To: SENATE

From: Senate Agenda Committee

Subject: Recommendation on

Date: March 28, 1969.

Procedures for

considering the Report of J.F.Ellis - Admissions and Standings, a Suggested

Policy.

As copies of the above-mentioned report are now available, The Agenda Committee has recommended that copies be distributed widely across the University community well in advance of formal presentation of the report at Senate. Circulation is now being made.

In view of the importance and length of the report a Special Meeting of Senate will be called, scheduled for 7:30 p.m. April 18. To enable members to obtain as much information as possible before that time, arrangements have been made for Dr.J.F.Ellis to be available for questioning — on an interview office—hours basis.

The Senate Agenda Committee recommends the following procedure for considering the Ellis report at the special meeting:-

- 1. An opening statement be made by J.F.Ellis.
- 2. Each senator be allowed up to ten minutes for general comments.
- 3. Consideration be given, one at a time, each of the recommendations 1 - 23 inclusive on pages 2 through 5 - Summary of Recommendations in the order proposed by The Senate Agenda Committee (listed in Appendix A, attached hereto).

For each recommendation, in the order approved, there be:-

- a) A formal motion of adoption Moved by R.Haering, seconded by J.Walkley.
- b) A statement by J.F.Ellis.
- c) Debate, with each senator allowed up to two minutes and opportunity to speak only once to the recommendation.
- d) Consideration (vote) Yes or No.

This consideration will involve a choice:-

A "Yes" vote by Senate on the recommendation will mean acceptance of the item as it appears in the report. A "No" vote by Senate on the recommendation will mean further debate will be undertaken on the particular recommendation on the basis of written amendments, at a later time. Following the vote, Yes or No, on a recommendation, Senate will proceed to the next in order.

NOTE: This recommended procedure will be open for debate at the Senate Meeting of April 8, 1969.

1-3

ORDER OF CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS (Pages 2 - 5 inclusive)

```
1
                 11
    2 passes,
Ιf
                  3
Ιf
    3 passes,
                  4
                  5
If 5 passes,
                 10
                  6
                  7
                  8
                 . 9
                 12
                 13
                 14
                 15
                 16
If 16 passes,
                 17
                 18
                 19
                 20
                 21
                22
```

If 1-22 inclusive have passed, 23. If any have failed debate resumes on failed items in above order, with consideration of 23 last.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

(a) Senate Agenda Committee Recommendation on Procedures for Considering the Ellis Report - Paper S.217

Discussion was undertaken and K.Burstein referred to Senate rules based on Robert's Rules with reference to speaking twice (Pages 2 and 10) and that the previous President had emphasized this ruling.

G.Sperling suggested that the procedure for the special meeting provide for opportunity to move into Committee of the Whole or informal discussion for a period of an hour.

D.Sullivan requested that the present discussion on Paper S.217 deal with the items ad seriatim and the Chairman so ruled. D.Sullivan noted that wide distribution had been recommended for the Ellis Report and expressed the view that it should be discussed in faculties and by students. He suggested change in the date proposed for the special meeting.

Moved by D.Sullivan, seconded by K.Burstein,

"that faculties have opportunity to discuss the Ellis Report and report their findings for a meeting of Senate to be held in the third week of May."

K.Rieckhoff spoke in opposition to the motion making reference to the provision for the Consultative Committee, the three-month period given for preparation of the report, the opportunity for faculty members to have made their views known, and questioned if Fall semester requirements could be met if delay arose. The Secretary gave information on dates.

D.Sullivan spoke in favour of the motion commenting that the report is a complex document with sweeping recommendations and that if items were rushed difficulties could arise.

Amendment was moved by D.Korbin, seconded by G.Sperling,

"that the report be referred similarly to the Student Society for comment."

D.Korbin spoke in favour of the amendment for referral to the students as they represent the largest group and those most affected by proposed changes.

L.Boland spoke against referral as provision had been made for a Consultative Committee consisting of faculty and student representatives. D. Korbin enquired as to whether the student representatives had been elected and S.Wong gave explanation. J.Conway stated that the students had no mandate and there had been little discussion with no referral back to the Student Body. He therefore spoke in favour of the amendment. A.Lachlan spoke in opposition, expressing the opinion that student views could be indicated by the student senators.

Vote on the Korbin-Sperling amendment was undertaken.

AMENDMENT FAILED
6 in favour
12 opposed
7 abstained

J.Conway requested a roll call vote and Senate was requested to indicate its desire. The request for a roll call vote was defeated with L.Boland, D.Sullivan and S.Wong requesting entry in record that they were in favour of a roll call vote.

Debate on the main motion was resumed. K.Burstein spoke in favour of the motion emphasizing that a good job must be done and that this required extensive debate and discussion. B.D'Aoust spoke in opposition claiming that the document would provide something better than currently exists and that revision and improvement could be made at later stages. A. Lachlan enquired as to whether movement of the date of special meeting to May or June would make it technically feasible for introduction of the regulations to apply for the Fall semester and the Secretary commented.

K.Rieckhoff spoke strongly against the motion to refer the matter to the faculties arguing that individuals had been selected to carry out the study and to act in consultative capacities, and that once such selection is made Senate should give appropriate authority to do the job and that Senate now had a job of decision to make rather than to refer back for further debate.

W. Vidaver argued that Senate should make decision without further referral.

Moved by W. Vidaver, seconded by D. Tuck,

"that the previous question now be put."

MOTION PASSED 23 in favour 2 opposed

Vote was then undertaken on the main motion by D.Sullivan, K.Burstein.

MOTION FAILED 13 in favour 14 opposed

Further change in the date of special meeting was proposed. Moved by J. Conway, seconded by D.Korbin,

"that the meeting be held the second week in May."

D.Korbin spoke in favour of the change noting that it is difficult for student senators to meet during exams and that the students cannot bring their demands adequately before Senate or adequately observe debate.

MOTION FAILED 8 in favour

15 opposed

l abstained

I-6 ...11

Further discussion on Paper S.217 was undertaken and K.Strand explained the rationale underlying the paper.

K.Burstein indicated that he would want opportunity to ask questions on specific items, possibly of the Registrar, the Associate Registrar and the Assistant Registrar - Admissions, and enquired as to whether or not it would be possible to have them in attendance at the special meeting, to which the Chairman responded in the affirmative.

J.Conway suggested that the time limits proposed in Paper S.217 be deleted and any time limits necessary be established during the special meeting.

Moved by G. Sperling, seconded by J. Conway,

"that the time limit of 10 minutes suggested in Section 2 and the time limit of 2 minutes suggested in 3c be deleted."

Moved by B. Funt, seconded by D. Tuck,

"that this meeting adjourn by midnight."

MOTION CARRIED 13 in favour 8 opposed 3 abstained

Discussion resumed on the Sperling-Conway motion. W.Vidaver spoke in opposition referring to the problems of unlimited debate. D.Korbin spoke in favour of the motion with argument that as there had been no approval of referral on many substantive items it would be necessary to go through all necessary debate at the special meeting.

L.Boland pointed out that under the provisions of Paper S.217 for a vote to pass a two-thirds vote would be required as debate would be limited.

Vote on the Sperling-Conway motion was undertaken.

MOTION FAILED 8 in favour 15 opposed 1 abstained

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by J. Hutchinson,

"that Paper S.217 be accepted in its entirety."

MOTION PASSED 16 in favour 8 opposed

(b) Senate Nominating Committee - Paper S.218

Moved by D.Tuck, seconded by B.D'Aoust,

I M P O R T A N T

To: ALL MEMBERS OF SENATE

From: H. M. Evans

Secretary of Senate

and Registrar

Subject: Reconvening of Senate

Meeting

Date: May 7, 1969.

The Senate Meeting of Tuesday, May 6, 1969, was adjourned at the call of the Chair. The Chairman has indicated that the meeting will be reconvened on Friday, May 9, 1969, at 9:30 a.m. in Cafeteria #1 (Faculty Lounge). The meeting will continue with the Agenda as set forth for the May 6 meeting - Consideration of the Ellis Report.

 $\underline{\text{NOTE}}$: A few members of Senate will have received a Notice indicating that the meeting was to be reconvened on Saturday, May 10. This Notice was released in error by me and should be ignored. My apologies for the error.

HME: jb

The May 9, 1969, meeting of Senate was a continuation of the May 6, 1969, Senate Meeting for consideration of the Ellis Report.

Support papers for May 9, 1969, were identical to those of May 6, 1969.

To: ALL MEMBERS OF SENATE

From: H. M. Evans,

Secretary of Senate

and Registrar

Subject: ELLIS REPORT --

SUBMISSION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

At the Senate Meetings of May 6th and May 9th, 1969, Senate approved the Recommendations of the Ellis Report with the exception of -

Recommendation No. 6
Recommendation No. 9
Recommendation No.12
Recommendation No.13
Recommendation No.20 --- and

Recommendation No.23, which is not due for consideration until all other items have been cleared.

In accordance with the procedures of Paper S.217, a "No" vote by Senate on a given recommendation means further debate will be undertaken on that particular recommendation on the basis of written amendments at a later time.

At the meeting of May 9th, it was agreed that a small working group of Senate would undertake to work with the amendments received and submit a report to the Chairman of Senate.

Written amendments on the Recommendations Nos. 6, 9, 12, 13 -- and 20 are now called to be received by the Secretary of Senate not later than 12:00 Noon on Thursday, May 15th, 1969.

The Sections of the Report which are open for amendment are as follows:--

Recommendation No. 6, Part C, Page 17

Recommendation No. 9, Part C, Page 17

Recommendation No. 12, Part E, Pages 23 - 34

Recommendation No. 13, Part F, Pages 35 and 36 Recommendation No. 20, Part J, Page 50

It is to be noted that amendments submitted need be consistent with the Recommendation Sections already passed by Senate and in those cases where there are subsections within the areas now open for amendment, consistency through subsections would be required.

H. M. Evans,Secretary of Senate & Registrar

HME:bc

TO: ALL MEMBERS OF SENATE

From: H. M. Evans

Secretary of Senate

and Registrar

Subject: SPECIAL MEETING, THE ELLIS

REPORT

Date: June 4, 1969.

A special meeting of Senate has been called for Monday, June 9, 1969, to be held in Cafeteria #1 (Faculty Lounge) commencing at 7:30 p.m. - to consider further the Ellis Report in accordance with the Agenda below.

A G E N D A

1. Consideration of the Ellis Report - Recommendations not yet approved - Papers S.240, S.240-1 through S.240-12 inclusive.

NOTE: Discussion will be undertaken in the following order:-

Paper S.240-10 Н Paper S.240-4 В C Paper S.240-5 Paper S.240-9 G Paper S.240-3 Paper S.240-6 Paper S.240-7 E Paper S.240-8 F Paper S.240-11 1 Paper S.240-12

Recommendation 23 - only if all other recommendations are resolved.

H. M. EvansSecretary of Scnate.

NOTE: Copies of the Ellis Report and all pertinent papers have been distributed earlier, or are included herewith - to all members of Senate. Should any member require additional copies, or information, please contact H.M.Evans, or the Recording Secretary, J.Barboza (Telephone 291-4176 or 291-3224), or Dr.I.Kelsey, Director of Secretariat Services (Telephone 291-4358).