggested that a number of technical details required change. A. Stone indicated opposition to approval and expressed the view that the Review Committee should include representatives of the department under review. A Lachlan suggested that review of Section IV, 12 was needed.

Notice of motion was given by B. D'Aoust:

"because

- (1) goodwill for a policy or a department is not easily come by but can easily be lost, and

*Minutes of App It*

- (2) the greater probability of objectivity and competence should help to avoid the nurturing of bad will,

moved in principle,

that where a department's academic soundness is seriously questioned, and whenever feasible, that outside authorities within the department's general field of competence be called in to assess its soundness. "

Moved by K. Burstein, seconded by B.D'Aoust,

"that the question be postponed until after consideration of B. D'Aoust's notice of motion."

MOTION TO POSTPONE FAILED  
11 in favour  
12 opposed  
1 abstained

Vote was then undertaken on the motion of Tuck/Sullivan,

"that Senate adopt Paper S.224, as modified, as policy."

MOTION CARRIED  
19 in favour  
7 opposed  
1 abstained

Moved by B. D'Aoust, seconded by K. Burstein, that

"because

- 1) goodwill for a policy or a department is not easily come by but can easily be lost, and
- 2) the greater probability of objectivity and competence should help to avoid the nurturing of bad will,

moved in principle,

that where a department's academic soundness is seriously questioned, and whenever feasible, that outside authorities within the department's general field of competence be called in to assess its soundness. "

Discussion on the motion was undertaken.

MOTION CARRIED  
20 in favour  
3 opposed  
3 abstained

*Minutes of App 1.*

Question was raised as to whether the motion would be included as an integral part of Paper S.224, and as to how the decision of Senate would be communicated to the Board. The Chairman indicated that he would present the submission to the Board as early as possible and that the motion just passed would be an accompanying motion but would not form an integral part of Paper S.224.

Adjournment

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that Senate adjourn and that Senate meet in one week at which time the strictly academic matters listed in the July 7, 1969, agenda be considered."

MOTION CARRIED

Senate adjourned at 12.50 a.m.

H. M. Evans,  
Secretary.