

MOTION TO REVISE: "That Senate approve change, as set forth in Paper S.72-10, to page 4, sentence 1 , of Paper S.71-86 under Schedule of Penalties by inserting the words ' $\$ 5.00$ per day and a total maximum of' between the words 'maximum of...$\$ 25.00$, but.'"

Senate

Subject ... ..RECOMMENDED ...CHANGE . TO LI IBRARY LOAN POLICY

From.......A.H. Lachlan, Chairman, Senate Library Committee

Date........December 14, 1971

On page 4. of Paper S.71-86, under Schedule of Penalties, the penalties for Reserve Loans were stated as follows :

Fines will be levied at the flat rate of $\$ 5.00$ per item, but only upon items that are an hour or more overdue and have Holds placed on them. Borrowers who have incurred a fine, or who have not returned materials in time, will be suspended from borrowing until the fine (if any) is paid and the materials returned.

When Senate discussed the Paper on August 2, 1971, it felt that the fine was too harsh and approved a change to the first sentence, which then read :

Fines will be levied at the rate of $\$ 1.00$ per item per hour to a maximum of $\$ 25.00$, but....

Contrary to its intended effect, the change increased the harshness of the penalty: a borrower can exceed $\$ 5.00$ in seven hours, and reach the maximum of $\$ 25.00$ by simply keeping the material overnight. Therefore, to provide the intended element of leniency, the Senate Library Committee recommends that the words, "of $\$ 5.00$ per day and a total maximum", be added to the sentence in question so that it would read as follows:

Fines will be levied at the rate of $\$ 1.00$ per item per hour to a maximum of $\$ 5.00$ per day and a total maximum of $\$ 25.00$, but...

