MLNUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SMON FRGSER UMTVERSTHY HFLD NONDAY, MAY 12, 1969, RACOT,CY LOLNGE, 7:30 P.M.

## OPEN SESSSION

PRESENT:
Strand, K.'i.
Chairman

Baird, D.A.
Burstein, K.R.
Cole, R.E.
Dampier, J.I.
D'Aoust, B.
Haering, R.R. Hamilton, W.M. Hutchinson, J. Korbin, D.G. Lebowitz, M.A. Mackinnon, A.R. Okula, K. Riechinoff, K.E. Shrum, G.M. Srivastava, L. Sperjing, G.B. Stration, $S$. Sullivan, D. H. Tuck, D. G. Walliey, J. Wasserman, Mrs. S. Williams, W.E. Wongs $S$.

Evans, H.N.
Secietary
Meakin, D.
Barboza, Miss J. Recording Secretary Collins, Mrs. B. Recording Secretary

ABSENT: Branca, A.E.
Collins, M.
Conway, J.
E11is, A.J.
Harper, R.J.C.
Hean, A.M.C.
Koerner, Mrs. 0.
Lachlan, A.H.
Lett, Mrs. S.
McJean, C.H.
Perry, G.N.
Vidaver, iv.

1. APPROVAL OF LGENDA

As there was no opposition, the Agenda was declared approved.
2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - OPEN SESSION
a) Special Meeting March 26, 1969

As there were no amendments, the Minutes were declared approved.
b) Meeting April. 8, 1969

As there were no amendments, the Minutes were declared approved.
c) Special Meeting April 14, 1.969

As there were no amendments, the minutes were declared approved.
3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM MINUTES
a) March 26,1969

No further business was raised.
b) April 8, 1969

No further business was raised.
c) April 14, 1969

Enquiry was raised concerning resignation of R. R. Haering, Academic Vice-President, and the Chairman indicated that his resignation would be effective May $31,1969$.

## 4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

a) Recommendation made by the Chairman to the Board of Governors concerning Search for an Academic Vice-President.

The Chairman reported to Senate a recommendation he had presented to the Board (copy of Recommendation is attached as Appendix A).
b) Criteria for placing items on the Agenda.

Reference was made to the Paper issued by the Chairman indcoating the criteria he proposed to follow in placing items

D. Korbin requested there be recorded in the Minutes his objection to having the Acting President, or the Board of Governors select students for the Committee as he believed this should be the prerogative of the Student Society.
S. Wong enquixed as to winether the recommendation referred to specific individuals or could a method whereby students would be selected for the Committee be set up. The Chairman responded that at any point in time reference would pertain to the three students who were then on the Presidential Search Committee. It was noted thai election would be necessary of two members of Faculty to the Search Committee for an Academic Vice-President.

## 5. REPORTS OF COMMTTEES

a) Senate Nominating Comittee - Paper S. 218 and Paper S. 220 (K. Okucla).

Discussion was held on Paper S. 218 and D. Tuck, Chairman of the Nominating Conmittee gave a brief description of the background. S. Wong further clarified the situation.

Moved by S. Wong, seconded by D. Korbin,
"that we request the resignation of the Nominating Committee".
S. Wong indicated if the Motion carried, he would then move,
"that the rules be suspended and a new Nominating Comattee be elected now".

Discussion was undertaken as to whether the Nominating Committee hed failed to carry out a specific duty as charged by Senate or whether the charge to the comittee was an unfair one.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by I. Danipier,
"that the previous question now be put".
MOTJON ON PREVIOUS QUESTION CARRIED
18 in favour

1. opposed 0 abstentjons

Vote was then undertaken on the Main Motion of S. Wong and D. Korbin.

MOTION FAILED
2 in favour
15 opposed
4 abstentions
Attention was then given to Paper S. 220 (K. Okuda).
Moved by K. Okuda, seconded by J. Walkley,

1. "that the Senate Committee on Examination and Grading Practices shall consist of six members, four faculty and two students.
2. "The Committee members shall be elected by Senate from nominations made to Senate following Senate procedures".

Moved by G. Sperling, seconded by D. Korbin,
"that the Motion be dealt with in two parts; one and two".
MOTION FAILED
9 in favour
10 opposed
2 abstentions
Discussion was undertaken and S. Wong indicated opposition, arguing that more students were required and that the Student Society should choose the students.

Amendment was moved by G. Sperling, seconded by D. Korbin,
"that Section One be amended to read 'three faculty and 3 students' in place of 'four faculty and two students'", and
"that Section Two be amended to read 'that the student members be selected in a manner determined by the Student Society and that the faculty members be elected by Joint Faculty'"..

The Chairman ruled the Amendment out of order; the ruling of the Chair was challenged by G. Sparling, seconded by W. M. Hamilton.

Vote was undertaken.
RULING OF THE CHAIR
UPHELD
15 in favour
5 opposed
1 abstention
D. Korbin wished hin objection recorded in wither, referred to Page 144 in the Robert's Rules of Order pertaining to items being germane.

Amendment was moved by G. Sperling, seconded by D. Korbjn,
"that in Section One 'four faculty' be replaced with 'three faculty' and that 'two students' be replaced with 'three students'".

AMENDMENT FAILED
9 in favour
11 opposed
2 abstentions

Amendment was moved by $S$. Wong, seconded by G. Sparling,
"that Section One be amended by adding at the end 'and two lay members'".

Amendment was moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by J. Walkley, "that the Committee members be members of Senate".

Amendment failed
4 in favour
16 opposed
1 abstention

Amendment was moved by D. Korbin, seconded by G. Sperling,
"that the student members be chosen by a method to be determined by the Student Society".

AMENDMENT FAILED
5 in favour
11 opposed
4 abstentions

Moved by R.R. Haering, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,
"that the previous question now be put".

Vote on the Main Motion of K. Okuda and J. Walkiey Paper S. 220 was undertaken -
"that the Senate Committee on Examination and Grading Practices shall consist of six members; four faculty and two students.
"The Committee members shall be elected by Senate from nominations made ${ }_{\text {on }}^{6}$ Senate following procedures".
NOTE: The Senate Nominating Committee should be asked to announce vacancies to be filled by students and accept nominations from the student conumity. Brief biographical sketches should be prepared on al. 1 nominees to be presented to Senate.

MOTION CARRIED
16 in favour
3 opposed
0 abstentions
b) Senate Inter-Disciplinary Committee on Kinesiology Courses - Paper S. 221.

Moved by S. Stratton, seconded by A. Mackinnon,
"that Paper: S. 221 be approved".
MOTION CARried
20 in favour
1 opposed
0 abstentions
c) Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures:
i) Chairmanship of Senate - Paper S.222, 222a.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by D. Baird,
"that in case the President is unable to chair a meeting of Senate, the ficadenic Vice-President shall take the
"Chair. If both the President ard the Academic VicePresident are unable to chair, the most senior Dean of a Faculty shall be Chairman where seniority shall be determined in terms of the date of appointinent as Dean".

Discussion was undertaken and it was noted that this would give a change in the present rules of procedure.

Moved by S. Wong, seconded by D. Korbin,
"that the words 'Academic Vice-President' be replaced with the words 'Vice-President' and that the Vice-Chairman be elected at the June meeting of Senate by the normal procedures of Senate and that he shall serve for a period of one year".

Moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by G. Sparling,
"that the Motion and the Amendment be tabled".
MOTION TO TABLE FAILED
3 in favour
16 opposed
0 abstentions

Vote was then undertaken on the Amendment of $S$. Wong, seconded by D. Korbin -

AMENDMENT FAILED
3 in favour:
16 opposed

1. abstention

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by J. Hutchinson,
"that the previous question now be put".
MOTION ON PREVIOUS
QUESTION CARRIED
17 in favour
2 opposed
0 abstentions

Vote was then undertaken on the Main Motion of K. Rieckhoff, seconded by D. Baird, concerning chairmanship of meetings in the absence of the President.
ii) Interim Recommendations on Procedures for processing Recommendations - Paper S. 223.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by A. MacKinnon,
"that Senate adopt as its procedure Items 1 to 9 of Paper S.223".

Extensive discussions was undertaken with a number of Senators expressing opposition.

At 10:00 p.m. Senate recessed from the Open Session to the Closed Session to deal with confidential matters.

At 11:20 pom., the Open Session reconvened.
K. Rieckhoff indicated he was prepared to withdraw the Paper S. 223 for improvements and to ask Senators to comment in writing on the understanding that the paper would be returned for consideration at the next regular meeting.

Moved by W. Hamilton, seconded by G. Sparling,
"that Paper S. 223 be referred back to the Committee for reconsideration in the light of comments made this evening".

MOTION CARRIED
11 in favour:
1 opposed
1 abstention
7. b) Date of next meeting: the next Regular Meeting of Senate will be held on Monday, June 2, 1969, at 7:30 p.m.

Moved by M. Lebowitz, seconded by G. Sperling,
"that the meeting now adjourn".
MOTION CARRIED
8 in favour
6 opposed
0 abstentions
It was agreed that the next Regular Meeting would continue with the uncompleted portions of the Agenda of May 12, 1969. The meeting adjourned at 11:40 pom.

## Appointment of an Academic Vice-President

1. That the original Search Committee for an Academic VicePresident, composed of three Deans, three Board members and three members of Senate, be disbanded.
2. That a new committee be struck consisting of:
(a) The Acting President, who will act as Chairman
(b) The Deans of three Faculties
(c) Three faculty members, one representative from each faculty and that these faculty members shall be the faculty members on the Presidential Search Committee
(d) Two faculty members elected from the Faculties at large, and
(e) Three student members, and that these student members shall be the student members on the Presidential Search Committee.
3. That the Committee members described in Section 2 and subsections $a, b, c$ and $e$, be fully empowered to act in the absence of the members described in subsection $d$.

## SHMON FRASER UNEVERSTY

From. K. Strand

Chairman of Senate
Dale May 5, 1969

Individual Senators have asked that the Senate Agenda Committee make explicit its criteria for supporting papers etc. This question was discussed at the April 29th mecting of the Scnate Agenda Committee and these conclusions were reached:

1. The Senate Agenda Committee is an advisory committec to the Chairman of Senate and therefore the relevant criteria are not those of the committee, but rather the criteria by which the Chairman will accept the advice of the Committce.
2. The Chairman of Senate should make explicit the criteria by which he will or will not place items on the agenda.

Accordingly, the following represents my present views regarding acceptance of the following types of agenda items:

1. Reports of Committees. Normally all reports received frorn committecs before the deadline for receipt of such reports will be placed on the agenda; however, if I conclude, either independently or upon advice of the Senate Agenda Committee, that a committee report is inadequate either in form or substance, the report will be returned to the Committee for reconsideration with an explanation as to why it is considered inadequate.
2. Reports of Faculties. Normally, all reports received from faculties before the deadline for receipt of such reports will be placed on the agende; however, if I conclude, either indepenclently or upon advice of the Senate Agenda Committee, that a report of Faculty should not go on the agencla, I shall recornmend to the Dean of that Faculty that the item be postponed or reconsidered. The Dean will be provided with an explanation as to why the report should be postponed or reconsidered.
3. Notices of Motion by Individual Senators. Normally all written notices of motion received from individual Senators before the deadlinc for: receipt of such motions will be placed on the agenda undex section 7 (a) (or in conjunction with a rolated agenda item) provided that they are supported by adequate arguments. However, if I conclude, either independently or upon
advice of the Senate Agenda Committee, that a notice of motion by an individual Senator should not go ain the agenda in its present form or that the subject of the notice of motion is not within Senate's terms of reference, I shall explain the reasons for my decision to the Senator.

As I indicated, these views may be modified in light of experience or upon receipt of suggestions. If any individual Senator has suggestions, I would be pleased to receive, in writing, these suggestions.

K. Strand
:dk

