## FOR INFORMATION

## SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY

## **MEMORANDUM**

To:

Senate

From:

Nick Heath

Secretary, SAB

Subject:

Senate Appeals Board (SAB)

Date:

March 14, 1990

**Annual Report 1989** 

The Senate Appeals Board met 10 times in 1989.

Membership

F. Riahi - Chair

(Elected by SAB)

From SUAB - 1 Member

D. Ryeburn J. Weinkam (Faculty member)

(Alternate)

From Senate - 1 Member

R.W. Mathewes

P. Winne

(Faculty member - elected June/86 - May/89)

(Alternate - elected June/88 - May/89)

P. Winne

(Faculty member - Elected June/89 replacing

R.W. Mathewes)

E. Palmer

(Alternate - Elected June/89 replacing P. Winne)

From Student Society - 2 Members

A. Richmond

T. Pagliacci

(Student member appointed May/88 - Aug/89) (Student member appointed Aug/89 replacing A.

ichmond

Richmond)

W. Dang

T. Hendrickson

(Student member appointed May/88 - Jan/89)

(Student member appointed July/89 replacing W.

Dang)

S. Nyvik

T. Hendrickson

(Alternate appointed Sept./88 - May/89)

(Alternate appointed May/89 replacing S. Nyvik)

D. Belado

(Alternate appointed Oct/89 replacing T.

Alternate appointed Octros

Hendrickson)

A. Gutteridge

(Alternate appointed May/88 - Jan/89)

(Vacant)

Attendance at Board meetings was very good in 1989 in spite of the length of meetings and the difficult cases involved. Readmission appeals dominated, and the volume of these appeals is such that personal hearings cannot be conducted. This has dismayed some appellants, who have felt that their appeals have not been given a fair hearing. This view was echoed in the Student Society Ombudsperson's report. There is little to be done in the present circumstances.

Board members have been gratified to see that the subsequent academic performance of readmitted students has been significantly better than before the imposition of more stringent screenings. In the case of students who are required to withdraw in their lower levels, the Board's advice to study at a college appears to serve the student's academic interest well, but for those who are withdrawn in the upper levels, it is difficult to suggest suitable coursework which would both advance the student's program and provide evidence for a favourable readmission appeal.

Members of the Board perform a very valuable service for the University and their service is much appreciated.

In addition to the appeals shown on the attached table, the Director of Admissions and Liaison handled cases through delegated authority as follows:

Admission Readmission Early Readmission Retroactive withdrawal Selective Retroactive withdrawal Other	96 10 5 37 7 2
Total	157

NH/sp

Table 1: Results of Appeals January - December 1989

Date of Meetings	Jan 12	Feb 09	Mar 16	Apr 07	May 11	Jun 21	Aug 18	Sep 07	Nov 01	Nov 29	Total
Admission	8	0	0	0	0	0	က	N	· +-	0	Φ
Readmission	-	0	69	8	0	107	<del>-</del>	0	81	.0	261
Early Read.	9	-	12	0	-	23	0	0	16	0	5 9
Retroactive Withdrawal	လ	9	81	9	N	0	4	<b>-</b>	<b>ω</b>	10	6 4
Selective Retroactive Withdrawal	-	αo	7	7	4		ω	<b>N</b>	0	ဖ	တ
Transfer Credit	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Total	<u>L</u>	16	80	1.5	7	131	7 9	<u></u>	106	9	432
Decisions Made	epi 1de				,		·				
Sustain	7		4	ဖ	~	39	4	<b>'</b>	4	ဖ	115
Prov. Sustain	0	0	7	,0	0	12	0	0	27		4 6
Deny	9	ω	61	ω	4	80	7	4	61	4	243
Defer	2		က		2	0	22	4	4	9	28
Total	<u>L</u>	16	8 2	15	7	131	26	15	106	16	432