

MEMORANDUM

SENATE

From..... SENATE UNDERGRADUATE ADMISSIONS BOARD

.....
RANGE I, II APPLICANTS -
Subject..... ADMISSIONS, SUAB.....

.....
Date..... JUNE 16, 1982.....

Action taken by the Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board at a meeting held on June 14, 1982, gives rise to the following motion:

MOTION: That, commencing with the 1982 Fall Semester, the Director of Admissions is charged with the review and selection of applicants from the Range I and II (2.0 - 2.49 GPA) categories of B.C. High School students. Range II (2.0 - 2.24 GPA) applicants must present strong supporting documentation before serious consideration will be given. The Director may call upon the Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board to review some cases.

Note: If approved, these changes will amend the terms of reference and procedures of SUAB, giving delegated powers to the Director of Admissions to review and select in the above categories (see SUAB terms of reference, Purpose b) and Procedure d) attached).

SENATE UNDERGRADUATE ADMISSIONS BOARD (SUAB)

PURPOSE:

- a) To recommend to and receive from the Senate policy decisions on undergraduate admissions, re-admissions, standing and credit transfer and, where necessary, to provide general direction to the interpretation of such policies.
- b) To review applications that are in the C to C+ range and those that may be deficient or involve special or extenuating circumstances.

PROCEDURES:

It is the general responsibility of the Registrar to apprise the committee of areas in which policy needs to be formulated or of circumstances which necessitate the review of existing policy. Then, in line with the purpose above, there are three possible procedures which the committee shall follow:

- a) Recommendations to Senate: The Registrar will notify SUAB of need for review or establishment of policy; SUAB will forward recommendations to Secretary of Senate for inclusion on the agenda of next meeting of Senate.
- b) Receive policy decisions from Senate: Senate will instruct the Secretary of Senate to pass policy decisions to the SUAB, and the SUAB will, where necessary, provide general direction to the Registrar in the interpretation of the policy. In cases where Senate requests a recommendation from the SUAB, they will be handled as in a).
- c) Interpretation of existing policy: The Registrar will inform SUAB of the need for an interpretation of an existing policy. The interpretation will be made and transmitted to the Registrar's Office.
- d) Applications in Range I and Range II will be identified by the Admissions Office and SUAB selection/review meetings will be called by the Director of Admissions.

Applicants selected for admission by SUAB will be admitted as regular students without condition. Applicants not selected in the review process will have the right to file an appeal to the Senate Appeals Board only if they are able to present additional information (which was not available at the time of the SUAB decision) which is relevant and consistent with the criteria used by SUAB.

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY

MEMORANDUM

SUAB 95

To..... ALL MEMBERS, SENATE UNDERGRADUATE.....

From... ALAN C. McMILLAN,.....

..... ADMISSIONS BOARD.....

..... SECRETARY, S.U.A.B.....

Subject... SUAB REVIEW OF RANGE I, RANGE II.....
HIGH SCHOOL APPLICANTS - SUAB 95

Date... 11TH JUNE, 1982.....

I have just completed a review of the academic status of all high school students offered admission who placed in the special 2.0 - 2.49 range, based on high school grade point average. From the initial semester in 1980-3 through to 1982-1, we have offered admission to eighty-two students. Of these, forty-eight actually registered at SFU and their performance, based on current cumulative grade point average, is attached. Of the remaining students, thirty-two did not register, while two registered and withdrew before completing any coursework.

This SUAB Review procedure was intended to allow a transition period for the new Secondary School requirements. The new requirements have been in place for six semesters and it is appropriate that we evaluate the advantages of considering students with averages below 2.50.

The data shows that these applicants result in a lower than average yield and that the rate of academic success is also low.

Options that we may elect are:--

- A) Continue to review all applicants in the 2.0-2.49 range.
- B) Review only those applicants with a grade point average of 2.25 (Range I), or higher.
- C) Discontinue the review of Range I and Range II applicants.
- D) Delegate responsibility of review of Range I and/or Range II applicants to the Director of Admissions.

Attach.
ACM:bc

STUDENTS REGISTERED FROM 80-3 THRU 82-1
 WHO WERE ADMITTED FROM THE 2.0-2.49 HIGH SCHOOL G.P.A. RANGE

SFU CUM H.S. GPA G.P.A.	1.00	1.00-1.99	2.00-2.49	2.50-2.99	3.00-3.49	3.50-4.33
2.0						
2.1		8	1	3		
2.2		3	2			
2.3	3	9	10	7	2	
2.4						
TOTALS	3	20	13	10	2	