minutes of meeting of senate of simon fraser university HELD MONDAY, AUGUST 4, 1969, FACULTY LOUNGE, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

## PRESENT:

Srivastava, L. M.
Chairman
, Burstein, K. R.

- Campbell, M. J.
, Carlson, R. L.
, Cole, R. E.
, D'Aoust, B. R.
, Dobb, T. C.
, Drache, Mrs. S.
Funt, B. L.
, Hamilton, W. M.
Hutchinson, J. F.
Kenward, J. K.
, Korbin, D.
, Lachlan, A. H.
, Lebowitz, M. A.
, McDougall, A. H.
, Rieckhoff, K. E.
- Sayre, J.
, Strattion, S.
- Sullivan, D. H.
, Tuck, D. G.
, Turnbull, A. L. .
, Walkley, J.
, Wassermann., Mis. S.
, Evans, H. M.
Secretary
, Kelsey, I. B.
- Barboza, Miss J. Recording Secretary
,Norsworthy, Mrs. R. Recording Secretary
ABSENT:
© Brown, R. C.
, Caple, K. P.
, Claridge, R. W.
. Collins, M.
, Freinan, Mrs. L.
Hean, A.F.C.
Mclean, C. H.
Perry, G. N.
Stone, A. L.
A. R. Mackinnon, on research semester, was represented by S. Stratton.
D. A. Baird; Librarian, was represented by T. C. Dobb, Assistant Librarian.


## 1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

After some discussion on whether or not two additional items should be considered on the Agenda, the first dealing with a report from the Chair on University Scholarships and Awards, and the second a request for information on the trusteeship placed on the Political Science, Sociology, and Anthropology Department, it was

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by J. Walkley,
"that the Agenda as recommended by the Senate Agenda Committee be approved."

MOTİON CARRIED
2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - OPEN SESSION
a) Meeting of July 7, 1969

Two amendments were noted: namely that on Page 2 M . Campbell's name should be added to the list of candjdates in the election of three Senators to the Board of Governors; and that on Page 8 K. Burstein's negative vote on the approval of Section IV, 2 of Paper S. 224 (Report of Senate Comittee on the Method of Appointment, Tenure and Functions of Deans and Heads of Departments) be recorded.

As there were no further amendments, the minutes were approved.
b) Meeting of July 14, 1969
M. Campbell noted that on Page 4 he referred to Iten 3 a as well. as $3 b$ of Paper $S .245$ (Reorganization of Graduate Studies) and asked that the following statement be added on Page 4, second paragraph of the minutes:
"He referred also to Section $3 a$ and noted that if a Dean was not appointed there would be no Chairman for the Senate Committee on Graduate Studies and noted also that he would like a ruling from the Chair on whether or not Senate had the power to appoint the Dean of Graduate Studies. The Chaimman then pointed out that the Dean of Graduate studies shall be nominated by the Senate Commititee on Graduate Studies and recomnended through the Academic Vice-President, in turn to the President, to the Board."

On Page 9 in the paragraph following the motion moved by Campbell and seconded by Sullivan a sentence is to be added, which reads,
"M. Campbe11. stated that in his opinion Senate would be grossly irresponsible if it passed Faper S. 230a (Gradings for Withdrawing Students) because Senate has no knowledge of how adoption of the paper would. affect the studentis."
M. Lebowitz asked that on Page 6 a more detailed account be recorded of statements made by himself on receipt by Senate of Paper S. 215 from the Faculty of Arts. Verbatim comments relating to this item taken from the tape of July 14, 1969 meeting have been attached.

As there were no further objections the minutes were approved as amended.

## 3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

The Chairman reported that the Board of Governors had approved Paper S. 224 at its meeting of July 17, 1969, and that he and the dean Acting President had then examined a list of departments within each Faculty and selected the order in which each department would be reviewed. The Chairman indicated that this list was only for informotion at this point and that there would be opportunity for discussion on this information at the next meeting of Senate. A copy of the list is attached as Appendix A.

After considerable discussion on how the departments were co be reviewed, either from administrative or academic standpoints, what the criteria for examination would be, and when the examination would take place it was

Moved by K. Burstein, seconded by S. Drache,
"that no action be taken with respect to Section IV, Paragraph 2 of Paper S. 224 until Senate had had an opportunity to discuss the procedures to be followed by the Review Committee referred to in this Section."

Discussion followed on relevance of the motion with the Chairman pointing out that the information had been brought before senate only as information, rather than as a document to be discussed and action to be taken on it.

Moved by M. Campbell, seconded by K. Burstein,
"that the previous question be put."
MOTION ON PREVIOUS QUESTION CARRIED

15 in favour
1 opposed
1 abstained

Vote was then taken on the motion that no action be taken on Section IV, Paragraph 2 until Senate had discussed procedures for the Review Committee.

MOTION CARRIED

> 15 in favour
> 2 opposed
> 1 abstained

## 4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

The Chairman stated that a paper on retroactivity as it related to the Ellis Report on Admissions and Standings had been prepared by M. Campbell and others. It will be brought before Senate after it has been reviewed and examined by various authorities throughout the University.

It was also reported that the question of representation on Senate by a member of the British Columbia School Trustees Association has yet to be resolved.

The Chairman reported that some progress had been made on implementtation of the Ellis Report and that information on this progress would be distributed to Senators.
5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES
A) $\frac{\text { Senate Committee on Graduate Studies - Qualifications for a }}{\text { Dean of Graduate Studies - Paper S. } 251}$

Moved by J. Walkley, seconded by S. Wassermann,
"that Paper 5.251 be adopted."
MOTION CARRIED
14 in favour
0 opposed
3 abstained
B) Senate ad hoc Committee on the Separation of Archaeology Paper S. 244

Moved by M. Campbell, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

[^0]K. Burstein drew to the attention of the assembly that ad hoc Senate Committees are officially dissolved after their reports have been received by Senate.
C) Senate ad hoc Committee on Rules and Procedures Pertaining to Professional Conduct - Paper S. 252

Moved.by J. Hutchinson, seconded by J. Walkley,
"that Paper S. 252 be adopted as policy."
J. Hutchinson pointed out the importance of establishing procedures for the handling of cases of unprofessional conduct before they arise. He added also that the liberal university is under attack and needs devices for protecting itself against factions either within or without the university. The policy and procedures recommended here would be helpful in this regard.

Questions were asked on whether or not there were bodies in the University other than Senate better suited to handling cases of unprofessional conduct, if: other universities had been consulted as to procedures for handling such cases, and whether or not: legal counsel had been sought on the recommendations made by the Committee.

Concern was voiced that legal advice had not been obtained. It was strongly recommended by several Senators that such advice be obtained.

Moved by K. Burstein, seconded by D. Tuck,
"that Paper S. 252 be referred back to the Committee for reconsideration and consultation with legal authorities, and then be brought back to the Senate."

The Chairman ruled this motion out of order. His ruling was challenged, with the ruling being lost by a vote of 2 in favour, 17 opposed.

Considerable discussion followed with the points made that Sections 1,2 , and 3 could be accepted and revisions sought on the remainder of the report.
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Question was called on the motion to refer Paper S. 252 back to the Committee and a vote was taken.

MOTION TO REFER FAILED
6 in favour
9 opposed
5 abstained
J. Sayre related an incident on what appeared to be unprofessional conduct by a faculty member who, it was reported by the student concerned, tore up a term paper she had submitted to him after she repulsed his advances. J. Sayre questioned if this incident was relevant to the discussion and if so, what means exist at present for handling such incidents. He queried that if there were no means, did Senate want to establish procedures for handling such cases. He was assured by the Chairman that the issue was relevant and was informed that if he submitted the relevant information to the Acting President, he would follow with an appropriate investigation.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by S. Wassermann,
"that Recommendation 1 on Page 2 be amended to read 'That a standing committee of Senate entitled the Senate Committee on Professional. Conduct be established.'"

After a brief discussion on the principle of the motion, it was Moved by M. Campbe11, seconded by D. Tuck,
"that the previous question be put."
MOTION ON PREVIOUS QUESTION CARRIED
12 in favour
5 opposed
K. Burstein asked that his negative vote be recorded with the note that he considered the debate had closed without any debate.

Question was called on the amendment to Recommendation 1 and a vote was taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED
11 in favour
3 opposed
4 abstained

$$
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Moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by K. Burstein,
"that examination of this report be postponed until such time as the Faculty Association has had time to discuss it."

The Chairman ruled the motion out of order and was challenged on the ruling. The ruling was sustained with 9 in favour of sustaining the Chair and 6 opposed.

It was pointed out from the floor that discussion on the report had been taking place ad seriatim and that Senate should stili be discussing Recommendation 1 rather than the whole report.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Burstein,
"that the report of the Senate Sub-committee be referred back to the Committee for an interim report on the principle that Senate should have a committee on professional conduct and that implementation of that principle be devised in consultation with the Faculty Association, the C.A.U.T., and the University lawyers.

MOTION TO REFER CARRTED

11 in favour
3 opposed
4 abstained

As there was some question as to what had been passed in the way of amendments and main motions with regard to this report, the Chairnan pointed out that the amendment to Recommendation 1 , that a Senate Committee on Professional Conduct be established, had passed, and that the motion to refer the report back to the present Committee had passed.
M. Campbell pointed out that Recommendation 1 must pass as a main motion as well as an amendment.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by A. Lachlan,
"that Recommendation 1 as amended be approved."

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

10 in favour
7 opposed

The Chairman stated that the report would be referred back to the Committee with the amended Recommendation. At this point he was challenged on his ruling with it being pointed out that under Robert's Rules of Order, Revised (pp. 142-3) no part of the report: is passed until the report has passed as a whole. In a vote the ruling of the Chair was upheld with 12 in favour, 3 opposed.

## 6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES

A) Faculty of Arts
i) Curriculum Changes: PSA Courses - Paper S. 253

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by M. Campbell,
"that Senate approve the PSA courses listed in Paper S.253."

Moved by R. Carlson, seconded by J. Hutchinson,
"that the question be divicied and that PSA 474 be considered separately and initially."

MOTION TO DIVIDE CARRIED
11 in favour
2 opposed
R. Carlson stated that there was duplication of material already covered in existing courses and that because of this fact primarily it should not be accepted by Senate. Discussion followed.

It was moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by M. Campbell "that the course PSA 474 be referred back for discussion in a meeting of the Faculty of Arts" but the motion was ruled out of order by the Chairman. The ruling was challenged by M. Lebowitz. Vote was undertaken, and the ruling of the chair upheld with 10 in favour of the ruling and 3 opposed.

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by K. Burstein,
"that PSA 474 be referred back to the Faculty of Arts Curriculum Committee for further clarification."

MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

9 in favour
4 opposed
2 abstained.
D. Korbin asked that his negative vote be recorded.
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Discussion was then undertaken on the remaining portion of the motion by Sullivan, Campbell "that Senate approve the PSA courses listed in Paper S. 253 - ie. courses PSA 469, PSA 470."
R. Carlson moved that the 260 ties shout d be referred back to the Faculty of Arts Curriculum Committee and choke who folly. no eecradir demotion faced.
D. Sullivan gave notice of motion that he would bring to
 changes.

Vote was then taken on the motion "that Senate approve the PSA courses listed in Paper S. 253 - i.e. PSA 469, PSA 470."

MOTION CARRIED
7 in favour
1 opposed
7 abstained
ii) Curriculum Changes - History and Philosophy Courses - Paper S. 246

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Burstein,
"that the course changes for History as presented in Paper S. 246 be adopted."

Considerable discussion followed on History 100 and History 499. B. D'Aoust wished the records to show that History 499 is an innovative departure for the Faculty of Arts and should be noted as such.

Question was called on the motion to adopt these changes and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED
15 in favour
0 opposed
1 abstained
Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by A. McDougall,
"that the course changes for Philosophy as presented in Paper S. 246 be adopted."

MOTION CARRIED
13 in favour
0 opposed
3 abstained

## B. Faculty of Science

i) Curriculum Changes: Graduate Courses in Chemistry - Paper S. $254^{\circ}$

Moved by B. Funt, seconded by D. Tuck,
"that the course changes in Chemistry as outlined in Paper S. 254 be adopted."

There was some discussion on why this Paper had not appeared in Senate earlier, especially in view of the fact that it had been presented to the Senate Agenda Committee in April. H. Evans explained that there had been some mix-up with another paper on course changes. The point was made that the Senate Agenda Committee must not arbitrarily keep items off the Agenda, and that it had the responsibility of bringing before Senate all pertinent items submitted to it.

The Chairman noted that the final decision for inclusion of Agenda items rests with the Chairman, after receiving advice from the Senate Agenda Committee.
7. OTHER BUSINESS

A) Notice of Motion:
M. Lebowitz gave notice of motion that he will move that an investigation be made of procedures of Senate Committees and that

- Senate Committees be asked to present and clarify their procedures before Senate.
B) Date of Next Meeting:

As the first Monday of September is a holiday, the next regular meeting of Senate will be held on Monday, September 8, 1969 at 7:30 p.m. in the Faculty Lounge.
C) Other Items:
B. Fun wished to have it clearly and publicly noted that the incident of unprofessional conduct related by J. Sayre would be taken under advisement and referred to the proper authorities for investigation. D. Sullivan supported this. view and stated that such situations can and should be handled promptly. The Chairman reiterated the point made earlier that Senator Sayre can bring the appropriate information to his office and it will be dealt with immediately. J. Sayre replied that this would be done subject to approval of the student involved.
D) Confidential Matters:

The Open Session adjourned to move into. Closed Session at 12:00 abm.

## Faculty of Science:

BioScience
Physics
Chemistry
Mathematics
Faculty of Education:

> Athletics and Recreational Services
> Behavioural Science Foundation
> Centre for Communications and the Arts
> Professional Foundations
> Social and Philosophical Foundations
> Seineran
> Physical Development Studies

Faculty of Arts:
Psychology
Economics and Commerce
History
Geography
Modern Languages
Eng1ish
Political Science
Sociology
Anthropology
Philosophy


[^0]:    "that Paper S. 244 be accepted with thanks."

