MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY HELD MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1972, 3172 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 7:30 P.M.

## OPEN SESSION

PRESENT:

ABSENT:
Strand, K. Chairman

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aronoff, S. } \\
& \text { Baird, D. A. } \\
& \text { Beirne, B. P. } \\
& \text { Birch, D. R. } \\
& \text { Bradley, R. D. } \\
& \text { Brown, R. C. } \\
& \text { Copes, P. } \\
& \text { Doherty, P. M. } \\
& \text { Eliot Hurst, M. E. } \\
& \text { Emmott, A. H. } \\
& \text { Gilbert, K. L. } \\
& \text { Hollibaugh, A. L. } \\
& \text { Kissner, R. F. } \\
& \text { MacPherson, A. } \\
& \text { Murr, J. M. } \\
& \text { O'Conne11, M. S. } \\
& \text { Rieckhoff, K. E. } \\
& \text { Robinson, N. } \\
& \text { Salter, J. H. } \\
& \text { Seager, J. W. } \\
& \text { Sullivan, D. H. } \\
& \text { Wagner, P. L. } \\
& \text { Weinberg, H. } \\
& \text { Wheatley, J. } \\
& \text { Williams, W. E. } \\
& \text { Wilson, B. G. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Evans, H. M. McGinn, M. Meyers, D. A.

Caple, K. P. Cote, P. T.
Dawson, A. J.
Hodge, F. D.
Jamieson, D. H.
Lardner, R. W.
Nair, K. K.
Reid, W. D.
Sutherland, G. A.
Swangard, E. M.

Secretary
Recording Secretary

1. APPROYAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as distributed.
2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Open Session of August 7, 1972, were approved as circulated.
3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the minutes of the Open Session of August 7th.
4. REPORT OF CHALRMAN

Paper S.72-97 - Student Hospital and Medical Insurance Coverage
This paper was presented for information.
5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

1. Senate Nominating Committee

Paper S.72-98 - Elections to Senate and Related Committees
The Secretary reported that in addition to the names proposed for the various committees by the Senate Nominating Committee, as noted in Paper S.72-98, additional nominations have been made by Senators as follows:-

For the Senate Library Committee, P.L.Wagner proposed by D.A.Baird; for the Senate Nominating Committee, M.E.Eliot Hurst proposed by A.L.Hollibaugh.

It was noted that formal ballot elections would be required for the Senate Library Committee and for the Senate Nominating Committee, but that election would be by acclamation to the other committees.

Moved by A.Emmott, seconded by K.Rieckhoff,

> "That the individuals nominated to the Senate Conmittee on Honorary Degrees, to the Library Penalties Appeal Committee, to the Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board, and to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies be declared elected by acclamation."

MOTION CARRIED

The elections were as follows:-

## Senate Comittee on Honorary Degrees

One Faculty of Education Senator to replace R.J.C.Harper from date of election, for no specified term of office.
N. ROBINSON

## Library Penalties Appeal Committee

One Student Senator to replace G. Donetz from date of election, for no specified term of office.
R. F. KISSNER

Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board
Two Student Senators to replace R.F.Kissner and K.L.Gilbert. Term of office is for one year from October 1, 1972, to September 30, 1973.
P. M. DOHERTY
R. F. KISSNER

One Student Senator to replace the alternate member, P.M. Doherty. Term of office is for one year from October 1, 1972, to September 30, 1973.
K. L. GILBERT

One Senator at large to replace K.E. Rieckhoff. Term of office is for two years from October 1, 1972, to September 30, 1974.
K. E. RIECKHOFF

Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies
One Student Senator to replace K.L. Gilbert. Term of office is for two years from October 1, 1972, to September 30, 1974.
K. L. GILBERT

Balloting was undertaken and results tabulated and those with the higher number of votes declared elected as follows:-

## Senate Library Committee

Election of three Senators at large to replace A.L.Hollibaugh, M.E. Eliot Hurst, and P.L.Wagner on the Senate Library Committee from October 1, 1972, to September 30, 1973. Candidates were A.L. Hollibaugh, J.M.Munro, K.K.Nair, P.L.Wagner.

Declared elected:
J. M. MUNRO
K. K. NAIR
P. L. WAGNER

## Senate Nominating Committee

Election of five Senators at large to replace S.Aronoff, D. R.Birch, K.L.Gilbert, K.K.Nair, and M.S. ${ }^{\prime}$ Connell. Term of office from October 1, 1972, to September 30, 1973. Candidates were B.P.Beirne, R.C.Brown, A.J.Dawson, M.E.Eliot Hurst, R.F.Kissner, W.E.Williams.

Declared elected:
B. P. BEIRNE
R. C. BROWN
A. J. DAWSON
R. F. KISSNER
W. E. WILLIAMS

## 2. Senate Committee on Undergraçate Studies

1. Paper S.72-99 - Bachelor of General Studies Degree Requirements

Moved by R.Brown, seconded by K.Rieckhoff,
"That Senate approve that the requirements for the Bachelor of General Studies Degree, as shown in the current Undergraduate Calendar, Page 269, be modified by adding the following to the appropriate paragraph: 'With the exception of Education 401-8, 402-7 and 405-15'."

## MOTION CARRIED

It was noted that the section would then read as follows: "With the exception of Education 401-8, 402-7 and 405-15, courses taken from any of the existing Faculties may be used to satisfy the requirements for the degree, but students are cautioned that they are subject to the prerequisite requirements of the various departments. For this reason it is essential that students entering the program contact the Dean's Office for counselling and advising."
2. Paper S. 72-100 - Division of General Studies - New Course Proposal -
Kinesiology $366-3$

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by R.Bradley,
"That Senate approve the new course proposal, Kinesiology 366-3, as set forth in Paper S.72-100."
S.Aronoff enquired as to whether this course belonged in the Kinesiology Department or in the Psychology Department, questioned whether Psychology 180 might be a better prerequisite than the Psychology 201 proposed in the paper, and thought that some Science courses might be useful as prerequisites. R.Brown, as Dean of the Division of General Studies, indicated that he could not answer all technical questions but noted that the course had been offered under different titles previously through Directed Readings, that there had been no complaint from the Department of Psychology, that the specific questions had not been raised with that Department.
H. Weinberg (Psychology) indicated that if the course be of fered then Psychology 201 is the proper prerequisite. He noted that much of what is proposed to be taught is taught in the Department of Psychology in various courses but in depth. He felt that if combination was made as proposed there might be inadequacy of teaching.

Moved by K.Rieckhoff, seconded by B.Wilson,
"That the new course proposal, Kinesiology 366-3, be referred back to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies with a specific request that the Committee consult with the Psychology Department before "ringing the course back to Senate for approval."
R. Brown objected to referral on the ground that there is a need for a single course for this particular discipline, and the fact that there are five courses in Psychology required to cover the material would not meet the needs.
K.Rieckhoff stated that there was serious question whether or not the course could be offered meaningfully in one lecture and two tutorials when it is offered through five courses in the other department. Scrutiny was required.
A. MacPherson opposed referral on the assumption that the Senate Committee would have performed its job adequately.
S.Aronoff indicated that he had discussed the matter with the Chairman of the Committee and that these particular questions had not been asked.
D. Sullivan was in favour of referral and noted that the Committee had not been clearly instructed as to its tasks and that it should be told clearly what was expected.

Vote was called on the motion to refer.

## MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

3. Paper S.72-101 - Changes in English Department Calendar Regulations

Moved by D.Sullivan, seconded by K.Rieckhoff,
"That Senate approve changes in the English Department calendar regulations, as set forth in Paper S.72-101, with the changed regulation to read as follows:

## MAJORS IN ENGLISH

Normally a Simon Fraser University English major shall obtain credit or standing in five lower division English courses, as follows:
a) Any two of English 101, 102 and 103, and
b) Both of English 202 and 203 (or 111 or 201 in place of either), and
c) Any one of English 101, 102, 103, 212 and 225 - or transfer credit for some English course which has no direct equivalent at Simon Fraser University. Composition courses of a purely remedial nature are not accepted for transfer credit and therefore do not satisfy this category.

English majors with 60 transfer credits, including 12 in English satisfying all or part of both category a) and category b) above, will be given an automatic waiver of lower division English requirements, and may immediately enter the majors program. Students with 57 transfer credits may be given the same waiver on application to the department, at its discretion. Applications must be made before registration if practicable; otherwise at least one day before the end of the course-change period.

A student may declare an intended Major in English and come under the guidance of the Department's advisers at any time, but his declaration shall not be considered official until that semester in which he attains his 61st academic credit. Before or during that semester, at preregistration or later, he should make a formal Declaration of Major to the Department.

In his upper level studies an English major may follow either Plan A or Plan B, for a minimum of 30 credits in 400-range English."
D. Sullivan pointed out this change allows the student to declare an English Major at any time and that it gives certain discretionary powers to the department. (In later discussion the Secretary identified that there is a section in the General Regulations of the Faculty of with the proposal from the Department formal declaration of Majors regulation).
J.Munro enquired whether or not the proposal would open a number of doors. D.Sullivan indicated that this aspect had not been questioned Fr opposed by any of the departments when under consideration by the Faculty of Arts Curriculum Committee.
K. Gilbert stated that preference was being given to transfer students over Simon Fraser University students in that transfer students were called upon to show completion of 12 semester hours whereas internal students required 15 .

Amendment was moved by K.Gilbert, seconded by A.Hollibaugh,
"That 'English Majors with 60 transfer credits, including 12 in English' be amended to read 'English Majors with 60 transfer credits, including 15 in English'."
J. Seager was concerned that there was evidence of a double standard and that this might lead to similar proposals from various departments. D.Sullivan noted that a double standard was being applied in the English Department and that it should be recognized that what might be practicable and reasonable in one department might not be so in another department. J.Seager enquired concerning transfer credit from colleges and lists of approved courses, and whether or not the availability of such lists would remove necessity for the proposal. The Secretary noted the purpose of the regulation and explained that a number of colleges do not offer more than 12 hours of transfer credit in English, with the purpose of the paper to facilitate transfer arrangements, noting also that students internally with 57 hours could enter the upper division English courses.
D.Sullivan questioned the desirability of internal students being required to undertake 15 hours with external students offering 12. R.Brown spoke in opposition to the amendment.

Vote on the amendment was undertaken.

## AMENDMENT FAILED

Amendment was proposed by K.Gilbert and R.Kissner to change the requirement of five lower division English courses to four for internal students and all students and that the 15 hours required internally and externally become 12. The Chairman suggested that this involved deletion of Requirement (c) and enquired whether there was any objection to such deletion noting that if there were no objections this would be subsumed. Objection was expressed by J. Wheatley. K. Rieckhoff believed that the paper should be referred back and Senate should not attempt to make such adjustments at its meeting.

Moved by J.Munro, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

> "That Paper S. 72-101 - Changes in English Department Calendar Regulations, be referred back to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, noting the proposed amendment and questions raised, for further consideration before resubmission to Senate."
A. Emmott spoke in favour of referral on the grounds that it would be inappropriate for Senate to attempt such changes without consideration by the Department concerned. R.Kissner spoke against referral on the grounds that many students at this institution only take one English course per semester, that difficulty is encountered in
following clearly all regulations and that special treatment should not be given to external candidates.

Vote was undertaken on the motion to refer.

MOTION TO REFER CARRIED
B. Wilson indicated that in future he would attempt to ensure that the Chairman or some other representative of SCUS would be available for discussions of this type.
S.Aronoff was concerned with the nature of co-ordination between Senate and SCUS and suggested that the Secretary might provide Senate with copies of the Minutes c.. SCUS covering such items. This matter will be investigated.

## 6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES AND DIVISIONS

There were no reports of Faculties or Divisions.
7. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Notice of Motion

There were no notices of motion.

## 2. Date of Next Meeting

It was noted that the next meeting of Senate is scheduled for Monday, October 2, 1972, at 7:30 p.m.
3. Other Items

There were no other items.
4. Confidential Matters

The meeting recessed briefly at $8: 45 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. prior to moving into Closed Session.

