

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY HELD
MONDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1984, KLAUS RIECKHOFF HALL, 4:00 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

Present: Saywell, W.G., Chairman

Archer, B.
Barrow, R.
Basham, G.
J.L. Berggren
Blackman, A.R.
Brown, R.C.
Calvert, T.W.
Cavers, J.K.
Chin, K.C.
Cleveland, W.L.
Cochran, J.F.
Crawford, C.B.
Dalby, S.
Dickinson, J.
Dobb, T.C.
Flynn, G.
George, D.A.
Graham, G.A.C.
Hoegg, J.L.
Holweg, B.
Hoyt, G.C.
Ivany, J.W.G.
Kameda, T.
Kazepides, A.C.
MacPherson, A.
McAllister, H.
McDonald, D.
McGeer, B.T.
McKinlay, J.D.
Nielsen, V.
Noonan, M.
Okuda, K.
Pinfield, L.T.
Rieckhoff, K.E.
Routledge, R.D.
Ross, J.
Stewart, R.
Strate, G.
Swartz, N.M.
Tham, J.
Tuinman, J.J.
Warsh, M.I.
Webster, J.M.
Weinberg, H.
Wells, E.J.
Wideen, M.F.
Zeller, P.

Absent: Camp, C.
D'Auria, J.M.
Hamilton, W.M.

In attendance:

N. Heath
M. Roberts
S. Verdun-Jones
I. Whitaker

W. Leiss
J. Garcia
K. Silverman
S. Howard
S. Munroe

Heath, W.R., Secretary
Grant, B., Recording Secretary

1. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by R. Stewart

"That the agenda be approved"

Moved by N. Swartz, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That motion 3 of the Faculty of Arts referendum be added to the Open Agenda as item 5.vi.b(1), namely, 'that Senate adopt the procedure of having a first and second reading of all motions which would make a major change in the organization of any academic unit within this University; that Senate not give second reading to any reorganization of any Faculties without first ascertaining by a vote of the members of those Faculties, the wishes of the Faculties concerning the reorganization; that first and second readings shall be separate in time by no fewer than ten full working days: and that the votes on first and second readings be by roll call with the vote of each member of Senate recorded in the minutes of Senate', with present item 5.vi.b Proposals re University Organizational Structure to be renumbered 5.vi.b(11)"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION FAILED

The agenda was approved as distributed.

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

The Minutes of the Open Session of November 5, 1984 were approved as distributed.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the Minutes.

4. REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN

There was no report from the Chairman.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

1) Senate Nominating Committee

a) Paper S.84-63 - Elections

Following is the result of an election to fill a vacancy on the undernoted Senate Committee:

Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies

One Student Senator Alternate to replace R. Bruskiwich for no specified term of office.

Elected by acclamation: J. McKinlay

11) SENATE LIBRARY COMMITTEE

a) Paper S.84-64 - Annual Report

Senate received for information the Annual Report of the Senate Library Committee.

111) SENATE COMMITTEE ON UNDERGRADUATE STUDIES

a) Paper S.84-65 - History

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984, approved the following revisions to the Honors Program in the Department of History:

1) Change of description, prerequisites and vector to - HIST 496-3 Special Topics in History (0-3-0) - An intensive examination of a single great problem in historiography. The specific problem will vary from year to year and depend on the specialization of the instructor but will be examined in terms of origin, sources and development of interpretation.

Prerequisites: HIST 495-3

11) Change of title and description to - HIST 497-3 Directed Honors Readings - Individually assigned readings in an area which will normally be closely related to the proposed subject of the Honors Essay and directed towards its final preparation.

b) Paper S.84-66 - Political Science

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Brown

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-66, the proposed revisions to the Lower Division course requirements in Political Science"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

c) Business Administration

1) Paper S.84-67

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-67, the removal of CMPT 103 and CMPT 101 as lower level requirements for Business Administration and replacement with CMPT 100-3"

Concern was expressed by M. Noonan about the lack of business element in the course content of CMPT 100 and questioned why it was required for a Business degree. T. Calvert explained that the course as originally offered was not meant to be a Business Administration course but rather a Computing Science course with a section designed for Business students and pointed out that he had not received any communication to the effect that this course was not meeting those objectives for the Business Faculty. C. Hoyt pointed out that if the course is offered as redesigned and specified in the Senate paper it will meet the needs of Business students and address the concern of course content raised by M. Noonan.

Moved by N. Swartz, seconded by M. Noonan,

"That the motion be referred to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies for clarification"

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984, approved changes to prerequisites for BUS. 337 and BUS. 252.

11) Paper S.84-68

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-68, the following changes:

Delete BUS. 489-3 Small Groups and Organizations. New course BUS. 483-3 Organizations and Careers. Students who wish to elect Organizational Behaviour as an area of concentration and who wish to complete the 'Personnel Stream' may take any two of BUS. 481, BUS. 482 and BUS. 483"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

111) Paper S.84-69

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-69, the following changes:

New Courses - BUS. 479-5 Business Strategies Simulation
BUS. 495-3 Selected Topics
BUS. 496-5 Selected Topics"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984 approved change of prerequisites for BUS. 478 to read 90 hours and completion of the core Business courses.

d) Paper S.84-70 - Education

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984 approved the following course changes in the Faculty of Education:

- EDUC 361 Contemporary Issues and New Developments in Educational Practice - change of credit hours from 4 to 3
- EDUC 495 Special Topics - change of credit hours from 5 to 6
- EDUC 406 Changes to Calendar entry, credit hours, prerequisites
- EDUC 423 Change in title and calendar description

e) Paper S.84-71 - Computing Science

MOTION 1:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by T. Calvert,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-71, the proposed new courses

CMPT 275 Software Engineering
CMPT 484 Compiler Construction"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 2:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by T. Calvert,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-71, the proposed deletion of courses

- CMPT 118 Computing Projects in the Arts and Sciences
- CMPT 121 Computing Project - Mathematics
- CMPT 131 " " - Chemistry
- CMPT 132 " " - Physics
- CMPT 141 " " - Biology
- CMPT 142 " " - Kinesiology
- CMPT 151 " " - Geography
- CMPT 152 " " - Archaeology
- CMPT 161 " " - Anthropology
- CMPT 162 " " - Communication
- CMPT 163 " " - History
- CMPT 164 " " - Political Science
- CMPT 165 " " - Sociology
- CMPT 171 " " - Business Administration
- CMPT 172 " " - Economics
- CMPT 181 " " - Languages
- CMPT 182 " " - Linguistics

CMPT 183 " " - English
CMPT 184 " " - Literature
CMPT 185 " " - Design
CMPT 186 " " - Music
CMPT 492 Microprogramming and Emulation"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 3:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by T. Calvert

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-71, the program changes listed in the submission from the Department"

K. Rieckhoff questioned why the change pertaining to CMPT 260 was before Senate 'For Information' and not part of Motion 3 since it involved a change from a second year course to a third year course and thus affected the availability of the course outside the Computing Science Department. In reply, G. Ivany pointed out that since the course already existed in the Calendar this was not considered a substantive change. Brief discussion followed in which the issue of whether or not such changes required submission of a course proposal form and the Chairman indicated that procedures in this regard would be reviewed.

At the suggestion of K. Rieckhoff, Senate accepted that CMPT 260 be removed from the 'For Information' part of the paper and form part of Motion 3.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984, approved for the following courses, the changes as set out in the submission from the Department:

CMPT 101, CMPT 104, CMPT 105, CMPT 201, CMPT 218, CMPT 290,
CMPT 291, CMPT 350, CMPT 354, CMPT 371, CMPT 383, CMPT 390,
CMPT 391, CMPT 400, CMPT 401, CMPT 483, CMPT 491, CMPT 495

f) Paper S.84-72 - Centre for the Arts

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984, approved the following

- i) Changes in the Calendar descriptions for FPA 170-3 Introduction to Technical Theatre and FPA 370-3 Technical Theatre
- ii) Prerequisite changes to 200 level and 300 level courses offered by the Centre for the Arts

g) Paper S.84-73 - Gerontology

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-73, the

proposed change in requirements for the Diploma Program
in Gerontology

From: PSYC 444-5 Psychopathology
To: PSYC 444-5 Psychopathology (with prior approval
of Coordinator)"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984 approved the change in prerequisite for GERO 410-3 - FROM: GERO 300, TO: 60 semester hours credit, GERO 300 recommended.

h) Paper S.84-74 - Kinesiology

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-74, the proposed addition of the following two courses to the list of upper division electives towards a Kinesiology major:

KIN. 460-3 Cellular Mechanisms and Theories of Aging
KIN. 461-3 Physiological Aspects of Aging"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984 approved the addition of a prerequisite of 60 hours of credit for KIN. 303-3 Kinanthropometry.

i) Paper S.84-75 - Women's Studies

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, at its meeting of November 13, 1984 approved the following:

1) Change in prerequisite for W.S. 401-5 Research Project - To: W.S. 100 and any two 200-division Women's Studies courses; permission of instructor; approval of project proposal by Coordinating Committee

ii) Title change for W.S. 200-3 - To: Women in Cross-Cultural Perspective

iv) SENATE UNDERGRADUATE ADMISSIONS BOARD

a) Paper S.84-76 - ESL Program for Landed Immigrants

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That the English as a Second Language testing and remedial program approved by Senate 7 February 1983 (S.83-20) be extended to include those Permanent

Residents of Canada (Landed Immigrants) for whom the T.O.E.F.L. is a condition of admission as determined by the Director of Admissions"

In reply to a query from J. Tham, N. Heath, Director of Admissions, provided a brief summary of the criteria used to judge students in the above category. Concerns were expressed regarding the distinction which the proposed motion appeared to make between Landed Immigrants and Canadian citizens and it was suggested that the motion should be reviewed by the University's lawyer.

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by N. Swartz

"That the motion be referred to the Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board for clarification of its legal status"

G. Ivany indicated that the current practice of informing Landed Immigrants that participation in the program is voluntary would continue and legal counsel would be sought in the meantime.

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken. MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

b) Paper S.84-77 - PEP Transfer Credit

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That students enrolled in the Prisoner Education Program prior to April 1984 be permitted to transfer up to 90 semester hours of credit towards a Bachelor's degree in the Faculty of Arts"

Brief discussion took place with respect to the necessity of waiving the limitation on the number of upper division transfer credit hours and it was pointed out that this was a special one time waiver for a small group of students which SFU, having accepted the Prisoner Education Program, had an obligation and responsibility to accommodate.

Question was called, and a vote taken. MOTION CARRIED

111) SENATE GRADUATE STUDIES COMMITTEE

a) Paper S.84-78 - Business Administration

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-78, the following new graduate courses:

MBA 634-5 Business Forecasting
MBA 635-5 Business Strategies Simulation"

Question was called, and a vote taken. MOTION CARRIED

b) Paper S.84-79 - MRM Program

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by T. Calvert

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-79, the following:

- 1) The addition of the following prerequisites to:
MRM 602-5 6 required MRM courses or permission of Instructor
MRM 612-5 MRM 611 or permission of Instructor
MRM 634-5 MRM 633 or permission of Instructor
MRM 646-5 MRM 601, 611, 621, 642 or permission of Instructor
MRM 651-5 ECON 200, MRM 621 or permission of Instructor
- 1i) Deletion of the course, MRM 647-5 Regional Planning"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

vi) SENATE COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC PLANNING/SENATE GRADUATE STUDIES COMMITTEE

a) Paper S.84-80 - Proposed Ph.D. Program in Criminology

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-80, the proposed Ph.D. Program in Criminology"

In reply to concerns expressed about the cost of such a program, S. Verdun-Jones, Chairman, Criminology Department, pointed out that the department already has six PhD students enrolled in Special Arrangements and intent in the initial stage of the new program was not to exceed six to eight students so in effect there would be no additional use or allocation of resources.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

The Assembly recessed at 5:20 p.m. for dinner, reconvening at 6:40 p.m. to continue with the Open Session Agenda.

b) Paper S.84-81 - Proposals re University Organizational Structure

The Chairman introduced the following speakers who had requested opportunity to address Senate on the subject of the proposed reorganization.

W. Leiss, Chairman, Department of Communications wished to state for the record that his Department had unanimously endorsed the move of the Department of Communications

to the proposed Faculty of Applied Sciences and had no objections to the 'School' designation for the three major units in that Faculty and referred Senate to the rationale provided in the support papers. He went on to say that current times require not only good leadership from Senate and Senior Administrators but also a spirit of mutual respect, careful judgement and goodwill throughout the institution and he was disappointed in the doubts and dissatisfaction allegedly harboured in the Faculty of Arts and regretted that the views expressed in the two letters received by Senate from two members of that Faculty had not been raised at SCAP and carefully considered and openly debated. He felt the most striking aspect of the reorganization proposal was that Simon Fraser University, no matter how hard pressed, could seek a renewal of the innovative spirit in which it was founded and that the Department of Communications could serve the University well in the Faculty of Applied Sciences and would welcome a full scale external review as recommended in the SCAP motion.

J. Garcia, Latin-American Studies Program advised that the Latin American Studies Steering Committee supports the recommendation that the LAS Program be moved to a Division of Interdisciplinary Studies in the Faculty of Arts but would request that Note 3 of Motion 7 be deleted. It was felt that notes to motions should clarify the text of the motion, not add new elements and the note as it now stands constitutes a prejudgement of some future decision of Senate. He suggested, as an alternative, that the sentence should read "... may or may not not affect the Latin American Studies Program;" in order to be explicit in meaning and not open to interpretation as a hidden threat.

K. Silverman, Women's Studies pointed out that Women's Studies has a very strong philosophical commitment to 'interdisciplinarity' and that FIDS has been a structure very well suited to the needs and type of work done by Women's Studies. She felt it would be difficult to re-assimilate Women's Studies into traditional boundaries and felt that the dissolution of FIDS and a redistribution of its constituent parts represented to some extent an attack on 'interdisciplinarity'. Movement to the Faculty of Arts, it was felt, would lose not only the kind of structure that has supported the program but also the individual representation which they now enjoyed as a member of FIDS.

S. Howard, President, Simon Fraser Student Society and S. Munro, incoming President of SFSS made a joint presentation to Senate opposing the series of motions as recommended by SCAP and asked Senate to support their call for a more effective long term political response by the University to the Provincial Government's economic and political attack on post-secondary education. They felt the the proposed changes were based on an economic rationale rather than any sound academic planning and since the motions were part of a larger program announced by the President on September 20th they should be debated as part of that program as a whole. It was felt that adoption of these changes would be a signal to the government that Simon Fraser University supports the compromise of the University's integrity and autonomy and concerns were expressed about the University becoming increasingly devoted to the development of managerial skills and high tech expertise. The Student Society acknowledges that the University must change and feels that a broader conception of the social value of education to the community at-large is needed, together with input from the community on how it feels the University should change. It was felt this could be accomplished by the University sponsoring a community conference with invited participants from a wide-range of community groups and challenged the administration to immediately organize such an event which they felt would be of

great benefit in formulating a coherent wide range, democratic plan for higher education. They also felt that there was a need to develop and present to the community a program for broad-based high quality accessible education which they felt could be achieved through a formation of a University action committee consisting of representatives from all sectors of the university. In summary, Senate was asked to reject the motions and demand a debate on the full program of reductions and restructuring.

G. Ivany introduced the paper by briefly summarizing the process and procedures leading up to the motions now before Senate. He felt more than sufficient opportunity had been available for input, debate and interaction and stressed the fact that the changes now before Senate were academically defensible and at no time were they proposed primarily as a cost-saving part of the overall plan.

MOTION 1:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the Management and Systems Science Program be moved to the Faculty of Science"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that the program would be moved "as is".)

Senate accepted the suggestion that a general discussion addressing fundamental issues and principles would take place prior to debate on specific motions.

A. Kazepides felt that a serious academic rationale for the dissolution of the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies had not been provided and requested further information in support of this change as well as clarification as to how the Faculty itself felt about the proposed dissolution.

T. Calvert summarized the process of discussions and meetings within the Faculty which resulted in the approval of the motions as recommended by SCAP. He went on to say that although the majority strongly supported the proposals that support was conditional on Senate's approval of the essential items of the package which had been arrived at through extensive negotiation and academic planning. He went on to provide a brief review of the history of the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies and its development to the present. He felt that FIDS has offered support and opportunity for new programs to develop uniqueness and excellence and was confident that the departments could survive in any Faculty. He indicated his support for the reorganization because he felt it offered advantages to the University with no obvious disadvantage to any unit but pointed out that it depended on the establishment of a viable Division of Interdisciplinary Studies within Arts to nurture the existing programs and provide a focus for new development.

K. Rieckhoff spoke in favour of the proposal as he felt there were good academic reasons for locating departments from FIDS in other Faculties where they have closer

academic and intellectual ties, and opportunity for interaction with colleagues of similar thinking disciplines. He went on to express a concern that these specific recommendations had preceded the mission statement from the President which he felt would have formed the philosophical framework for the specifics to be discussed and pointed out that discussions have mainly taken place within the various units and that the university at-large has never significantly participated in the planning process.

The following substitute motion moved by S. Dalby - "That all subsequent motions in the SCAP report not be received by this Assembly for full debate until such time as President Saywell presents his program of September 20, 1984, to this Assembly for consideration in its entirety; and further that this Assembly establish a special committee to consider alternative responses by the university community as a whole to the current economic and political crisis of the university" - was ruled out of order by the Chair. G. Flynn then appealed the decision of the Chair.

Question was called on the ruling of the Chair, and a vote taken.

DECISION OF THE CHAIR SUSTAINED

B. Archer expressed concern that the proposed reorganization would have serious repercussions explaining that the entrepreneurial type Faculties which are economically viable would be retained in order to enhance the funding process to the University at a serious cost to the core disciplines which, in view of the political climate, would not be retained.

In reply to a question from H. Weinberg as to how the proposed reorganization related to the current financial situation, G. Ivany stressed the fact that the actions before Senate have been proposed mostly for curriculum rationalization and academic planning purposes and do not attempt to solve the fiscal problem although there is hope for a more efficient use of the resources, personnel and facilities already in place.

Speaking in support of the reorganization, R. Brown expressed the opinion that the environment was undergoing a major cultural revolution, a technological revolution resulting in major change to society and that the concept of the new Faculty focussed on this new revolution and would foster the University well in the future.

Ensuing discussion reflected the concerns of some Senators about the process by which the recommendations came to Senate, the lack of university-wide, open and in-depth discussion of the issues and the urgency of putting the proposals forward.

In support of the proposal, G. Basham indicated his belief that the recommendations were very logical and organized the University in a way that prepared the University for the financial problems ahead as well as for the future academic thrust.

Question was called on Motion 1, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 2:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that there be established a Faculty of Applied Sciences to include the following components:

- 1) the School of Computing Science (by renaming the present Department of Computing Science)
- ii) the Department of Communication
- iii) the School of Kinesiology (by renaming the present Department of Kinesiology)
- iv) the Program in Natural Resources Management (NRM)
- v) the School of Engineering Science (by renaming the present Faculty of Engineering Science and discontinuing a Faculty under that name)"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that the units and programs and degree titles would move "as is", subject to whatever curriculum and regulation changes are approved in the balance of this year in the normal fashion.
3. It is understood that the change of name from Department of School is a change of title only and that schools will continue to operate administratively and academically as Departments.
4. It is understood that the Department of Communications in the Faculty of Applied Sciences be subject to a mandatory review by the Senate Committee on Academic Planning in Fall, 1987 to ascertain whether the Department has been successful in reorienting its activities within the new Faculty.)

Moved by A. Kazepides, seconded by K. Rieckhoff

"That the main motion be divided to deal with each item separately"

Question was called on the motion to divide, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO DIVIDE FAILED

Amendment moved by A. Kazepides, seconded by N. Swartz

"That item (ii) be deleted from the main motion"

At the invitation of Senate, I. Whitaker, speaking on behalf of the Department of Sociology & Anthropology, advised that there were concerns in that Department about the duplication of course offerings and it was felt that if the Department of Communication were located in the Faculty of Arts with other related disciplines this duplication and overlap could be reduced. He also pointed out that there was little similarity between the academic interests mentioned in the rationale provided by the Department of Communications for relocation to the Faculty of Applied Sciences and the current description of the Department in the University Calendar and he believed therefore that Communications would prosper better within the Faculty of Arts.

In support of the amendment, K. Rieckhoff expressed his belief that it was important to try to facilitate through administrative structure the interaction between common

areas of knowledge and in this respect Communications would benefit more from placement in the Faculty of Arts.

J. Dickinson reminded Senate that the Department of Communications had unanimously approved the proposed move to the Faculty of Applied Sciences and that there was unanimous acceptance among the other programs involved in the proposed new Faculty to accept Communications and he felt Senate should take this into account. He briefly summarized connections between Communications and other units in the Faculty of Applied Sciences and pointed out that if Communications moved towards the academic interests as indicated in the rationale, the appropriate home would indeed be the Faculty of Applied Sciences. In any event the review after two years will enable assessment to see whether or not that goal has been met.

J. Tuinman felt that the movement of Communications to the new Faculty was one of the more exciting and imaginative aspects of the reorganizational proposal and believed it was an experiment which should be valued and considered a plus for the University.

Discussion continued in which a variety of opinions were expressed.

Question was called on the motion to amend, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Amendment moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by C. Crawford

"That Item 1 be changed to the Department of Computing Science and Item 3 be changed to the Department of Kinesiology"

With the exception of Engineering Science, K. Rieckhoff could see no need for the designation of School. He felt that the Departments had grown, flourished and received support and recognition from the outside world under the designation of 'Department' and until an academic rationale other than one based on status and image is provided, he could not support identification of these Departments as Schools.

G. Ivany argued that in some disciplines there are pressures other than simple academic reasons for external images and briefly summarized differences between the established core disciplines and new disciplines which define themselves in a new and different terminology.

C. Crawford expressed concern about the creation of Schools and the move towards autonomy for a number of small units in the University.

Question was called on the motion to amend, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Amendment moved by D. George, seconded by T. Calvert

"That the Program in Natural Resource Management be renamed the Department of Natural Resource Management"

M. Roberts, Director of the MRM Program, provided a brief history of the development of the Program, and information as to the current constitution of faculty appointments, etc.

In reply to concerns expressed about this being the first department consisting of a graduate program only and not having sufficient appointments to represent a viable number for departmental status, it was pointed out that MRM is the only program that has tenure-track faculty appointed uniquely to it and most departmental functions are carried out.

Question was called on the motion to amend, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Question was called on Motion 2 as a whole, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 3:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the Centre for the Arts be moved to the Faculty of Arts"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that units and programs and degrees would move "as is", subject to whatever curriculum and regulation changes are approved during the balance of this university year in the normal fashion.
3. It is understood that the undergraduate curriculum in the Centre for the Arts is different from present programs in the Faculty of Arts and that it does not presently comply with Faculty of Arts degree requirements. A waiver of Arts requirements will be in effect for a period of two years in order that appropriate curriculum adjustments can be negotiated.)

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Senate agreed that the normal adjournment time be extended to 11:00 p.m. and took a brief recess.

MOTION 4:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the Department of Criminology be moved to the Faculty of Arts and be renamed the School of Criminology"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985.

2. It is understood that programs and degrees would move "as is", subject to whatever curriculum changes are approved in the balance of this year in the normal fashion.
3. It is understood that the undergraduate curriculum in Criminology is different from present programs in the Faculty of Arts and that it does not presently comply with Faculty of Arts degree requirements. A waiver of Arts requirements will be in effect for a period of two years in order that appropriate curriculum adjustments can be negotiated.)

Senate accepted as a friendly amendment the addition of note 3 from motion 2 as Note 4, as follows:

4. It is understood that the change of name from Department to School is a change of title only and that schools will continue to operate administratively and academically as Departments.

In reply to a question about representation on the Faculty of Arts Curriculum Committee, R. Brown stated his intent to provide to the School of Criminology and the Centre for the Arts the same representation afforded to departments within the Faculty of Arts.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 5:

Moved by G. Ivary, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that there be established in the Faculty of Arts a Division of Interdisciplinary Studies"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 6:

Moved by G. Ivary, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the African/Middle Eastern Studies Program be moved to a Division of Interdisciplinary Studies in the Faculty of Arts"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that programs would move "as is", subject to whatever curriculum and regulation changes are approved during this year in the normal fashion.
3. It is understood that further actions, relative to

a proposed International Studies Program, may affect the African/Middle Eastern Studies Program.)

S. Dalby referred to the argument presented by J. Garcia with respect to note 3 which he felt also pertained to motions 7 and 8. Following a brief discussion it was agreed that note 3 could be deleted from motions 6, 7 and 8 provided the Minutes reflected the fact that there was an initiative underway which could lead to the creation of a Program in International Studies and that this program may or may not affect a number of units.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 7:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the Latin-American Studies Program be moved to a Division of Interdisciplinary Studies in the Faculty of Arts:

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that the program would move "as is", subject to whatever curriculum and regulation changes are approved during this year in the normal fashion.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 8:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the Centre for Canadian Studies be moved to the Faculty of Arts"

(Note:

1. It is understood that the actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that programs and the degree would move "as is" subject to whatever curriculum and regulation changes are approved during this year in the normal fashion.
3. It is understood that the review of Canadian Studies proposed by the Senate Committee on Academic Planning will be carried out as planned.)

Amendment moved by T. Calvert, seconded by R. Stewart

"That the motion read as follows: That Senate approve and recommend to the Board of Governors, as set forth

in S.84-81, that the Centre for Canadian Studies be moved to a Division of Interdisciplinary Studies in the Faculty of Arts"

Question was called on the motion to amend, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Question was called on the motion as amended, and a vote taken.

MOTION AS AMENDED CARRIED

MOTION 9:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the Women's Studies Program be moved to a Division of Interdisciplinary Studies in the Faculty of Arts"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that the units and programs and degree titles would move "as is", subject to whatever curriculum and regulation changes are approved this year in the normal fashion.)

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 10:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the Gerontology Program be moved to a Division of Interdisciplinary Studies in the Faculty of Arts"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that the units and programs and degree titles would move "as is", subject to whatever curriculum and regulation changes are approved this year in the normal fashion.)

T. Calvert requested that the Minutes show for clarification the intent to include in the proposed move the Gerontology Research and Information Centre which is a separate entity funded by SSHRC as well as the Diploma Program in Gerontology.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 11:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the

B.G.S. Degree Program be moved to a Division of Interdisciplinary Studies in the Faculty of Arts"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent effective September 1, 1985.
2. It is understood that the units and programs and degree titles would move "as is", subject to whatever curriculum and regulation changes are approved this year in the normal fashion.
3. It should be noted that the Bachelor of General Studies degree will not be a degree given by the Faculty of Arts. It will be administered by the Faculty of Arts on behalf of the Senate of Simon Fraser University.)

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 12:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that subject to a dissolution of the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies and proposed concurrent movement of a number of units and programs to the Faculty of Arts, for the period ending August 31, 1987, that:

Students taking the major (or honours where applicable) for their degree in the programs noted be exempted from the normal Faculty of Arts group requirements for the degree

Centre for the Arts - Major in Dance
- Major in Fine and Performing Arts

Centre for Canadian Studies - Major in Canadian Studies
- Joint Majors

School of Criminology - Major in Criminology

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 13:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that subject to a dissolution of the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies, any General Studies courses not subsumed by another unit be moved to the Division

of Interdisciplinary Studies"

(Note:

1. It is understood the actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985.
2. In the event another unit desires to subsume one of these courses it would be expected to bring its proposal forward through normal channels.
3. It is understood that all General Studies courses will be reviewed as proposed by the President.)

Question was called and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION 14:

Moved by G. Ivany, seconded by R. Stewart

"That subject to the placement of the components of the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies under other jurisdictions Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-81, that the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies be dissolved"

(Note:

1. It is understood that these actions would be concurrent, effective September 1, 1985)

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES

There were no reports from Faculties.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

8. NOTICES OF MOTION

There were no notices of motion.

9. INFORMATION

The next regular meeting of Senate is scheduled for Monday, January 7, 1985.

At 10:15 p.m., the Assembly moved directly into Closed Session.

W.R. Heath
Secretary