For Information

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY Office of the Registrar

S.98-65

Memorandum

To:

Senate

From:

Nick Heath, Secretary

Senate Appeals Board

Subject:

Senate Appeals Board

Date:

August 7, 1998

Annual Report for 1997

The Board considers cases wherein a student or former student feels aggrieved by the decision of a faculty, department or other administrative unit relating to a registration in courses, withdrawal from the University, eligibility for graduation, approval to a program or matter relating to academic standing, when special circumstances are present.

The Senate Appeals Board met eleven times in 1997 reviewing a total of 19 appeal cases, 1 of which was not resolved by the end of the year. In years previous to 1996, the high volume of cases made a detailed report impractical and a simple statistical summary was offered instead. (Since 1996 the Faculties have dealt with requests of retroactive withdrawal.) When the 1996 report was presented to Senate for 1997, more detail was requested, hence the current format. There were 16 appeal cases reviewed in 1996.

N Heath for K-L. Klymchuk, Kai-Lee Klymchuk, Chair, SAB

Nick Heath, Secretary, SAB

Dates of Meeting in 1997:

January 3, 1997 January 24, 1997 February 21, 1997 April 4, 1997 May 8, 1997 May 30,1997

August 1, 1997 September 19, 1997 October 24, 1997 November 14, 1997 December 18, 1997

SAB agreed to meet monthly, if there were sufficient business to warrant a meeting. As can be seen, this was achieved, thanks to the cooperation and flexibility of members.

Membership:

Chair - Elected by Senate:

Kai-Lee Klymchuk

Faculty Members Elected by Senate:

Stephen Steele Rolf Mathewes Michael Wortis Lee Hanlan

Student Members Elected by Senate

Harry Nip Brian Peterson Albert Chan Ian Yagi Ken Giffen

Martin Hahn

Member until May 31, 1997

General

Procedure

Each appeal is first screened to determine whether special circumstances are present. All appeals are first heard in-camera (written form only - Stage 1) and some are resolved at that Stage. If the SAB is unable to give a positive judgment at Stage 1, and if the student has requested an in-person hearing, the case is decided at a hearing at which the student or a representative is able to present evidence and answer questions (Stage 2). Each hearing lasts about 20 - 30 minutes.

This process resulted in the following action in 1997:

Appeal Type	Cancelled (no special	Resolved at Stage 1	Resolved at Stage 2	Unresolved
	circumstances)			
Retroactive Withdrawal	1	5	1	
Selective Retroactive Withdrawal	1	3	2	
Other	2	3	0	
Unresolved by end of 1997				1
Total	4	11	3	1

/sp

Date C	ase l
Jan 3	1 RWD 95-2
Jan 24	2 RWD 95-3
Feb 21	3 SRWD 96-3
Apr 4	3 SRWD 96-3
Apr 4	4 OTHER 96-1 Remove INW standing
Apr 4	5 RWD 96-2

Deferred to Stage 2	Student's request to retroactively drop a course, with mixed recommendations from instructor and Chair, was denied by the Faculty of Business Administration. Grounds for appeal were medical. SAB requested further information.	SRWD 97-1	12 S		Sept 19
Cancelled	Student's request to retroactively drop a course, without support recommendation from Chair, was denied by the Faculty of Applied Sciences. Student was dissatisfied with attitude, behaviour and skills of sessional instructor and with course content. SAB did not see clear evidence of extenuating circumstances beyond the student's control and noted that s/he could have dropped it within the first five weeks.	11 RWD 97-1	11 R		Aug 1
Sustained at Stage 1	Student's request to retroactively drop a course, with support recommendations from instructor and Chair, was denied by the Faculty of Arts. Student's appeal was documented. Stage 1 SAB agreed that it was administrative error.	10 RWD 96-2	10 R		Aug 1
Cancelled	Student was requesting 'reversal of decision of mandatory withdrawal' from PDP, issued by the Faculty of Education. SAB judged that the case amounted to a grade appeal because it resulted from a mandatory class which had a final grade of either 'Pass' or 'Withdrawn.' i.e. these are the final course grades. Further, SAB noted that the student may continue to take other SFU courses or repeat the same course. SAB determined that this case lay outside its mandate and referred it back to the Faculty.	OTHER 95-3	9	30	May 30
Sustained at Stage 1	Student's request to retroactively drop a course, with support recommendations from instructors and Chairs, was denied by the Faculty of Arts. For the appeal, more information was received. SAB judged that Faculty would have approved request if they had seen new material.	SRWD 96-3	s S	30	May 30
Sustained at Stage 1	Student's request to retroactively drop a course was denied by the Faculty of Science. Student did not receive support recommendations from the instructor or Chair. Student submitted further medical documentation. SAB judged that Faculty would have approved request if they had seen new material.	SRWD 96-3	7 S	∞	May 8
Sustained at Stage 1	Student's request to retroactively drop was denied by the Faculty of Business Administration because of a delay and because some recommendations were missing. Student had medical documentation and mixed recommendations of instructors and Chairs. SAB decided that 94-1 request was justified and, with departmental support, they would also approve rwd for 94-2. Student subsequently was granted rwd from both semesters.	RWD 94-1 RWD 94-2	6 R R	4	Apr 4

Dec 18 15 RWD 84-3 Student sub RWD 85-1 Grounds for to light in t SAB judged	Nov 14 18 OTHER 97-1 Student with Remove INW of resulting SAB judged	Nov 14 17 SRWD 97-2 Student's redenied by the course contest of the could have a solution of the could	Nov 14 16 SRWD 94-1 Student's recommenda RWD 95-1 recommenda	Nov 14 15 RWD 84-3 Student's rec RWD 85-1 Chairs for th Chairs for th	reasons and	Nov 14 12 SRWD 97-1 Faculty had	14 OTHER 97-1 12 SRWD 97-1	13 OTHER 96-2 OTHER 97-2 14 OTHER 97-1 12 SRWD 97-1
Student submitted additional medical information not previously presented to Faculty. Grounds for original request were immaturity, and stress. Additional factors came to light in the appeal - drug abuse, psychiatric disorder, resulting twice in hospitalization.	Student withdrew from three consecutive semesters, but advisor did not inform student of resulting ineligibility, as in case #4. SAB reviewed supporting documents. SAB judged this to be misadvising and allowed the student to register.	Student's request to retroactively drop a course without departmental support was denied by the Faculty of Arts. Grounds for appeal were dissatisfaction with course content. SAB determined that special circumstances were absent and noted that s/he could have dropped it within the first five weeks.	Student's requests to retroactively drop courses, with instructors' and Chairs' support recommendations, were denied by the Faculty of Arts. Grounds for appeal were medical, personal problems and employment. SAB requested additional information	Student's request to retroactively drop all courses with support from the instructors and Chairs for the 85-1 semester and with mixed recommendations from the instructors and Chairs for the 84-3 semester, was denied by the Faculty of Arts. Grounds for appeal were medical. SAB requested additional information.	Faculty had denied request because the intensity of the liness was inconsistent with successful completion of two of four classes. SAB accepted student's reasons and determined that srwd was justified.	the state of the illipse was inconsistent	Student took course for interest only and sought retroactive substitution of B grade with AU notation, to improve gpa. SAB determined that extenuating circumstances were absent.	Student (senior) believed courses were taken for audit, not credit. All student's previous courses were audit. SAB agreed that registration instructions were not understood. SAB ruled course 'grades' to be replaced with AU notation. Student urged to take more care in registering. Student took course for interest only and sought retroactive substitution of B grade with AU notation, to improve gpa. SAB determined that extenuating circumstances were absent.
Sustained Stage 2	Sustained at Stage 1	Cancelled	Deferred to Stage 2	Deferred to Stage 2	Stage 2	Sustained at	Cancelled Sustained at	Sustained at Stage 1 Cancelled Sustained at

Dec 18 19 SRWD 96-3 instructors and Chairs, was denied by the Faculty of Science. Grounds for appeal were medical and personal. Student was RTW after two semesters of poor grades. obtain advice. S/he might receive a more favourable decision for complete withdrawal instead. SAB judged the circumstances did not warrant selective course drops. SAB urged student Student's request to retroactively drop certain courses, with mixed recommendations from Denied at

RWD = Retroactive withdrawal appeal
SRWD = Selective retroactive withdrawal appeal
INW = Ineligible to register because of three successive withdrawals
RTW = Required to withdraw

Stage 1: SAB reviews written submission. Appeal is decided at this stage if:

a) the appellant has requested a written appeal only; or b) SAB judges that the written material presented is sufficient for a favourable decision.

Stage 2: Appellant and/or representative attends a brief in-person hearing. Discussion, decision and voting take place in-camera. Appellant is advised immediately of decision.