

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY  
HELD IN THE BOARD AND SENATE ROOM  
MONDAY, JULY 10, 1967, AT 7 P.M.

Present:

P.D. McTaggart-Cowan  
R.J. Baker  
J. Behrens  
D. Berg  
F. Candelaria  
J.L. Dampier  
J.S. Foulds  
G.H. Geen  
E.M. Gibson  
R.J.C. Harper  
G. Kirchner  
I. Koerner  
M.A. Lebowitz  
S.K. Lower  
A.R. MacKinnon  
J.W. Matthews  
C.H. McLean  
K.E. Rieckhoff  
G.M. Shrum  
G. Sperling  
D.H. Sullivan  
D.G. Tuck  
J. Walkley  
S. Wasserman  
W. Williams  
S. Yandle  
D.P. Robertson  
M. Dawson

Chairman

Secretary  
Recording Secretary

Absent:

D. Baird  
A.E. Branca  
A.J. Ellis  
C.J. Frederickson  
W.M. Hamilton  
A.F.C. Hean  
E.S. Lett  
G.N. Perry  
S. Wong

SM 10/7/67

-2-

Faculty Council  
Election  
Calendar  
Procedure

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Item 3C, third paragraph, question 8, amend to read: "Does a pass/fail system lead to a pass being given for mere attendance?"

Item 5, amend first line to read "It was agreed not to hold a regular Senate meeting in July".

With these amendments the Minutes were approved.

2. BUSINESS ARISING

A. S. Yandle asked whether Faculty Council Minutes and papers could be made available to Senators. It was agreed that the Registrar would check the records to see what ruling, if any, had been made, and inform Senators; the President would obtain legal counsel on the interpretation of the Universities Act regarding the relationship of Faculty Council and Senate.

Moved by J.L. Dampier, seconded by G. Kirchner

"that the Registrar check the early records and inform Senate of his findings"

MOTION CARRIED

B. Senate welcomed a new Senator, D.G. Tuck from Joint Faculty.

C. The schedule for the publication of the Calendar was distributed for the information of Senate:

November 6, 1967	All copy submitted to Registrar
December 4, 1967	Copy submitted to Senate for approval
December 11, 1967	Copy submitted to printers
December 27, 1967	First galley proofs from printers
January 5, 1968	Galley proofs returned to printers
January 22, 1968	Page proofs returned from printers
January 29, 1968	Page proofs returned to printers
February 29, 1968	Delivery of first shipment.

D. M.A. Lebowitz complained that, as a new Senator he did not have the materials available to him to perform properly his functions as a Senator. He asked that he be given a copy of previous Senate minutes, a description of the working rules of Senate and a list of all Senate Committees, their responsibilities and their composition. The Registrar informed him that it would be too costly to provide previous minutes for new Senators, but that copies of previous Senate minutes were available in Departmental offices. R.J. Baker suggested that retiring Senate members could pass their minutes on to new Senate members as a general rule.

/3....

SM 10/7/67

-3-

*Calendar*

3. NEW BUSINESS

A. Proposal for a Special Education Project - S-15

A.R. MacKinnon introduced the paper on special education and proposed it be discussed in two parts.

Moved by A.R. MacKinnon, seconded by J. Behrens

"that Part 1 of Paper S-15 be received by Senate"

After a vote was taken it was agreed to discuss the paper in spite of the short time it had been in the hands of Senators.

It was pointed out in the discussion that the details of the program had not been worked out beyond the Fall semester but that the program did not vary from the pattern of the Professional Development Program set out in the current Calendar and did not involve mounting any additional courses.

MOTION CARRIED

A.R. MacKinnon presented Part 2 of Paper S-15 containing a motion passed by the Faculty of Education as follows:

"that the Faculty of Education endorse the proposal of the Department of Behavioral Science Foundations to establish off campus a Centre for Handicapped Children. The purpose of this Centre would be three-fold:

1. The training of new teachers within the Professional Development Program.
2. The provision of education for children not normally accommodated by school districts.
3. The re-training of existing teachers. "

R.J. Harper gave a resume of the situation in B.C. in the field of Special Education.

The Chancellor said that Senate should consider the cost to the University of setting up and operating a Centre of this kind off-campus. R.J. Harper said that the project would be launched and operated without commitment from University funds apart from the salary of a co-ordinator who could be paid from the Behavioral Science budget. There was no requirement for space within the University; Faculty, already appointed for the purpose from the current budget, would be involved in the project. The Centre would make no more demands on University funds than would be made by normal enrolment in the Faculty of Education, although it was possible that the existence of the off-campus program would lead to increased enrolment in Special Education.

Moved by K.E. Rieckhoff, seconded by D.H. Sullivan

"that Senate endorse the principles in Paper S-15, Part 2.

MOTION CARRIED

3. B. PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. - SPECIAL EMPHASIS IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PHYSICAL EDUCATION - S-16.

A.R. MacKinnon presented the paper for the information of Senate and said that on page 2 the first sentence should be amended to read "The main stream of Professional Development will provide a "special" emphasis student with a basic understanding and competence to teach elementary school physical education."

Moved by A.R. MacKinnon, seconded by K.E. Rieckhoff

"that Senate receive this report"

MOTION CARRIED

C. INTERDISCIPLINARY PROGRAM IN KINESIOLOGY - S-17

K.E. Rieckhoff presented the paper.

During the discussion it was observed that Senate had already approved the program in Kinesiology - what was left to do was to specify details of the curriculum and settle on the degree to be awarded. G. Kirchner stated that the program proposed would make no demands on present University facilities except in the gymnasium and in one laboratory in BioScience. R.J. Baker questioned the advisability of having a program administered by a Committee rather than by a Faculty, suggesting it might be at odds with the Act; D.H. Sullivan questioned the very strict nature of the first two years of the program which required students to take a list of courses with no more than four elective hours within the first sixty hours.

Moved by R.J. Baker, seconded by R.J.C. Harper

"that Senate approves the Interdisciplinary program in Kinesiology as set out in Paper S-17, and that the degree awarded be a Bachelor of Science"

The President said the Motion would be taken in two parts, the first

"that Senate approves the Interdisciplinary program in Kinesiology as set out in Paper S-17"

MOTION CARRIED

14 in favour, 6 opposed, 6 abstentions

and the second

"that the degree be Bachelor of Science"

MOTION LOST

6 in favour, 14 opposed, 5 abstentions

SM 10/7/67

-5-

*Called  
Kinesiology  
Faculty Council  
Appeals  
Student Rep.*

K. Rieckhoff stated that the members of the Committee represented all points of view on the question of the degree to be awarded. The best solution they were able to arrive at was the one recommended: B.Sc.(Kinesiology). He stated that the opposition to the degree B.Sc. was strong in the Science Faculty and the program would be unacceptable to the faculty members if a B.Sc. were awarded. One of the reasons for this was that the Kinesiology program was more specialized than is permissible in a B.Sc. degree program.

It was then moved by K.E. Rieckhoff and seconded by G. Kirchner

"that the degree of Bachelor of Science (Kinesiology)  
be awarded for this program"

MOTION CARRIED

14 in favour, 5 opposed, 5 abstentions

#### 4. OTHER BUSINESS

A. D.G. Tuck put forward a complaint about the poor condition of the playing fields on campus, the cricket pitch in particular. The President said he would discuss this with the Manager, General Services.

B. S. Yandle said she had been asked to present an appeal to Senate by some graduate students against certain proceedings conducted by Faculty Council, in which they felt their interests had not been properly served, and which view is supported by the A.A.U.P. statement on student rights. They were also supported in this view by other graduate students, the Executive Council of the Student Society and the Graduate Student Association. There was discussion as to whether Faculty Council had exceeded its jurisdiction in the matter. The President pointed out that Faculty Council had in fact decided to take no action and therefore the matter seemed to be one of appealing a procedure rather than appealing a decision. It was observed that the question of jurisdiction could be a matter for the Student/Faculty Council Committee on University Regulations.

S. Yandle served notice of motion

"that Senate consider the appeals of graduate students  
involved in the Templeton School incident who are appealing  
the decision of Faculty Council"

D. Berg served notice of motion

"that Senate invite Faculty Council to inform Senate of its  
procedures"

S. Yandle served notice of motion

"that the question of the closed nature of Senate Meetings  
be re-opened"

The President said that he would seek legal counsel on the relationship of Senate and Faculty Council and it would be discussed at the next meeting of Senate.

The meeting adjourned at 10 PM.

D.P. Robertson  
Secretary