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MEMORANDUM

| ATtENTION | Senate | DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FROM | Jon Driver, Vice-President, Academic and | PAGEs |
|  | Provost |  |
| RE: | Response to question regarding gender differences |  |

Senator June Francis has submitted the following questions to me:

1. What are the key gender difference statistics at SFUZ Specifically, what are the application, acceptance and registration rates by gender at SFU? Are there noteworthy trends in these data?
2. Are there any significant differences in these data by faculty or other important criteria?
3. Does SFU have strategies in place for addressing any imbalances identified? If so, what are these? If not, why not?

Institutional Research and Planning has prepared a short report (attached) that I hope provides useful summary data for Senate.

As one can see from the first page of the report, the overall rate of applications from men and women has remained fairly constant for the last five years, with women constituting slightly more than half of applicants, admitted individuals and first-time registrations for undergraduate programs. In graduate programs, there are differences between doctoral, masters and diploma programs. In doctoral programs, there is still a predominance of male applicants, with a slight decrease of female applicants and admissions since 2006/2007. A similar decline in the number of women who apply to masters programs can be seen, but the percentage of female first registrations has been fairly constant. The relatively high percentage of female applicants and registrants in "other" graduate programs probably reflects the fact that the Graduate Diploma in Education attracts a high percentage of women.

The second page provides data on the number and percentage of credentials awarded by gender. These data therefore reflect the number of women and men who start a program and their persistence in the program. There is a slight decline in the percentage of women who receive bachelor's degrees, but overall for the last five years there has been an approximate 3:2 ratio of women to men who have completed undergraduate degree programs. At the graduate level, we see a similar trend for masters programs (likely influenced by a high percentage of women in the
M.Ed. programs). The rather small number of doctorates awarded annually probably influences the less stable gender percentages, but overall women receive fewer doctoral degrees than men.

The third page breaks down headcounts of registered students by Faculty. As one would expect, there are significant differences in the gender balance across Faculties. For undergraduate programs, the lowest participation of women is in Applied Sciences (Computing and Engineering) and the highest in Education; these two Faculties have been outliers for the past five years. For the remaining Faculties, the results are fairly consistent through time, although there appears to be a trend to fewer female students in Environment and more in FCAT, with the percentage of male students ranging from the 30 's in Health Sciences to $48 \%$ in Science and Business. The only Faculty with less than $50 \%$ women undergraduates is Applied Sciences.

Graduate enrollment patterns do not map exactly on to undergraduate patterns. For example, Business graduate programs have more men than women, whereas in Health Sciences there are higher percentages of female graduate students than undergraduates. Applied Sciences remains the most male-dominated Faculty for graduate programs.

One should note that if all data were broken down by school, department or program, more variation could be observed.

Given the overall figures, I am not particularly worried that the University as a whole is unbalanced with regard to gender, and I recognize that there are broad societal factors that result in phenomena such as the high proportion of men in engineering and the high proportion of women intending to become teachers. Given that disciplinary differences exist, I would expect Faculty deans to be involved in determining whether action is warranted, and what action is appropriate.

| Undergraduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/11 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| Faculty | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female |
| Applied Sciences | 2,806 | 15\% | 2,461 | 15\% | 2,174 | 15\% | 1,840 | 17\% | 1,482 | 18\% |
| Arts \& Social Sciences | 12,565 | 59\% | 12,348 | 59\% | 12,313 | 60\% | 12,178 | 61\% | 11,961 | 62\% |
| Beedie School of Business | 3,711 | 52\% | 3,559 | 52\% | 3,597 | 51\% | 3,194 | 52\% | 2,884 | 52\% |
| Communication, Art \& Technology | 2,749 | 61\% | 2,642 | 60\% | 2,526 | 58\% | 2,438 | 55\% | 2,383 | 55\% |
| Education | 1,645 | 80\% | 1,583 | 80\% | 1,480 | 82\% | 1,460 | 81\% | 1,433 | 79\% |
| Environment | 745 | 54\% | 667 | 58\% | 638 | 58\% | 643 | 62\% | 678 | 63\% |
| Health Sciences | 1,195 | 67\% | 1,022 | 67\% | 588 | 67\% | 334 | 63\% | 78 | 72\% |
| Science | 4,259 | 52\% | 4,138 | 53\% | 4,117 | 53\% | 4,220 | 53\% | 4,066 | 53\% |
| Unspecified | 22 | 50\% | 56 | 66\% | 46 | 65\% | 26 | 50\% | 44 | 75\% |
| Total | 29,697 | 54\% | 28,477 | 55\% | 27,479 | 55\% | 26,332 | 56\% | 25,009 | 57\% |

## Graduate

| duate | 2010/11 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female |
| Applied Sciences | 529 | 21\% | 518 | 23\% | 443 | 22\% | 407 | 23\% | 394 | 23\% |
| Arts \& Social Sciences | 1,048 | 60\% | 1,073 | 61\% | 1,046 | 62\% | 990 | 62\% | 948 | 63\% |
| Beedie School of Business | 688 | 38\% | 642 | 37\% | 607 | 37\% | 485 | 37\% | 534 | 33\% |
| Communication, Art \& Technology | 298 | 54\% | 312 | 52\% | 272 | 50\% | 264 | 53\% | 258 | 52\% |
| Education | 1,754 | 76\% | 1,968 | 75\% | 1,989 | 74\% | 2,040 | 74\% | 1,913 | 74\% |
| Environment | 243 | 60\% | 255 | 57\% | 254 | 56\% | 243 | 58\% | 245 | 58\% |
| Health Sciences | 203 | 86\% | 188 | 89\% | 155 | 85\% | 105 | 83\% | 64 | 75\% |
| Science | 745 | 45\% | 731 | 46\% | 697 | 45\% | 687 | 44\% | 688 | 44\% |
| Unspecified |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 29\% |  |  |
| Total | 5,507 | 57\% | 5,685 | 58\% | 5,461 | 58\% | 5,241 | 59\% | 5,044 | 58\% |

## Notes:

a) Data based on fiscal year.
b) A student is counted if registered in at least one term in the fiscal year.
c) A student in more than one Faculty is split between the Faculties.
d) A student's most current Faculty and program is reported each year.
e) Data have been reorganized into the new faculty structure for comparison purposes only.

Source: IRP (oasis2).

## Undergraduate

|  | 2010/11 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female |
| Applicants | 24,299 | 53\% | 22,703 | 53\% | 21,486 | 53\% | 21,114 | 54\% | 20,785 | 54\% |
| Admissions | 13,156 | 55\% | 13,311 | 56\% | 13,211 | 55\% | 13,049 | 56\% | 11,436 | 57\% |
| Registered | 7,447 | 54\% | 7,377 | 55\% | 7,397 | 55\% | 7,504 | 55\% | 6,382 | 57\% |

## Graduate

|  | 2010/11 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female | Headcount | \% Female |
| Doctorate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicants | 1,137 | 40\% | 1,080 | 41\% | 870 | 40\% | 795 | 43\% | 938 | 49\% |
| Admissions | 325 | 47\% | 359 | 46\% | 307 | 41\% | 256 | 43\% | 280 | 55\% |
| Registered | 249 | 47\% | 264 | 48\% | 247 | 45\% | 209 | 41\% | 255 | 51\% |
| Masters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicants | 3,960 | 52\% | 3,659 | 54\% | 3,206 | 56\% | 3,095 | 58\% | 2,992 | 55\% |
| Admissions | 1,374 | 57\% | 1,535 | 56\% | 1,351 | 55\% | 1,133 | 57\% | 1,017 | 55\% |
| Registered | 1,009 | 57\% | 1,047 | 57\% | 997 | 54\% | 876 | 57\% | 860 | 56\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicants | 609 | 64\% | 830 | 65\% | 717 | 62\% | 804 | 69\% | 752 | 66\% |
| Admissions | 499 | 66\% | 681 | 66\% | 567 | 61\% | 693 | 70\% | 683 | 66\% |
| Registered | 443 | 67\% | 596 | 70\% | 474 | 65\% | 598 | 71\% | 648 | 66\% |

## Notes:

a) Data based on fiscal year.
b) Applicants refers to the number of students who applied to SFU. If a student applied for more than one program in a term, the student is counted only once in the term. If a student applied for more than one term in a fiscal year, the student is counted once under each term.
c) Admissions refers to the number of students offered admission to SFU.
d) Registered refers to the number of new students enrolled as of the end of week 3 of classes.
e) Other graduate programs includes graduate diplomas, exchange students, qualifying students and special students.

Source: Admissions data from Office of the Registrar. Registration data from IRP (oasis2 and graduate database).

| Undergraduate | 2010/11 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Awarded | \% Female | Number Awarded | \% Female | Number Awarded | \% Female | Number Awarded | \% Female | Number Awarded | \% Female |
| Bachelors | 4,472 | 59\% | 4,289 | 60\% | 4,441 | 60\% | 4,161 | 60\% | 4,310 | 62\% |
| Certificates | 373 | 68\% | 365 | 73\% | 419 | 75\% | 432 | 71\% | 485 | 73\% |
| Post Baccalaureate Diplomas | 77 | 82\% | 65 | 83\% | 50 | 78\% | 50 | 84\% | 72 | 88\% |
| Total | 4,922 | 60\% | 4,719 | 61\% | 4,910 | 61\% | 4,643 | 61\% | 4,867 | 64\% |

## Graduate

|  | 2010/11 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2008/09 |  | 2007/08 |  | 2006/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Awarded | \% Female | Number Awarded | \% Female | Number Awarded | \% Female | Number Awarded | \% Female | Number Awarded | \% Female |
| Masters | 1,010 | 57\% | 932 | 58\% | 879 | 57\% | 662 | 59\% | 768 | 56\% |
| Doctorates | 130 | 42\% | 133 | 50\% | 131 | 42\% | 128 | 48\% | 104 | 54\% |
| Graduate Certificates | 3 | 67\% |  |  | 2 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% |
| Graduate Diplomas | 147 | 66\% | 121 | 67\% | 133 | 66\% | 148 | 61\% | 147 | 68\% |
| Total | 1,290 | 56\% | 1,186 | 58\% | 1,145 | 56\% | 940 | 58\% | 1,021 | 57\% |

## Notes:

a) Data based on the fiscal year in which the student completed the requirements for the credential.

Source: IRP (credawrd2).

