from. $\qquad$ Registrar $\qquad$

Subject..Recommendation re.regar.ding ...the Evaluation
Date. $\qquad$

Grades must be submitted, according to a Senate approved regulation, within 96 hours of the examination having been written. This gives the Registrar approximately 24 hours to process the results, present them to the Senate Undergraduate Admissions and Standings Committee, and mail the grades and any decision of the Committee to the student in time for him to register for the next semester. The timing leaves no room for a consideration of the results in any one course as they relate to those in other courses, or in a department as they relate to those in another department. At the moment, the sole evaluation that is done on the grades is individual student oriented and results only in a decision as to the disposition of the student given the grades he obtained, with no consideration as to whether the grades are fair and reasonable.

I can see no way in which there would be time on the semester system to carry out the evaluative task customary at conventional universities where the resuits are not sent to the student until they have been through departmental review committees, faculty committees, university revisions committees and the like, all of which takes 6 weeks or longer. However, I am not sure that such a lengthy review is necessary on the semester system where we are attempting to downgrade the importance of formal "final" examinations.

I would recommend the following:
a) The individual student statement of marks be reviewed as it is now, by the Senate Undergraduate Admissions and Standings Committee.
b) A summary of the grades as attached be sent to each member of the faculty. Where this has been done at other Universities it has had a salutary effect. upon those markers or departments far out of line. Granted, it has no effect upon the students represented on the summary statements, but after a semester or two gross discrepancies would be unlikely.
c) The Committee of Heads review each semester the summary sheets as attached and comment on gross anomolies.
d) The Registrar present to Senate a report as attached for each semester along with comments from the Committee of Heads. Senate should make recommendations to the Heads for any changes thought necessary in the way in which the Grading Scale is being used, or may ask for specific comments from the Head on any apparent anomolies in his department.

This publication of the summary results will undoubtedly have a centralizing effect, although I suggest the Senate would want to make it clear that a review of the overall pattern of grading is necessary, if only to provide an informal benchmark for the individual marker, but that Senate has no intention of forcing any rigid "scaling" system on the University.

## D. P. Robertson

SPRING SEMESTER GRADES

MAY 1966

NOTES :

1. The grades shown are before any alterations as a result of re-reads requested by the students or reviews as carried out by the faculty independently. Alterations to the grades as a result of these would not have a marked effect on the distributions.
2. The "N" grades have not been shown in the distribution. "N" grades should mean that the student did not write for fear of failure and could therefore be treated as a failure. Unfortunately, the registration at the beginning of January was imperfect and, in the Spring semester at least, an "N" grade could just as validly mean that the Registrar's records were in error and the student was never registered in the courses and should not have been expected to write the examination. The " $N$ " grades have been included here as an auxiliary bit of information, but no conclusions can be drawn at this time from the size of the group.

## D. P. Robertson Registrar

May 19, 1966.

SPRING SEMESTER GRADES

TOTAL NUMBER OF STUDENTS

PERCENTAGE SCORING
B $\quad$ C $\quad$ D

NUMBER OF
"N" GRADES

Economics 100-3
Economics 152-3
Economics 200-3
Economics 253-3

350
283
224 98

20
11
11
4
5
4

## 20

27
13

23
43
35
19

19
18
15
26

955
7.21

31
19
22
91

English 101-3 476
English 102-3
English 103-3
English 111-3
English 201-3

307
647
647
277
336

| 8 | 33 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 38 |
| 8 | 43 |
| 10 | 35 |
| 9 | 47 |

44
40
38
34
35

| 11 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 9 |
| 7 | 4 |
| 11 | 10 |
| 4 | 5 |

84
43
52
36
42

DEpARTMENT OF
IS h total
2,043
8
40
38
8
6
257

31
18
13
29

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS TOTAL

27
15
18
38
3


| Geography 161-3 | 681 | 4 | 23 | 56 | 6 | 11 | 40 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Geography 211-3 | 100 | 1 | 42 | 37 | 9 | 11 | 4 |
| Geography 221-3 | 88 | 8 | 48 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 9 |
| DEPARTMENT OF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEOGRAPHY TOTAL | 869 | 4 | 28 | 52 | 6 | 10 | 53 |



PERCENTAGE SCORING
NUMBER OE

| TOTAL NUMBER |  | PERCENTAGE SCORING |  |  |  |  | NUMBER OF "N"GRADES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OF STUDENTS | A | B | c. | D | F |  |

French 100-3
French 101-3
French 201-3
French 202-3
French 203-3

102
165
194
40
10FRENCH TOTAL511

7
31
35
16
11
51

German 100-3
72
German 101-3
48
German 201-3
German 202-3
. 11
8
10
42
38
55
50
29
33
10
16
13

| - | 16 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 8 |
| -- | 1 |
| -- | - |

GERMAN TOTAL
139
16
42
29
11
2
25
Ru. ian 100-3 ian 101-3

15
54
13
19
20
27
13
18
12
$\qquad$
-
RUSSIAN TOTAL . 69

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Spanish 100-3 | 56 | 11 | 38 | 38 | 10 | 3 | 15 |
| Spanish 101-3 | 55 | 15 | 35 | 34 | 11 | 5 | 4 |
| Spanish 201-3 | 6 | 33 | 33 | 17 | 17 | - | $\ddots$ |
| SPANISH TOTAL | 117 | 14 | 36 | 35 | 11 | 4 | 19 |
| Linguistics 220-3 | 81 | 19 | 48 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 7 |
| LINGUISTICS TOTAL | 81 | 19 | 48 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 7 |




POLITICAL SCIENCE, SOCIOLOGY AND ANTHROPOLOGY


DEPARTMENT OF
POL.SC., SOC.
AND ANTHRO. TOTAL

| Psychology 101-3 | 306 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Psychology 102-3 | 294 |
| Psychology 201-3 | 32 |
| Psychology 203-3 | 14 |
| Psychology 204-3 | 62 |


| BiSc. 102-3 | 270 |
| :--- | ---: |
| BiSc. 203-3 | 189 |
| BiSc. 204-3 | 53 |
| BiSc. $305-3$ | 36 |

DEPARTMENT OF BIOL.SC. TOTAI 548 15 37

30
12
6
12

| Chemistry 101-3 | 159 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Chemistry 102-3 | 271 |
| Chemistry 111-2 | 82 |
| Chemistry 112-2 | 207 |
| Chemistry 222-2 | 26 |
| Chemistry 261-3 | 36 |
| Ct istry 271-2 | 29 |
| C listry 281-3 | 29 |
| Chemistry $471-3$ | 4 |


| 2 | 10 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 14 |
| 11 | 19 |
| 15 | 34 |
| 19 | 31 |
| 22 | 14 |
| 10 | 17 |
| 21 | 27 |
| 50 | 25 |

63
42
56
28
35
31
31
24
25
14
20
10
11
11
19
42
21
--

| 11 | 28 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 14 |  |
| 4 | 7 |  |
| 12 | -- |  |
| 4 |  | -- |
| 14 | 3 |  |
| -- | 2 |  |
| 7 | -- |  |

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY TOTAL

- 843

12
20
42
16
10 54

| Mathematics 101-3 | 128 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mathematics 111-3 | 280 |
| Mathematics 112-3 | 457 |
| Mathematics 213-3 | 53 |
| Mathematics 214-3 | 50 |
| Mathematics 221-2 | 60 |

## DEPARTMENT OF

MATHEMATICS TOTAL
1,028
12
21
42
12
13
125

## $\sin 6 / 6 / 66$

## REGISTRARS REPORT'

continued
(5)

TOTAL NUMBER PERCENTAGE SCORING NUMBER OF OF STUDENTS
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{A} & \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{D}\end{array}$
8
$8 \quad 1$
12
13
19
19
31
46
29
28
23
16
35

DEPARTMENT OF
PHYSICS TOTAL
502
9
20
26
24
21
54

Education 201-3
Education 202-3
491
209
11
35
45
6
3
35
15
61
23
1
--
3

## DEPARTMENT OF

Y CATION TOTAL
700
12
43
38
5
2
38

UNIVERSITY TOTAL
11,355
9
32
38
11
10

